Luminosity performance with optimised feedback signals

- Cradle-to-grave simulation track Bunch through linac/Bds and do angle and IP
 position feedBack
- Feedback by Gain Optimisation and simultaneously using BPM and luminosity signals
- Maximise integrated luminosity and investigate feedback stability

- Feedback simulation software
 Octave (free matlas clone) models feedback and serves as main program
- Linac and BDS modelled using Placet
 - ILC2006e lattice

 Assume perfect linac with 40% emittance Growth and apply Ground Motion to BDS

• Can run in 'full' or 'fast' (G-P run replaced with 2D interpolated spline fit to Beam-Beam curves) modes

Tony Hartin - Oxford

IP position feedback algorithm



Luminosity signal and feedback

Dual PID



Digital memory write					Write			\sim				rite		
Science data ADC	conversion				10	conversion	conversion 🦯				10-bit A-D			
Fast feedback	A-D conversion	Readout		Addition	8-bit flash /	A-D cor	version	Readout	K		Addition	8-bit flash		
Front End	Charge amplifica	amplification and filtering			FE Reset Charg			ge amplification and filtering				FE Reset		
	5	Pe	20 aki)0 ing time	30)8		P	ea	50 aki)8 ng time	6	16	t (ns)

Lumi signal available within 3 bunches

•Two input signals – Y kick and lumi Luminosity is max when lumi-kick gradient zero • So try to minimise gradient using PID

controller and use output as set point for the

position feedback

Feedback on differential signal difficult so constrain max gradient

kick

luminosity

Turn off after 20 bunches and let position fb

do the rest



Kicker field

Luminosity vs kick curve

Tony Hartin - Oxford

9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20

bunch number

Pl Controller & tuning

For Bunch n

 $F_{kick}(n) = F_{kick}(n-1) + (K + K) P_{off}(n) - K P_{off}(n-1)$ Tune Kp and Ki using Zeigler-Nichols method Zeigler-Nichols Kp tuning • Set < = O and increase feedback output K until (K =K) where output starts to oscillate with period P -1 • Then K = 0.45 K and

-2

 $K_i = 1.2 K_i / P_i$

Luminosity versus position and angle offsets



beam-beam y offset versus kick



Tony Hartin - Oxford Beam-Beam curve · Luminosity dependent on offset AND angle · YOFF-kick curve doesn't go through zero initial feedbacks are incorrect · Need fb in offset AND angle

Tony Hartin - Oxford

Optimising the Luminosity







2005 lattice Banana Bunches Correct angle and pos, then do lumi scan further down the train

 2007 lattice shows reduced Banana and nothing gained from doing lumi optimisation further down the train



and the second se

Tony Hartin - Oxford

4 Dec 2007

Pos/Ang fB Luminosity improvement with Z-N and agressive gains on initial Bunches



· Max lumi gain with aggressive feedback on 1st Bunch Only

Tony Hartin - Oxford

Gain tuning across random seeds



- Need to optimise Gain statistically across seeds, But..
- On average there will be non-optimal gain and the luminosity suffers at start of train
- Try to improve lumi using luminosity signal

Tony Hartin - Oxford

Luminosity - random seed

l. nominal Position/Angle feedback only, converges slowly 2. Aggressive Gain on lst bunch leads to improvement

3. Optimising gain and adding lumi feedback provide the best lumi gain, but...



Luminosity performance - diff FBs

 Lumi signal feedback is unstable

 would be best to optimise the gain adaptively train-to train

 will look for a way to adaptively set gain on pos/ang feedbacks using the luminosity signal from bunch 3 in the train

Tony Hartin - Oxford

+ Dec 2007

Conclusions

- Zeigler-Nichols gives "safe" gains reliable but slow
- Optimal Gains probably vary widely train-to-train aggressive Gain on lst bunch only gives integrated lumi improvement
- Feedback using lumi signal gives better integrated lumi improvement, but unreliabel differential signal
- Look at using lumi signal to set position/angle fB gains adaptively