Anomalies, parities, (missing energy?) in little higgs models

"T parity in little higgs models"

Richard Hill **Chard Hill** Fermilab

LHC for ILC workshop, 13 April 2007

Incomplete list of references Composite models: Kaplan, Georgi (84), Kaplan, Georgi, Dimopoulos (84), Georgi, Kaplan, Galison (84), Dugan, Georgi Kaplan (85) Arkani-Hamed, Cohen, Georgi (01) Arkani-Hamed, Cohen, Katz, Kaplan, Schmaltz (03) Nelson, Gregoire, Wacker (02) Contino, Nomura, Pomaral (03) Agashe, Contino, Sundrum (2005)Arkani-Hamed, Cohen, Katz, Nelson (02) Low, Skiba, Smith (02) Pierce, Perelstein, Peskin (04) Han, Logan, Wang (06) T parity: Cheng, Low (03,04) Birkedal-Hansen, Wacker (04) Birkedal-Hansen, Noble, Perelstein, Spray (06) Carena, Hubisz, Perelstein, Verdier (06) KK parity: Cheng, Feng, Matchev (02) Servant, Tait (02) Bertone, Hooper, Silk (05)

talk based on C.T. Hill and R.J Hill, hep-ph/0701044, and to appear

Outline

- little higgs versus non-little higgs
- parities
- anomalies
- missing energy ?
- into the UV

obvious stuff

- standard model description of EWSB simple, minimal
- of the options on the table, many represent physics never seen before
 - fundamental scalar
 - supersymmetry
 - extra space dimension
 - ... ?
- there is something that we have seen before - composite scalars, bound states of strongly-interacting fermions (QCD)

three components of a weakly coupled "little" higgs sector

1 mechanism for a scalar "higgs" field to leak down from a technicolor/condensate scale

$$\delta m_H^2 = 0 \times g^2 \Lambda_{strong}^2 + \dots$$

2 mechanism for electroweak-symmetric vacuum to be destabilized

$$m_H^2 < 0$$

3 mechanism for higgs VEV to be stabilized at electroweak scale

$$v_{weak}/\Lambda_{strong} << 1$$

<u>step 1</u> mechanism for a scalar "higgs" field to leak down from a technicolor/condensate scale

• **easy** - in fact some effort required for it not to happen

in general, have NGB's that are left massless by the strong interactions

simple example:
$$U = \exp(i\tilde{\pi}), \quad \tilde{\pi} = \begin{pmatrix} \pi + \eta/\sqrt{3} & K \\ K^{\dagger} & -2\eta/\sqrt{3} \end{pmatrix}$$

 $SU(3)_{L} \times SU(3)_{R} / SU(3)_{V}, \text{ gauge two } SU(2) \times U(1) \text{ groups}$ $A_{L_{\mu}} = \begin{pmatrix} W_{L\mu} + B_{L\mu}/\sqrt{3} & 0\\ 0 & -2B_{L\mu}/\sqrt{3} \end{pmatrix} \qquad A_{R_{\mu}} = \begin{pmatrix} W_{R\mu} + B_{R\mu}/\sqrt{3} & 0\\ 0 & -2B_{R\mu}/\sqrt{3} \end{pmatrix}$

Radiative mass corrections



<u>step 2</u> mechanism for electroweak-symmetric vacuum to be destabilized

• **not difficult** - odds are about 50/50

step I leaves mass-squared "on the edge"

other ingredients, e.g. SM and mirror fermions tip it in one direction or the other

example: top-quark sector

$$\chi_L = \begin{pmatrix} u_L \\ d_L \\ U_L \end{pmatrix}, U_R, u_R \qquad \Delta \mathcal{L} = -\lambda_1 F(0, 0, \bar{u}_R) e^{i\tilde{\pi}} \chi_L - \lambda_2 F \bar{U}_R U_L + h.c.$$
$$\delta m_H^2 \sim -\lambda_t^2 \log \frac{\Lambda^2}{m_T^2}$$

<u>step 3</u> mechanism for higgs VEV to be stabilized at electroweak scale

 not as easy - but at least a few in-principle examples

step 2 destabilizes EW-symmetric vacuum

need to stabilize it at v≠0 but v<< $\Lambda_{strong}/4\pi$

example: integrate out scalars that receive large radiative corrections \Rightarrow higgs potential

$$m_{\phi} \sim g \Lambda_{strong} \gg v_{weak}$$

parities



- scalars are odd
- massless vectors are even

$$g_{L}\frac{1-\gamma_{5}}{2}\lambda^{a}W_{L}^{a}+g_{R}\frac{1+\gamma_{5}}{2}\lambda^{a}W_{R}^{a}$$

$$=\frac{\sqrt{2}g_{L}g_{R}}{\sqrt{g_{L}^{2}+g_{R}^{2}}}\lambda^{a}W^{a}+\left(\frac{\sqrt{g_{L}^{2}+g_{R}^{2}}}{\sqrt{2}}\gamma_{5}\lambda^{a}+\frac{g_{L}^{2}-g_{R}^{2}}{\sqrt{2}\sqrt{g_{L}^{2}+g_{R}^{2}}}\lambda^{a}\right)W^{\prime a}$$

$$\underbrace{\int_{\text{massless}}}_{\text{massive}}$$

• if couplings are equal, massive vectors are odd

why an exact parity might be nice

- forbids large nonstandard EW effects
- organizing principle for models

what it might imply

- missing energy signature ?
- dark matter candidate ?



effective actions

write down the most general effective action for NGB's

$$\Gamma \sim \int d^4 x \, \text{Tr} \bigg[|D_{\mu}U|^2 + c_1 |D_{\mu}U|^4 + c_2 D_{\mu}U D_{\nu}U^{\dagger} D_{\mu}U D_{\nu}U^{\dagger} + \dots \bigg]$$

need to include all operators - in particular, the "topological term"

Nothing subtle, just another way of building a local, four-dimensional, SU(3)-invariant action.

Topological, or Wess-Zumino-Witten terms

Consider SU(n)xSU(n)/SU(n):

 $\Gamma'(U) = \text{number} \times$ "area bounded by the image of spacetime on SU(N)"



- construction allowed by $\pi_4(SU(n))=0, \pi_5(SU(n))=Z$
- quantization condition necessary for consistency

T parity violation by anomalies

given a parity: we can define it, but is it respected by the dynamics ?

Consider the "ordinary" action:

 $\Gamma \sim \int d^4 x \, \text{Tr} \bigg[|D_{\mu}U|^2 + c_1 |D_{\mu}U|^4 + c_2 D_{\mu}U D_{\nu}U^{\dagger} D_{\mu}U D_{\nu}U^{\dagger} + \dots \bigg]$

even under space-parity $(t,x) \rightarrow (t,-x), \pi \rightarrow \pi, (\vee^{0}, \vee) \rightarrow (\vee^{0}, -\vee), (A^{0}, A) \rightarrow (A^{0}, -A)$ **even** under NGB parity ("T parity") $(t,x) \rightarrow (t,x), \pi \rightarrow -\pi, (\vee^{0}, \vee) \rightarrow + (\vee^{0}, \vee), (A^{0}, A) \rightarrow - (A^{0}, A)$

• in QCD coupled to electromagnetism, π^0 is stable (lightest T-odd particle)

 π^0

The topological action:

$$\Gamma'(U) = \int d^4x \,\epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \mathrm{Tr}(\tilde{\pi}\partial_{\mu}\tilde{\pi}\partial_{\nu}\tilde{\pi}\partial_{\rho}\tilde{\pi}\partial_{\sigma}\tilde{\pi} + \dots)$$

odd under space-parity

odd under NGB parity

• this is the well-known resolution for how a chiral Lagrangian can correctly describe low-energy QCD



T parity from an internal symmetry $[V,V] \sim V, [V,A] \sim A, [A,A] \sim V$ $V \rightarrow +V$ $A \rightarrow -A$ unbroken "vector" generatorsbroken "axial" generators

 as in QCD, topological interaction breaks this internal parity

T parity from "identical" sectors

$$\delta \left[\Gamma'(\Phi_1) \pm \Gamma'(\Phi_2) \right] \sim \int \epsilon (dA)^2 \pm \epsilon (dA)^2$$

• if sectors are really identical, then they give identical (not cancelling) anomalies

In both cases, the decays of "lightest T odd particle" must proceed through the topological interaction \Rightarrow distinct signatures !

spectator sector

to accomplish step (I) above, need to gauge broken U(I) current

• unless integer coefficient of WZW is zero, theory is incomplete

• need mechanism of anomaly cancellation

<u>note</u>: no assumption that the UV theory is a theory of fermions - just some theory that exhibits a certain symmetry breaking pattern

decays of T-odd gauge bosons

Return to simple example. To take care of anomalies, two copies of $SU(3)_L \propto SU(3)_R / SU(3)_V$

• a 2HDM:

$$\Gamma_{WZW} \propto \frac{-N_c s_W g^3}{\pi^2} \int d^4 x \, \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} (v_1^2 - v_2^2) B'_{\mu} Z_{\nu} \partial_{\rho} Z_{\sigma} + \dots$$

$$\begin{split} &\Gamma(B' \to ZZ) \propto \left(\frac{N_c(1 - \tan^2\beta)}{\pi^2}\right)^2 \frac{\alpha^3 m_Z^2}{m_{B'}} + \dots \\ &\approx 10^{-8} \,\mathrm{GeV}\left(\frac{\mathrm{N_c}}{3}\right)^2 \left(\frac{500 \,\mathrm{GeV}}{\mathrm{m'_B}}\right) \end{split}$$

Similar results in general models, e.g. SU(5)/SO(5), SU(6)/Sp(6)

Summary

- is electroweak symmetry broken by fermion condensation ? weakly coupled composite higgs an important case to investigate
 - can look a lot like a SM higgs
- even without mention of fermions, need to worry about anomalies in a little higgs model
- T parity generally violated
 - hard to find dark matter candidate or missing energy signal in gauge/higgs sector of composite models
- topological interactions offer exciting probe of UV completion