SCintillator ECAL Beam Test @ DESY

Satoru Uozumi (Shinshu) May-10 2007 CALICE collaboration meeting

Contents:

- Introduction
- Analysis
 - •Calibration of Detector Performance
 - •Energy resolution
 - •Linearity
 - •Shower curve
- Summary

- **n**
- 9 people from Japan and Korea
- Lots of great helps from DESY-CALICE people.
- We really enjoyed the beam test very much !





3 Types of Modules





MIP Response Calibration



- Calibrate response of each strip using MIP signal.
- Positron beam events taken without tungsten.
- Center of all the strips has been scanned.

Calibration of Detector Response with MIP events

(The MIP events have been taken without tungsten plates)



Black ... trigger/veto cuts Red ... Yellow strips have non-pedestal signal Blue ... Green strips have no signal



Fitting function : Gaussian convoluted Landau $f(x; a, m, w, \sigma) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \text{Landau}(x-y; a, m, w) \text{ Gauss}(y; \sigma) dy$

Mean value of Landau distribution is used as calib. constant.



Electromagnetic Shower events



Energy Resolution, Linearity (fiber+direct module)



- σ_{stat} is larger than expected (12~13% predicted by simulation).
- σ_{const} is not small ... effect of non-uniformity of strip response ? or some other reasons ?



- Linearity is not bad, even without correction of saturation effect of the photon sensor.
- However a systematic shift from linearity has been observed.
- Reason not yet know. Investigating...

Longitudinal Shower Shape



- Some bumps observed on the longitudinal shower shape.
- Calibration is still not good enough ? Investigating...

Still a lot of things to do ...

We need to

- correct for saturation effect of the MPPC
- correct for effect of temperature change
- analyze position dependence of s/E and linearity
- improve tracking (study drift velocity, alignment...)
- compare results with different types of modules
- study about non-zero sconst using simulation
- evaluate systematics

Summary and Plans

- The SCECAL beam test has been carried out at DESY in Feb-Mar 2007.
- We are analyzing the data, and we met some problems:
 - Resolution is worse than simulation.
 - Especially $\sigma_{constant}$ is not small (2~3 %).
 - 3~4% of non-linearity is observed.
 - Bumps on the longitudinal shower curve.
- We are still at the first stage of the analysis, and we still have several things to try :
 - Correct for saturation effect of MPPC, temperature shift
 - Check stability of data
 - Check other types of modules
- Lots of good experience with this beam test data will be useful for the next beam test at Fermilab.