

## T.A.P.A.S. at Giga-Z

# a Terrific Accuracy Prediction on Alpha Strong

Marc Winter (IReS/IPHC-Strasbourg)

### OUTLINE

- Introductory remarks :
  - ⊖ Why remeasuring  $\alpha_s$  ?
  - ⊖ Sensitivity of Z parameters to  $\alpha_s(M_Z)$
- Achievements of A.D.L.O. :
  - ⊖ Precision achieved
  - ⊖ Discussion on sources of uncertainty
- Precision forecasts at Giga-Z :
  - ⊖ Discussion on uncertainty reduction
  - ⊖ Precision achievable in various scenarios
- Summary

## ■ Why (re)measuring $\alpha_s(M_Z)$ ?

- ⊕ Refine tests of non-Abelian structure of QCD
- ⊕ Refine SM predictions to extract SM unknowns : e.g.  $m_t$  at  $t\bar{t}$  threshold
- ⊕ Refine SM predictions to study its limits : e.g. evolution of  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3 \rightsquigarrow$  GUT
- ⊕ Refine predictions of new theoretical models

## ■ Advantages of the measurement based on the Z-parameters :

- ⊕ Inclusive final state  $\rightsquigarrow$  rigorous QCD handling ( how rigorous ? )
- ⊕ Knowledge of SM free parameters (e.g.  $M_H$ ) will improve at required accuracy
- ⊕ Extended experience from LEP analyses  $\rightsquigarrow$  2nd generation measurement at ILC

## ■ Advantages of Giga-Z :

- ⊕ 100 times more events than at LEP-1  $\rightsquigarrow$  10 times smaller  $\Delta_{stat}$  and  $>$  3–5 times smaller  $\Delta_{syst}$
- ⊕ Outstanding apparatus : accuracy and hermeticity

## Sensitivity of Z Parameters to $\alpha_s(M_Z)$

■  $\Gamma_h = \Gamma_h^0 \cdot (1 + \delta_{\text{QCD}})$  with  $\delta_{\text{QCD}} \approx 1.06 \frac{\alpha_s}{\pi} + 0.9 \left(\frac{\alpha_s}{\pi}\right)^2 - 15 \left(\frac{\alpha_s}{\pi}\right)^3 + \dots$

↪ 1st term dominates and amounts typically to 4 % for  $\alpha_s(M_Z) \sim 0.12$

■  $R_l = \Gamma_h/\Gamma_l \rightsquigarrow$  **QCD corr.  $\sim 4\%$**

■  $\Gamma_Z = \Gamma_Z^0 + \Gamma_h^0 \cdot \delta_{\text{QCD}} \approx \Gamma_Z^0 \cdot (1 + 0.7 \cdot \delta_{\text{QCD}}) \rightsquigarrow$  **QCD corr.  $\sim 2.8\%$**

■  $\sigma_0^l = \frac{12\pi\Gamma_l^2}{M_Z^2\Gamma_Z^2} \approx \frac{12\pi\Gamma_l^2}{M_Z^2\Gamma_Z^{02}} \cdot \frac{1}{(1+0.7\cdot\delta_{\text{QCD}})^2} \approx \sigma_0^{l0} \cdot (1 - 1.4 \cdot \delta_{\text{QCD}}) \rightsquigarrow$  **QCD corr.  $\sim 5.5 - 6\%$**

■  $\sigma_0^h = \frac{12\pi\Gamma_l\Gamma_h}{M_Z^2\Gamma_Z^2} \approx \frac{12\pi\Gamma_l\Gamma_h^0}{M_Z^2\Gamma_Z^{02}} \cdot \frac{1+\delta_{\text{QCD}}}{(1+0.7\cdot\delta_{\text{QCD}})^2} \approx \sigma_0^{h0} \cdot (1 - 0.4 \cdot \delta_{\text{QCD}}) \rightsquigarrow$  **QCD corr.  $\sim 1.5\%$**

$$R_l : \quad \Delta\alpha_s(M_Z) \approx 3.1 \cdot \Delta R_l/R_l$$

$$\sigma_0^l : \quad \Delta\alpha_s(M_Z) \approx 2.2 \cdot \Delta\sigma_0^l/\sigma_0^l$$

$$\sigma_0^h : \quad \Delta\alpha_s(M_Z) \approx 7.4 \cdot \Delta\sigma_0^h/\sigma_0^h$$

$$\Gamma_Z : \quad \Delta\alpha_s(M_Z) \approx 4.4 \cdot \Delta\Gamma_Z/\Gamma_Z$$

- A.D.L.O. extracted the experimental values of  $R_1$ ,  $\sigma_0^l$ ,  $\sigma_0^h$  and  $\Gamma_Z$  essentially from multi-hadron,  $\mu^+\mu^-$  and  $\tau^+\tau^-$  final states

- Measurement accuracies of different experiments differ substantially :

$\frac{\Delta\epsilon_h}{\epsilon_h} \oplus \frac{\Delta bg_h}{bg_h}$	$\frac{\Delta\epsilon_\mu}{\epsilon_\mu} \oplus \frac{\Delta bg_\mu}{bg_\mu}$	$\frac{\Delta\epsilon_\tau}{\epsilon_\tau} \oplus \frac{\Delta bg_\tau}{bg_\tau}$	$\frac{\Delta L_{sys}^{exp}}{L}$	$\frac{\Delta L_{sys}^{theo}}{L}$
<b>0.04 – 0.10 %</b>	<b>0.09 – 0.31 %</b>	<b>0.18 – 0.65 %</b>	<b>0.033 – 0.09 %</b>	<b>0.054 %</b>

- Most accurate measurements :

- ⊕ Hadronic final state selection : L3 most accurate
- ⊕ Lepton-pair final state selection : ALEPH most accurate
- ⊕ Luminosity determination (Bhabha events) : OPAL most accurate

## Quark-Pair Selection of L3

- Syst. uncertainties of the quark-pair selection entering the hadronic x-section determination ( 1994 data )

source of uncertainty	relative uncertainty [%]
Acceptance	0.021
Selection cuts	0.030
Trigger efficiency	0.012
Non-resonant background	0.010
Monte-Carlo statistics	0.004
<b>Total</b>	<b>0.040</b>

- Some dominant acceptance uncertainty components :

- ⊕ Geometrical acceptance control (  $\gtrsim 0.5$  % events inside forward aperture )
- ⊕ Fragmentation uncertainties  $\rightarrow$  low charged multiplicity final states at shallow angle
- ⊕ Radiative return : resonant spectrum modeling

- Major contributions to  $\Delta_{syst}$  on selection cuts :

- ⊕ Cut variations around nominal cut value
- ⊕ Background subtraction ( accuracy of modeling )

$\Rightarrow$  IMPROVEMENTS PROVIDED BY ILC :

better hermiticity, rad. return & QCD modeling (stat.), background control, higher stat. for cut variations, etc.

- Syst. uncertainties of the  $\mu^+\mu^-$  &  $\tau^+\tau^-$  preselection entering the x-section determination ( 1994 data )

source of relative uncertainty [%]	$\mu^+\mu^-$	$\tau^+\tau^-$
TPC tracking	0.03	0.03
$\cos\theta^*$	0.01	0.01
ISR/FSR simulation	0.03	0.03
total acceptance	0.04	0.04
Monte-Carlo statistics	0.06	0.07

- Main sources underlying systematic uncertainties :

- ⊕ tracking inefficiencies  $\rightarrow$  estimated from MC / data comparison
- ⊕ mismeasured angles (prod. angle, acol. )  $\rightarrow$  TPC end-plates positions (toy MC to simul. the effect)
- ⊕ ambiguities in  $l^+l^-q\bar{q}$  final states (limited understanding of 4-fermion final states )
- ⊕ important contribution from Monte-Carlo statistics

■ Syst. uncertainties of the  $\mu^+ \mu^-$  & selection entering the x-section determination ( 1994 data )

■ Dominant contributions :

- ⊕ Photon energy : adjust simulated photon energy of  $\mu^+ \mu^- \gamma$  events to observed distribution
- ⊕ Radiative events : difference between cross-sections computed with tight and loose cuts
- ⊕ Important contribution from Monte-Carlo statistics

source	$\Delta\sigma/\sigma$ [%]
acceptance	0.04–0.05
momentum calibration	0.006
momentum resolution	0.005
photon energy	0.05
radiative events	0.05
muon identification	$\approx 0.001$
Monte-Carlo statistics	0.06
TOTAL	0.09 ( $\sim 5 \times \Delta_{stat}$ )

⇒ IMPROVEMENTS PROVIDED BY ILC :

better hermiticity, calorimetry and tracking, radiative events modeling (stat., less material),  
4-lepton understanding, higher stat. for cut variations, etc.

... Similar remarks apply to  $\tau^+ \tau^-$  selection

# Potential of a Virtual LEP Detector at Giga-Z

- Potential of a virtual LEP detector combining quark-pair selection of L3, lepton-pair selection of ALEPH and luminosity determination of OPAL, running one year at Giga-Z

uncertainty	$\Delta_{syst}$ [%]	$\Delta_{stat}$ [%]
$\Delta q\bar{q}$	<b>0.040</b>	<b>0.003</b>
$\Delta\mu^+\mu^-$	<b>0.090</b>	<b>0.015</b>
$\Delta\tau^+\tau^-$	<b>0.170</b>	<b>0.015</b>
$\Delta L_{exp}$	<b>0.033</b>	<b>0.002</b>
$\Delta L_{theo}$	<b>0.054</b>	–

observable	relative uncertainty [%]	$\Delta\alpha_s(M_Z)$
$R_1$	<b>0.09</b>	<b>0.0027</b>
$\Gamma_Z$	<b>0.04</b>	<b>&lt; 0.002</b>
$\sigma_0^h$	<b>0.07</b>	<b>0.0055</b>
$\sigma_0^l$	<b>0.10</b>	<b>0.0022</b>

- Accuracy achievable on  $\alpha_s(M_Z)$  :  **$\pm 0.0013$**

⊕ to be compared to present accuracy :  **$\pm 0.0027$**



## ■ IMPROVEMENTS ON QUARK-PAIR SELECTION :

- **More hermetic detector**
- **Larger statistics :**
  - **more accurate Monte-Carlo simulation**
  - **smaller systematic uncertainty coming from cut variations**
- **More realistic generators for signal selection and background determination**

## ■ IMPROVEMENTS ON LEPTON-PAIR SELECTION :

- **Better controlled tracking efficiency (larger stat., better track finding due to lighter and more hermetic detector )**
- **Improved simulation of ISR-FSR interference ( direct study )**
- **Better understanding of radiative events ( improved generators, reduced detector material, high resolution tracking & calorimetry)**

## Potential of the ILC Detector at Giga-Z

- 3–5 times smaller experimental (& modeling) syst. uncertainties running one year at Giga-Z

$\Delta_{stat}^{q\bar{q}}$ [%]	$\Delta_{syst}^{q\bar{q}}$ [%]	$\Delta_{syst}^{l^+l^-}$ [%]	$\Delta_{stat}^{l^+l^-}$ [%]	$\Delta R_1/R_1$ [%]	$\Delta\alpha_s(M_Z)$
0.003	0.04	0.08	0.011	0.09	0.0027
0.003	0.013	0.02	0.011	0.03	0.0008
0.003	0.009	0.015	0.011	0.02	0.0006

$\Delta_{syst}^{l^+l^-}$ [%]	$\Delta_{stat}^{l^+l^-}$ [%]	$\Delta L_{syst}^{exp}$ [%]	$\Delta L_{syst}^{theo}$ [%]	$\Delta\sigma_0^l/\sigma_0^l$ [%]	$\Delta\alpha_s(M_Z)$
0.08	0.011	0.033	0.054	0.10	0.0022
0.03	0.011	0.03	0.05	0.066	0.0014
0.02	0.011	0.02	0.03	0.043	0.0009

$\Delta_{stat}^{q\bar{q}}$ [%]	$\Delta_{syst}^{q\bar{q}}$ [%]	$\Delta L_{syst}^{exp}$ [%]	$\Delta L_{syst}^{theo}$ [%]	$\Delta\sigma_0^h/\sigma_0^h$ [%]	$\Delta\alpha_s(M_Z)$
0.003	0.04	0.033	0.054	0.075	0.0055
0.003	0.013	0.03	0.05	0.059	0.0044
0.003	0.009	0.02	0.03	0.037	0.0027

⇒ Total uncertainty of combined value (including  $\Gamma_Z$ ) :  $\Delta\alpha_s(M_Z) = 0.0007-0.0005$

(depends on assumptions on  $\Delta_{syst}$  reduction : factor 3 or 5)

- Precision on  $\alpha_s(M_Z)$  can be significantly improved at Giga-Z w.r.t. LEP-1 (factor  $\sim 4-5$ ) using the Z observables ( $R_1, \sigma^l, \sigma^h$  and  $\Gamma_Z$ )  $\rightarrow \Delta\alpha_s(M_Z) = 0.0007 - 0.0005$
  
- Improvements originate from :
  - 1) Statistics (  $\sim 100$  times more events ) :
    - ⊖ 10 times less stat. uncertainty on sensitive observables
    - ⊖ at least 3–5 times less syst. uncertainty on fermion-pair selection
  - 2) Outstanding detector performances :
    - ⊖ material budget
    - ⊖ tracking
    - ⊖ calorimetry
    - ⊖ hermeticity
  - 3) Steady improving theoretical calculations (H.O. corrections, SM input param.)
  - 4) Steady improving signal and background generators ( partly because of 1) and 2) )
  
- Improvements profit mainly to  $R_1$  (luminosity determination expected to be limited by beamstrahlung and  $\Gamma_Z$  limited to LEP-1 accuracy)
  
- Study presented here should be repeated in more detail (MC, reconstruction, ...)