

Final Doublet Magnetic Design & Connection Model presented by: Brett Parker, BNL







QD0 with Force Neutral Anti-Solenoid.

By constructing anti-solenoid with inner and outer coils of opposite polarities, it is possible to avoid large longitudinal net forces so that anti-solenoid can be combined with the other magnet coils inside QD0 cryostat (smaller impact on the detector design).

Previous large coil anti-solenoid scheme had large longitudinal forces Except for beam tubes, He-II fills spaces inside cold mass containment (yellow shell).

> 5 K heat shield in the cryostat and horizontal section of connection line. "80 K" shielding starts at vertical line section.

Magnet designs to maintain optics BROOKHAVEN flexibility for incoming & extraction lines. Magnet Division

QD0 Cryostat design for L* = 4.5 m worst case.

All magnets are variations of same basic design.

QD0 with active shield



Look for flexible magnet design scheme to accommodate different L*s in each experiment. Incoming beamline magnets are same but first extraction magnet differs.

Optimize anti-solenoid, QDEX1, attachment points/support structure and the cryogenic transfer line routing for each experiment?









Service cryostat connection is taken apart only a few times during lifetime.

Connection point for cold bus and instrumentation leads.

No provision for routine "cutting."

There are a large number of cold bus conductors and instrumentation leads running between the service and QDO cryostats in the 1.9 K He-II channel. Breaking/remaking these connections is a reliability issue. We do not assume that this is done for any routine operation.

Note this also has implications for detector access in the off-beam "park" position. If QD0 has to be moved, then the service cryostat has to move with QD0 even if the service cryostat is itself disconnected from its helium supply and vacuum return lines.

To QD0

We assume warm up/cool down is done in **BROOKHAVEN** Superconducting the park position (i.e. magnets move cold). Magnet Division

Predicting cool down and warm up times is not a simple task and can depend strongly on system details. We need to do estimates for ILC system to determine natural limitations and then can see what (if anything) can be done to speed things up.

> Need stable ILC design configuration to make meaningful progress here.

The much simpler HERA-II magnets took 6-8 hours to warm up and again to cool down to 4.35 K with massive LHe flow. But ILC system also has to fill with 1.9 K He-II so it will require even more time (also add service cryostat). ILC case could easily take more than 1 day.



Assume heat load is dominated by static Superconducting and not dynamic (beam related) effects. **Magnet Division** Bring out top in order (longer path through Pacman) 1000 A & 100 A leads + not to interfere instrumentation leads He-II He-II O()**Reduce tube** Etample. _____ area by 4.5K 80K Beamline flanges, factor Space for He-II kicker body, vacuum-Elevation View of 2. pumps and valves Temperature difference between magnet and heat New space left exchanger depends both on cross sectional area for over for He-II-He-II and the path length. For sizing the connection between QD0 and the service cryostat we take the maximum 1.9K heat load to be 15 watts (14 static + 1 dynamic). 1000 A & 100 A leads + Note that QD0 is conduction cooled and when the area for He-II gets very instrumentation leads small then small changes in parameters, such as the size of the cable See only a small bundle, can make a big difference in performance and cool down time. change in transfer line size but big By adopting a 1 watt budget for dynamic heat load we had difference in area better be sure to consider all possible energy deposition for He-II. scenarios (beam tuning, upsets, wakefield heating etc.). 12





Push-pull complications for cryogenic system. Superconducting

Use flexible chain support and constrained semiflexible transfer lines, in a controlled way, to enable linear motion of cryogenic components.

Ruggero Pengo, Status of the cryogenics project (LAr, He, N2) at Glasgow Meeting, July 10th, 2007





Magnet Division

 ILC push-pull needs an even larger range of motion.

 Note that total cryogenic path length is several times longer than the range of

motion.

ILC IR push-pull makes greater demands than BROOKHAVEN previous work with many subtle implications. Magnet Division



Final Doublet Magnetic Design & Connection Model. Magnet Division

