


SM Higgs Searches in CMS

The LHC Early Phase for the ILC
FNAL 12-14 April


Albert De Roeck
CERN
and University of Antwerp
and the IPPP Durham



SM Higgs Search Channels

Low mass $M_H \lesssim 200$ GeV

Production	Inclusive	VBF	WH/ZH	$t\bar{t}$
DECAY				
$H \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$	YES	YES	YES	YES
$H \rightarrow b\bar{b}$			YES	YES
$H \rightarrow \tau\tau$		YES		
$H \rightarrow WW^*$	YES	YES	YES	
$H \rightarrow ZZ^*, Z \rightarrow \ell^+\ell^-, \ell=e,\mu$	YES			
$H \rightarrow Z\gamma, Z \rightarrow \ell^+\ell^-, \ell=e,\mu$	very low σ			



Intermediate mass
($200 \text{ GeV} \lesssim M_H \lesssim 700 \text{ GeV}$)

inclusive $H \rightarrow WW$
inclusive $H \rightarrow ZZ$




High mass ($M_H \gtrsim 700 \text{ GeV}$)

VBF $qqH \rightarrow ZZ \rightarrow \ell\ell\nu\nu$
VBF $qqH \rightarrow WW \rightarrow \ell\nu jj$




$H \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$ and $H \rightarrow ZZ^* \rightarrow 4\ell$ are the only channels with a very good mass resolution $\sim 1\%$

Higgs Searches




Low $M_H < 140 \text{ GeV}/c^2$



Medium $130 < M_H < 500 \text{ GeV}/c^2$



High $M_H > \sim 500 \text{ GeV}/c^2$



CMS → PTDR: Improvements w.r.t. previous analyses

- Discovery potential for the Higgs boson was updated with:
 - Latest trigger table
 - Background estimates from “data”, exp. systematics
 - ME generators for multi-jet events: ALPGEN, MadGraph, CompHEP, TopRex
 - all analyses based on full G4 simulation
 - $L = 2 \times 10^{33} \text{cm}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}$ conditions (pile-up)
- NLO cross sections were used for Higgs boson production and backgrounds (when available)
 - SM Higgs production cross sections and branching ratios: HDECAY, HIGLU, VV2H, V2HV, HQQ.
 - Summary Tables provided by **M. Spira**.
 - Table with PDF uncertainties on H cross section from **A. Djouadi**
 - Most of the background NLO cross sections provided by **John Campbell** via the MCFM package

$\sim 10^{7-8}$ $Z \rightarrow ll$, $W \rightarrow lv$ on tape during physics run in 2008-09 ($\sim 10\text{fb}^{-1}$?)

Z, W, tt cross sections and expected number of events after trigger in CMS with 10fb^{-1}

channel, NLO $\sigma \times \text{Br}$	Level-1 + HLT efficiency	events for 10fb^{-1}
$W \rightarrow e\nu$, 20.3 nb	0.25	5.1×10^7
$W \rightarrow \mu\nu$, 20.3 nb	0.35	7.1×10^7
$Z \rightarrow ee$, 1.87 nb	0.53	1.0×10^7
$Z \rightarrow \mu\mu$, 1.87 nb	0.65	1.2×10^7
$tt \rightarrow \mu + X$, 187 pb	0.62	1.2×10^6

$\sim 10^6$ $tt \rightarrow \mu + X$
with 10fb^{-1}

J. Campbell, R.K. Ellis, D. Rainwater
hep-ph/0308195

W/Z+nJ+X NLO predictions at LHC
with cuts :

$p_T^l > 15\text{ GeV}$
 $|\eta^l| < 2.4$
 $p_T^j > 20\text{ GeV}$
 $|\eta^j| < 4.5$
 $\Delta R_{lj} > 0.4$
 $\Delta R_{ll} > 0.2$

process	σ_{LO}	σ_{NLO}
$e^+\nu_e + X$	5670	6780^{+290}_{-130}
$e^-\bar{\nu}_e + X$	3970	4830^{+210}_{-90}
$e^+e^- + X$	803	915 ± 31
$e^+\nu_e j + X$	1660	1880^{+60}_{-50}
$e^-\bar{\nu}_e j + X$	1220	1420 ± 40
$e^+e^- j + X$	248	288^{+8}_{-7}
$e^+\nu_e jj + X$	773	669^{+0}_{-18}
$e^-\bar{\nu}_e jj + X$	558	491^{+0}_{-7}
$e^+e^- jj + X$	116	105^{+1}_{-5}

W/Z bb + X


$|\eta^b| < 2.5$

process	σ_{LO}	σ_{NLO}
$e^+\nu_e b\bar{b} + X$	$1.30^{+0.21}_{-0.18}$	$3.06^{+0.62}_{-0.54}$
$e^-\nu_e b\bar{b} + X$	$0.90^{+0.14}_{-0.12}$	$2.11^{+0.46}_{-0.37}$
$e^+e^- b\bar{b} + X$	$1.80^{+0.60}_{-0.40}$	$2.28^{+0.32}_{-0.29}$

Higgs boson search in inclusive production.



“Golden” LHC modes:

$ZZ^{(*)} \rightarrow 4l$, $WW \rightarrow 2l2\nu$, $\gamma\gamma$




Higgs: $H \rightarrow ZZ \rightarrow 4 \text{ leptons}$

ZZ background: NLO K-factor depends on mass of 4l
 Background from side bands or from ZZ/Z;
 ($gg \rightarrow ZZ$ is added as 20% of LO $qq \rightarrow ZZ$)




Combined $4\mu, 4e, ee\mu\mu$ signal significance



Early discovery in the channel $H \rightarrow WW \rightarrow 2l2\nu$? ...counting experiment...

- **New for this analysis**
 - P_T Higgs and WW bkg. at NLO (re-weighted in PYTHIA)
 - include box $gg \rightarrow WW$ bkg.
 - NLO Wt cross section after jet veto
- **Background estimates from data (and theory)**
 - $t\bar{t}$ from the data; uncertainty 16% at 5 fb^{-1}
 - WW from the data; uncertainty 17% at 5 fb^{-1}
 - Wt and $gg \rightarrow WW$ bkg from theor. uncertainties estimated to be 22% and 30%


CMS, 1 fb^{-1}




- $E_{T \text{ miss}} > 50 \text{ GeV}$
- jet veto in $\eta < 2.4$
- $30 < p_T^{\text{max}} < 55 \text{ GeV}$
- $p_T^{\text{min}} > 25 \text{ GeV}$
- $12 < m_{ll} < 40 \text{ GeV}$

Discovery reach with $H \rightarrow WW \rightarrow 2l2\nu$

Excluded cross section times
Branching Ratio at 95% C.L.




CMS Phys. TDR 2006



very similar to old results w/o syst.

Inclusive $H \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$

$H \rightarrow \gamma\gamma, M_H = 100 \text{ GeV}$




CMS plot of the ECAL TDR time : December 1997

*Went then from hybrid silicon + gas chamber tracker
to all silicon tracker*


H $\rightarrow\gamma\gamma$: Tracker material budget

tracker material budget

ECAL TDR time






current design



fraction of photons converting before ECAL



	Unconverted	Converted (Invisible)	Converted (Visible)
Barrel (ECAL TDR)	76.2 %	5.0 %	18.8 %
Barrel (present)	58.0 %	10.7 %	31.3 %
EndCap (ECAL TDR)	65.1 %	8.7 %	26.2 %
EndCap (present)	40.5 %	14.4 %	45.1 %

Inclusive $H \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$: Backgrounds and K-factors



$\gamma+j, j \rightarrow \pi^0$
 $j+j, jj \rightarrow 2\pi^0$
 was not in ECAL TDR

ECAL TDR plots, $L=10^{34} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$




	ECAL TDR K factors	current K factors: DIPHOX(NLO) / PYTHIA
born	1	1.50, uncertainty 10-20 %
box	1.85	1.20, uncertainty 10-20 % (Dixon et al)
isolated brem	1	1.72, uncertainty 20-30 %
$\gamma+j, j \rightarrow \pi^0$	not simulated	1.00, uncertainty 30-40 %
$j+j, jj \rightarrow 2\pi^0$	not simulated	unknown; work in progress 1, uncert ~ 50%

Discovery Potential : $H \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$

Significance for SM Higgs
 $M_H = 130 \text{ GeV}$ for 30 fb^{-1}

New elements of CMS-PTDR 2006 analysis:

- **Cut based analysis**
 - Split into categories depending on photon reco quality and position
 - Usage of LLR for discovery, systematic
- **Optimized analysis***
 - NN with kinematics and g isolation
 - s/b per event




Final tracker → all materials
 More complete backgrounds

CMS ECAL TDR	CMS PTDR		ATLAS (preliminary!)		
NLO count. exp	NLO cut based	NLO optimized*	TDR (LO)	New, NLO Cut based	New, NLO likelihood
~ 7.5	6.0	8.2	3.9	6.3	8.7



S. Dasu, Aspen 07

⇒ Still the most promising channel for the low mass Higgs

Summary of SM Higgs boson discovery for inclusive production



Full simulation analysis of $qqH, H \rightarrow \tau\tau \rightarrow l+jet$





**discovery
light h
in MSSM**

Discovery in Standard Model

M_H [GeV]	115	125	135	145
Production σ [fb]	4.65×10^3	4.30×10^3	3.98×10^3	3.70×10^3
$\sigma \times \text{BR}(H \rightarrow \tau\tau \rightarrow lj)$ [fb]	157.3	112.9	82.38	45.37
N_S at 30 fb^{-1}	10.5	7.8	7.9	3.6
N_B at 30 fb^{-1}	3.7	2.2	1.8	1.4
Significance at 30 fb^{-1} ($\sigma_B = 7.8\%$)	3.97	3.67	3.94	2.18
Significance at 60 fb^{-1} ($\sigma_B = 5.9\%$)	5.67	5.26	5.64	3.19


ttH, H→bb



Latest CMS results are more pessimistic for this mode due to:


- effects of systematics
- backgrounds with ME Generator (ALPGEN)
- full detector simulation (b tagging, jet resolution)
- new K factors for signal

Improvements (eg. Particle Flow) still possible



SM $H \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$ in associated $t\bar{t}H$ and WH production at high luminosity


Discovery of $t\bar{t}h$, $h \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$



Significance of $t\bar{t}h$, $h \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$ for 100 fb^{-1}



Higgs Boson Mass (GeV)	115	120	130	140
Sig. Selection Eff. (%)	10.7	11.2	11.3	11.3
Number Signal NS	7.42 ± 0.33	7.33 ± 0.33	5.96 ± 0.27	4.21 ± 0.19
Total Number Bcugd	1.61 ± 0.53	2.79 ± 0.62	1.98 ± 0.66	1.10 ± 0.51
Total Number Bcugd from fit w. syst.	2.23 ± 0.34	1.94 ± 0.32	1.60 ± 0.22	1.39 ± 0.22
Signal Significance (ScP)	3.541	3.662	3.257	2.510
Signal Significance (ScP) w. syst.	3.414	3.523	3.184	2.453

Discovery of Wh , $h \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$



Central Exclusive Higgs Production



Exclusive central Higgs production $pp \rightarrow p H p$: 3-10 fb SM
>100 fb MSSM (high $\tan\beta$)



$$M_H^2 = (p + \bar{p} - p' - \bar{p}')^2$$

$\Delta M = O(1.0 - 2.0) \text{ GeV}$


Azimuthal pp correlations:
A way to get information on the spin of the Higgs



FP420 R&D Project


<http://www.fp420.com>

CMS SM Higgs boson discovery: Signal Significance for 30 fb⁻¹






5σ significance with 10 fb⁻¹ over essentially the full range

Mass measurement with $H \rightarrow ZZ \rightarrow 4 \text{ leptons}$ and $H \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$



CP properties from $H \rightarrow ZZ \rightarrow 4$ leptons

$d\Gamma(\eta) \sim H + \eta I + \eta^2 A$,
 H scalar, A – pseudoscalar,
 $\eta = \tan(\xi)$, $\xi = \pm \pi/2 \rightarrow A$



Summary

- The PTDR simulation studies show:
 - SM inclusive $H \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$ could be discovered with $< 10 \text{ fb}^{-1}$
 - associated with $t\bar{t}$ and W ($t\bar{t}h$, Wh): $> \sim 100 \text{ fb}^{-1}$ at high lumi
 - $H \rightarrow ZZ \rightarrow 4l$ and $H \rightarrow WW \rightarrow 2l2\nu$: almost no change in the discovery potential compared to previous results
 - First study of CP mixed Higgs with $H \rightarrow ZZ \rightarrow 4l$
 - $t\bar{t}h$, $h \rightarrow b\bar{b}$ is lost as discovery channel
 - $q\bar{q}h$, $h \rightarrow \tau\tau$ “survived” after full simulation !
 - The biggest discovery reach in MSSM M_A - $\tan(\beta)$ plane
 - Higgs boson parameter measurements:
 - Mass: $\sim 0.1 \%$ accuracy at 30 fb^{-1}
 - Couplings: $\sim 5\text{-}20 \%$ with $2 \times 30 \text{ fb}^{-1}$



SM Higgs: 5σ significance with 10 fb^{-1} over essentially the full range

If there are no bad surprises...

BACKUP

CMS Analysis Projects

The Physics TDRs



<http://cmsdoc.cern.ch/cms/cpt/tdr/>

CERN/LHCC 2006-001

CERN/LHCC 2006-021