



1

#### MERLIN Simulations with Coupler Wake Fields and RF Kicks

Dirk Kruecker

Outline of this talk:

- Introduction
- Old coupler design
- New coupler design
- RF kicks
- Discussion

# Introduction

#### Resonator TESLA TEST Facility (DESY-HOM-Coupler)



# Introduction



old

Kick in y can be compensated by a change in design.

# Introduction



- Implemented in MERLIN as ParticleProcess
  - Old and new design

<u>Upstream</u>

wake fields and RF kick

#### Implementation - Coupler Wake Fields

Wake fields and RF kick in linear approximation:
old design

$$k_{T} = \begin{pmatrix} -0.021 \\ -0.019 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 4.3 & 0.07 \\ 0.03 & -0.9 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix}$$

new design much smaller on axis  $k_T = \begin{pmatrix} -0.0025 \\ -0.0002 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 2.33 & 0.04 \\ -0.02 & -1.1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix}$ 



Wake fields as purely capacitive wake in coupler

electron: 
$$w_T(s)$$
, bunch distribution:  $g(s)$   
bunch:  $W_T(s) = \int_{-\infty}^{s} w_T(s-s') g(s') ds'$   
capacitive:  $w_T = 2k_T$ 

# Implementation - RF kicks



- MAFIA simulation exists and can be used to described the RF fields
- For the simulations presented here only a linear approximation had been used:

 $\kappa := \frac{V^{up+down}}{V_z} = 10^{-4} \begin{pmatrix} -0.82 + 0.59i \\ 0.73 + 0.08i \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} -20 - 27i & 63 + 38.5i \\ 63 + 7i & +28 + 24i \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix}$  $k_T = \Re \left( \kappa e^{i(\varphi - kz)} \right), \quad k = 2\pi f/c, \qquad z \text{ rel. particle position within the bunch}$  $k_T(0,0) \sim 1 \, KV$ 

## **MERLIN Simulations**

- Special lattice based on ILC2006c but without undulator (ELIN1 & ELIN2 & EBDS rematched) to avoid complication related to the undulator bypass
- perfect machine no errors
- no earth curvature straight
- One-to-one steering in ML
- Standard cavity wake fields included (TESLA)
- A FFB system is assumed to put the bunch centre to the nominal position between ML and BDS
- Linear tuning (waist, dispersion, coupling) at IP
- Lumi calculations with GUINEAPIG see Isabell's talk
- Full particle simulation with typical 100k particles
- Gausian bunch :

$$\sigma_z = 300 \mu$$
,  $\sigma_{dp} = 0.0107$ ,  $\gamma \epsilon_x = 8 \cdot 10^{-6}$ ,  $\gamma \epsilon_x = 20 \cdot 10^{-9}$   
 $Q = 2 \cdot 10^{10}$ , phase = 5.3°



# Coupler wake fields – old design

- Projected emittance along the main linac no undulator bypass
  - strongly oscillating emittance
  - large increase



Let's have a look at the bunch



#### Coupler wake fields – old design





## Coupler wake fields – old design





### Coupler wake fields – new design



- Projected emittance
  - Negligible effect see below



### **Coupler RF kicks - Emittance**

- Projected emittance along the main linac
  - Strong transverse kick 1KV/cavity –
  - correctors (at each quads) preset to compensate + 1-2-1
  - Net increase of 1.5 nm in





#### **Coupler RF kicks - Trajectory**



13



 $\gamma \epsilon_{y}^{c} = \gamma \sqrt{[\langle y^{2} \rangle - \langle y \delta \rangle^{2} / \langle \delta^{2} \rangle][\langle y'^{2} \rangle - \langle y' \delta \rangle^{2} / \langle \delta^{2} \rangle] - [\langle yy' \rangle - (\langle y \delta \rangle)(\langle y' \delta \rangle) / \langle \delta^{2} \rangle]}$ 

- The emittance growth is almost purely dispersive
- for a perfect linac and a perfect 1-2-1 steering
  - No strong effect within a bunch by the  $\cos(\varphi-kz)$ -term





#### Conclusion

- The coupler wake fields and coupler RF kick has been implemented in MERLIN
- Old coupler design: Critically large wake fields
- New design: Negligible coupler wake fields (1/100 smaller in y, 1/10 order in x)
- Transverse kick at RF coupler similar to a 100 µrad cavity tilt
  - Dispersive emittance growth
- Additional studies necessary
  - alignment errors, DFS etc.
  - alternative configurations (alternating cavities)?