
What the ILCTA@NML and STF will NOT Tell Us
for the EDR by 2011

Sergei Nagaitsev
Sep 27, 2007

EDR will include (M. Ross)

- Basic R&D to demonstrate that all components can be engineered.
 - R&D into alternative solutions to mitigate remaining risk.
 - An overall design to allow machine construction to start within 3 years following its completion.
 - Selection between high tech options to allow industrialization efforts.
 - A comprehensive value-engineering exercise.
 - A complete value cost estimate for the machine, including a funding profile consistent with the project schedule.
 - A project execution plan including a realistic schedule.
 - Designs for facilities shared between different "area systems", and for site-specific infrastructure. The designs must include the level of detail needed for regions to estimate the cost to host.
 - All necessary information must be provided to regions to evaluate project technical and financial risks in support of a bid to host.
 - NML and STF will mostly contribute to items in red.
-

Rough S2 Schedule

Phase	Completion date	Description
0	2005	TTF/FLASH, not final cavity design, type 3 cryomodule, not full gradient, has beam
0.5	2008	Extra tests at TTF/FLASH with same type cryomodules as phase 0
1	2008	1 cryomodule, not final cavity design, type 3 cryomodule (and/or) STF type cryomodule, not full gradient, no beam
1.1	2009	1 RF unit, not all final cavity design, not all type 4 cryomodules, not full gradient, beam not needed for tests, but should be built so it and the LLRF are debugged for the next step
1.2	2010	1 RF unit (replacing cryomodules of phase 1.1), final cavity design, full gradient, type 4 cryomodules, with beam
1.3	2011	1 RF unit (replacing cryomodules of phase 1.1), final cavity design, full gradient, type DFM cryomodules, with beam
1.4	2011	Tunnel mockup above ground. 1 RF unit perhaps built with parts taken from earlier tests. Includes RTML and e+ transport, no beam
2	2013	N RF units at one site (of the final ILC?) as a system test of final designs from multiple manufacturers, no beam
3	2013	XFEL

Fermilab cryomodule plan

- 1st Cryomodule (2007)
 - Assemble a TESLA TTF type III CM from DESY "kit"
 - Cavities built and fully tested by DESY
- 2nd Cryomodule (2008)
 - Also TTF type III cryomodule
 - Cavities are processed and tested in the US
 - Electropolished and tested at JLAB, Cornell, and ANL/FNAL
 - Cryostat and cold mass from Zanon in Europe
- 3rd Cryomodule (2009)
 - 1st type IV ILC cryomodule built anywhere
 - Parts built in U.S. industry
- 4th-6th Cryomodules (2010-11)
 - Build ILC RF unit in U.S.
 - Transfer knowledge gained to Industry

NML plans

- Phase-1 (FY07 - FY08)
 - Prepare Facility for Testing of First Cryomodule (CM1) without Beam
 - Infrastructure, RF Power, controls
 - Cryogenics (Refrigerator #1) => reuse of existing TeV refrigerator
 - Phase-2 & 3 (FY08 - FY10)
 - Install Gun, Injector, CM2 and CM3, Test with Beam
 - New RF Gun
 - Move AO Photo-Injector to NML and Install Test Beamlines
 - Extend Building to fit Third Cryomodule
 - Cryogenics (Refrigerator #2)
 - Upgrade RF System to 10 MW
 - FY11 and beyond run ILC RF unit with full ILC parameters
 - Concern: to run at 5 Hz need a new cryoplant (300 W at 2K). Money for it is not in FY08 or in FY09??. Two year lead time.
-

Some conclusions from looking at our plans

- It is likely that by end of 2010 neither facility will have an rf unit with Type 4 CM's
- NML will not operate at 5 Hz rep rate.
- We (NML or STF) may have at least one CM operating at 31.5 MV/m
 - need to verify gradient with beam - proof of ILC CM existence!
- Neither lab will have a separate CM test stand
 - Thus, no rapid CM tests with pulsed rf power

Phase 1.2 beam tests

- Quench rates & coupler breakdowns at high cavity gradient
- What gradient spread w/ and w/out beam can be handled by LLRF
- Heating from HOMs - principal reason for beam tests
- Beam phase and energy stability
- Demonstrate that we can build an ILC RF unit to specs

EDR

- Using Marc's definition of the EDR
 - most ML technical issues can be evaluated and validated by STF and NML
- We will have difficulties with:
 - long-term reliability tests of CM components, such as tuners, piezos, couplers
 - evaluating HOM absorption and propagation
 - need to do it with an ILC CM's
 - static and dynamic heat loads
 - NML temporary cryo system is not properly instrumented; wrong temperatures

EDR Main Linac Integration tasks

- NML and STF will not validate system optimization for the best “value engineering”, such as
 - Beam dynamics and quadrupoles system design,
 - Cryomodule design with cryogenics system design
- Will not validate some interface parameters:
 - plug compatibility