
Electron Reconstruction Study of LDC01Sc Model (Based on FullLDCTracking and PandoraPFA)

Hengne Li, Roman Pöschl
Groupe ILC, LAL BP34, 91898 Orsay, France

OUTLINE


- Objective and Work Flow
- Simulation / Data Samples
- FullLDCTracking
- PandoraPFA Clustering and PFA
- Cut Based Electron Identification
- Conclusion / Outlook

Objective and Work Flow

- Objective:

- Provide good electron data sample for Higgs Recoil Mass Study ($ee \rightarrow ZH \rightarrow eeX$)

- Work Flow



Simulation / Data Samples

■ Simulation




- Mokka,
- LDC01Sc Model, with Sit01 (instead of Sit00)
- Particle Gun,

■ Data Samples

- e-, mu-, pi-
- 10GeV, 30GeV, 50GeV, 70GeV, 90GeV
- θ Uniform Smearing:
 - Barrel Only: $\cos(\theta) \in (0, 0.819)$; avoiding FTD
 - Barrel+Endcap: $\cos(\theta) \in (0, 1)$
- 1000 Events Each

Tracking Quality





- Bremsstrahlung Effect
 - Decreasing the quality and efficiency of electron tracking



Tracking Quality

■ Results for 30 GeV Electrons

- resolution of $1/P$, ϕ and θ achieved 5.8×10^{-5} (1/GeV), 6.59×10^{-5} (rad) and 4.39×10^{-5} (rad), respectively.






Tracking Quality


■ Resolution

□ e.g. $E > 30$ GeV, Barrel

- $\sigma(1/P) < 6 \times 10^{-5}$ (1/GeV)
- $\sigma(\theta) < 0.05$ mrad
- $\sigma(\phi) < 0.07$ mrad



Tracking Quality





- Fraction of electrons with at least one correctly Linked LDCTracks
 - Barrel Only : ~95%
- **Never mean not linked is not good!**

Discussion



- Because of bremsstrahlung, more LDCTracks reconstructed than the number of initial electrons.
- e.g. for 1000 electrons with momentum of 30 GeV, barrel region
 - 1072 LDCTracks reconstructed
 - 934 electrons with only one LDCTrack (which is correctly linked)
 - remaining 138 LDCTracks belong to the remaining 65 electrons.
 - Mostly, (~53 electrons), due to SiTracks and TPCTracks cannot be linked together by Kalman Filter after photon radiated
 - rarely, (~9 electrons), due to more than one TPCTracks reconstructed in case that photon radiated within TPC,
 - More rarely, (~3 electrons), due to the conversion of radiated photon into a electron/positron pair.

Clustering and PFA Quality

Results for 30GeV Electrons



Energy Resolution and Efficiency



Resolution

- Barrel Only: $\alpha = 17.6\%$
- Barrel+Endcap: $\alpha = 19.3\%$

Efficiency

- $N_{PFOs \text{ Reconstructed}} / N_{\text{Initial Primary Electrons}}$
- Barrel Only: $\sim 100\%$

Cut Based Electron Identification




30GeV e-, mu- and pi- sample

Identification Variables:

□ $E_{Ratio} = E_{ECAL} / P_{Track}$

□ $E_{frac} = E_{ECAL} / E_{total}$ of a Cluster

□ $R_P = \sum_{i=nHits} r_i E_i^{0.4} / \sum_{i=nHits} E_i^{0.4}$ of a Cluster



Cut Based Electron Identification



■ Definition of Efficiency and Rejection Rate

□ Efficiency: $Eff = N_{\text{Electrons Identified}} / N_{\text{Electron PFOs from PFA}}$

□ Rejection Rate: $Rej = 1 - N_{\text{Mis-Identified}} / N_{\text{Background PFOs from PFA}}$


■ Efficiency and Rejection Rate for particular Identification Variable

□ EPratio Only (for only Barrel Region)





Cut Based Electron Identification

□ Epratio: (continue)






- Muons are totally rejected with only Epratio variable.

□ Efrac Only: (for Barrel Region Only)



Cut Based Electron Identification



- Rp Only: (for Barrel Region Only)
 - Since muons are totally rejected by EPratio
 - Fix the Rp lower cut of 7 mm, adjust upper cut for optimization



Cut Based Electron Identification

- Overall Efficiencies and Rejection Rates of Different Cut Scenarios
 - Cut Scenarios: 1 to 6, looser to tighter (or softer to harder)


Cut Scenario	1	2	3	4	5	6
Epratio	0.6	0.65	0.7	0.75	0.8	0.85
Efrac	0.96	0.96	0.97	0.97	0.98	0.98
Rp	51	49	47	45	43	41



For Barrel Only

Cut Based Electron Identification

- Overall Efficiencies and Rejection Rates (continue) For Barrel Only



- e.g. For $ee \rightarrow ZH \rightarrow eeX$,
 - di-electron momentum mainly within 20 – 70 GeV
 - Cut Scenario2 and Cut Scenario3 are suitable:
 - Efficiency > 99.5 %;
 - Rejection Rate for pions
 - E of > 30 GeV: > 98%
 - E of 10 to 30 GeV: > 95%

Conclusion and Outlook

- During this analysis:
 - FullLDCTracking performance : good! :D
 - PandoraPFA performance: good! :D
- Electron Identification Object achieved
 - Efficiency > 99.5%; Rejection Rate for pion > 98%*
 - EID cuts optimization for physics study is on going
 - Likelihood implementation is on going
- **Bremsstrahlung**: most painful nature of electrons
 - Tracking should be improved or optimized for **electrons**

* For Barrel, pion Energy > 30 GeV,



Backup Slides



* For Barrel, 30 to 70 GeV,

Cut Based Electron Identification

- Overall Efficiencies and Rejection Rates (continue) For Barrel Only



- Optimization of Cuts
 - Define Optimization Factor as:
 - reflects the efficiency and rejection rate together, and respects to different background rate of different physics channel to be studied.

$$F_{opt} = (f_e \text{Eff} + f_b \text{Rej}) / (f_e + f_b),$$

where f_e is the fraction of final state electrons,
 f_b is the fraction of final state background particles


Cut Based Electron Identification

■ Cut Optimization (continue)


□ e.g. assume $f_e = f_b$

For Barrel Only

Optimization Factor of Different Energies vs. Cuts



Optimization Factor of Different Cuts vs. Energies



■ e.g. For $ee \rightarrow ZH \rightarrow eeX$,

□ di-electron momentum mainly within 20 – 70 GeV

□ **Cut2 and Cut3 are suitable:**

■ **Eff > 99.5 %; Rej ~ 99.0%**


Cut Based Electron Identification

■ Cut Optimization (continue)


□ e.g. assume $f_e = f_b$

For Barrel Only

Optimization Factor of Different Energies vs. Cuts



Optimization Factor of Different Cuts vs. Energies



■ e.g. For $ee \rightarrow ZH \rightarrow eeX$,

□ di-electron momentum mainly within 20 – 70 GeV

□ **Cut2 and Cut3 are suitable:**

■ **Eff > 99.5 %; Rej ~ 99.0%**