IR Issues from IRENG07

Philip Burrows

John Adams Institute

Oxford University

SiD-specific talks at IRENG07

- SiD concept overview (Kurt Krempetz)
- Mechanical model (Bob Wands)
- Beampipe and inner detector maintenance (Bill Cooper)
- Endcap / door design concepts (Jim Krebs)
- Preliminary assembly schemes (Marty Breidenbach)
- Beamcal / Gamcal (Bill Morse)
 - http://www-conf.slac.stanford.edu/ireng07/agenda.htm

. . .

Difficult to position lifts and stairs Safety problems during ALL handling operations

Two Large Shafts outside the Footprint



Two Large Shafts - With experiments







CMS – Surface buildings









Elements could be lowered at an angle and rotated in the Transfer Tunnel





One Large Shaft - General view



Movements of Experiment A



ATLAS design progression for experimental area prior to award of civil engineering contract (Osborne)



Opening the plug under the 2000-ton load



Heavy Lifting reviewed by Hubert Gerwig



Push-pull (Alain Herve)

- •
- Exchange must be done quickly, say in three days.
- Goals are ambitious, however, I concluded that they can be met, but this cannot be for free.
- Part of the saving from doing away with a second IR will have to be invested to provide a well-engineered, efficient and safe push-pull system.
- ...
- The 'larger detector' will drive the requirements ...

Moving platform (Herve)

- To move quickly and safely a 12'000-ton (or so) large composite object is not easy and a dedicated platform would do the trick.
- The two experiments will certainly be two projects largely independent from the machine, in organization and financing.
- It is thus very important to provide a well-defined interface from which all parties can design with different time scales (Civil Engineering needs to go in construction earlier than experiments).
- The platform would allow the detector to be commissioned in the garage position and moved in a PREAT BY WORKING STATE TO WARDS IP. SID Monthly Phone Meeting, 4/10/07

... And a clear interface for organization

- Collaboration could be responsible for opening, maintening, closing, and operating its experiment above the platform
- ILC machine could be responsible for moving the platform carrying a detector to the beam position, and from it to the garage positions.
- Mainly beam line would need to be reconnected (and re-aligned), in a common

Philip Burretts

SiD Monthly Phone Meeting, 4/10/07

Concrete platform example

• See John Amman's talk

A 2'500-ton load on the CMS cover : 20 m between supports and 3 mm Sag



Philip Burrows

Expected Cavern Movements (Osborne)

For example for ATLAS Cavern* predictions :

- Up to 2mm settlement after floor concreting
- Up to 5.5mm settlement predicted after ATLAS in place (during first 6 months)
- In the order of 1mm uplift per year thereafter

Monitoring of cavern movements on-going.

These factors need to be considered at an early stage in detector/machine designs

*Extract from CERN EDMS Doc. ATC-T-ER-0004 by C.Lasseur, D. Lissauer, M.Hatch

Progress on many other issues ...

Detector services

Temperature / humidity distributions in IR hall – stability?

Radiation safety

Personnel safety

PACMAN – see GLDC

Push-pull: platforms? Air pads? Rollers?

Detector installation / access / door opening

Alignment of detector, esp. after push-pull? Movers? Inner IR design:

beampipe, QD0 cryostat + cryolines, vacuum, FB BPM ...

'emerging consciousness' on cables, electronics ...

Cryogenics block diagram (Gaddi)



7

Temperature/humidity distribution – CMS (Gaddi)





Philip Burrows DATE 19/09/06 RECORDED BY: RDE TIME 14:00

ı, 4/10/07

QD0 cryolines w. door opening (Parker)



Phi

Vacuum considerations (Malyshev)



Philip Burrows

SiD Monthly Phone Meeting, 4/10/07

