

# Scintillator-ECAL for the ILD detector


Jan-14-16 ILD workshop @ Zeuthen

S. Uozumi for the SCECAL group

(KNU, Kobe, Niigata, Shinshu, Tokyo, Tsukuba)

1. Introduction
2. Photon Sensor R&D
3. ECAL prototype and Beam Test @ DESY
4. Extruded scintillator R&D and Beam Test @ KEK
5. FNAL Beam Test Plan
6. Summary

# The Scintillator-Tungsten ECAL




Scintillator strip  
(4.5 x 1 x 0.3 cm)




- A PFA calorimeter designed for the GLD detector.
- Sandwich structure with Scintillator(2mm)-Tungsten(3mm) layers.
- Adopt well-understood plastic scintillator technique.
- Scintillator strip structure (1 x 4.5 cm)
  - Aiming to reduce number of readout channels while keeping granularity of 1 x 1 cm.
  - Utilize extruded scintillator technique to reduce production cost.
  - Strip clustering is a key issue. (->Daniel's talk)
- Full MPPC (Multi-Pixel Photon Counter) readout.
- Number of readout ~ 10 M channels

# The Multi Pixel Photon Counter (MPPC)

- A Geiger-mode avalanche photo-diode with multi-pixel structure -



- Belongs to Pixelated Photon Detector family (same as SiPM)
- Manufactured by Hamamatsu Photonics.
- High Gain ( $10^5 \sim 10^6$ )
- Good Photon Detection Efficiency ( $\sim 15\%$  with 1600 pixel)
- Compact (package size  $\sim$  a few mm)
- Low Cost
- Insensitive to magnetic field
- Dark noise exists ( $\sim 100$  kHz)
- Input vs output is non-linear



We are developing and studying the 1600-pixel (or more) MPPC with Hamamatsu for the Scintillator-ECAL readout.

# R&D Status of the 1600 pixel MPPC


	Performance	status
Gain	$10^5 \sim 10^6$	OK
Photon Detection Eff.	~0.2 for 1600 pix. MPPC	OK
Dark Noise Rate	~ 100 kHz	OK
Photon counting	Great	OK
Bias voltage	~ 70 V	OK
Size	Compact	OK
Dynamic range	<b>Determined by # of pixels and recovery time</b>	underway
Cost	Expected to be < \$10	Negotiating
Long-term Stability	Unknown	To be checked
Robustness	Unknown, presumably good	underway
Radiation hardness	Concerned	underway
B field	Expected to be Insensitive	Looks OK (by TPC group)
Timing resolution	Expected to be 0.1~1 ns	To be checked

# Scintillator-ECAL prototype and Beam Test @ DESY in Mar 2008


- Check performance of EM calorimeter with scintillator-strips and MPPC using 1-6 GeV positron beams.
- Trial of massive use of the MPPC.  
(Those 2 things are world's first trial !)
- Test direct readout and extruded scintillator strips.

In 2007 Spring beam test has been performed at DESY using 1-6 GeV  $e^+$  beams. People from KNU, Kobe, Shinshu, Tokyo with great help from DESY people

(1600 pixels)





Scintillator strip  
(1 x 4.5 x 0.3 cm)




Tungsten  
(3.5 mm thick)


Scintillator layer  
(3 mm thick)




# 3 Types of Modules




① WLSF readout  
13 layers



② Direct readout  
13 layers





③ WLSF readout  
by extrusion technique  
13 layers



- For ① and ②, well-known Kuraray scintillator is used.
- Extruded scintillator for ③ is very important for cost reduction.

# Energy Resolution, Linearity







- Energy resolution  $\sim 13\%/\sqrt{E} \oplus 2.5\%$  with fiber+direct config, almost consistent with expectation.
- Significant constant term with extruded + fiber config due to strip response non-uniformity.
- Deviation from linearity  $< 2\%$ , even without saturation correction of the MPPC response.



# Uniformity of MIP Response inside Strip



MIP data is taken without tungsten absorbers.



- Kuraray fiber readout strip shows the best uniformity.
- Direct readout strip is a little worse than fiber readout.
- Extruded shows significant non-uniformity (50% light attenuation at strip edge).

# Comparison between Kuraray and extruded scintillator strips


Extruded scintillators have some problems.




# Extruded scintillator R&D and KEK Beam Test (Nov 2007)

- Extruded scintillator R&D is very important for cost reduction of scintillator production.
- However first prototype showed large response non-uniformity at the DESY beam test.
- Need to perform deeper study of improved extruded scintillator strips by 2D scanning with MIPs (3 GeV electrons).
  - Evaluate light yield , position dependence , strip-by-strip variation
  - What factor affect to those performance?
    - Optical matching between fiber and MPPC
    - Cover material
    - Attenuation length of scintillator
- Compare various extruded scintillator strips with Kuraray strips.

# Tested Scintillator Strips




	Scintillator	Read-out	Cover	Thickness(mm)	
A1	Extruded	Fiber	TiO <sub>2</sub> (white)	3	No fiber
A2			good positioning		
B1			<b>Reflector film</b>		big hole For fiber
B2			matched hole for fiber		
C1			Direct		TiO <sub>2</sub> (white)
D	Kuraray	Direct	<b>Reflector film</b>	2	
E					<b>Megastrip structure</b>
F					Fiber




# Response for MIP

Black ... all collected events  
Red ... selected MIP events


Extruded, TiO<sub>2</sub>  
Fiber readout  
but no fiber





Extruded, TiO<sub>2</sub>  
Fiber readout




Extruded, reflector  
Fiber readout  
large hole




Extruded, reflector  
Fiber readout  
Matched hole




Extruded, TiO<sub>2</sub>  
Direct readout



Kuraray 2 mm  
reflector  
Direct readout



Kuraray 3 mm  
reflector  
Direct readout




Kuraray 3 mm  
reflector  
Fiber readout


# Longitudinal Response Uniformity

MPPC


Extruded, TiO<sub>2</sub>  
Fiber readout  
but no fiber





Extruded, TiO<sub>2</sub>  
Fiber readout




Extruded, reflector  
Fiber readout  
large hole




Extruded, reflector  
Fiber readout  
Matched hole




Extruded, TiO<sub>2</sub>  
Direct readout



Kuraray 2 mm  
reflector  
Direct readout




Kuraray 3 mm  
reflector  
Direct readout



Kuraray 3 mm  
reflector  
Fiber readout

# Future plan : The FNAL beam test in Aug 2008

- Establish the Scintillator-strip ECAL
  - Test linearity of the full-MPPC readout calorimeter with high energy beam.
  - Evaluate all the necessary performances using various beams ( $\pi, K, e, \mu, \dots$ ) with wider energy range
  - Make the SCECAL ready for the engineering design
- Combined test with the Analog HCAL
- Test  $\pi^0 \rightarrow 2\gamma$  reconstruction
- Measure hadron shower to test simulation model
  - Compare the result with various models
  - Precise hadron simulation will help study of PFA



- The 2<sup>nd</sup> prototype will be 4 times larger than the DESY BT module. (20 x 20 cm, ~30 layers)
- Fully adopt the extruded scintillators.
- Expect > 2000 readout channels.

# Other Ongoing / Remaining Jobs


- Strip Clustering – excellent work is underway by Daniel Jeans.
- Further study and development of MPPC is extensively ongoing.  
(more dynamic range, radiation hardness, etc...)
- Engineering design – no man-power available for now
- Detector calibration using pions in QCD jet events  
- simulation study ongoing.





# Summary

- Study of the scintillator-ECAL is steadily ongoing.
- R&D of the photon sensor is underway collaborating with Hamamatsu.
- Current 1600-pixel MPPC sample already shows almost satisfactory performance.
- We have proven that scintillator-strip calorimeter with full MPPC readout works.
- Study of the extruded scintillator production is ongoing in Korea.
- From results of the KEK beam test, we understand how to improve the performance of extruded scintillators.
- The Scintillator-ECAL technology will be established and all tested at the next FNAL beam test in this year.
- There are still some concerns, however almost of them can be solved.
  - Dynamic range – MPPC improvement ongoing.
  - Granularity – up to 1 x 1 cm possible
  - Strip clustering – work ongoing.
  - Cost – extrusion method will reduce scintillator cost. MPPC cost is another key issue.
  - Detector calibration – will use MIPs in jets, study ongoing.
- The SCECAL has great possibility as the ILD EM calorimeter !


# Backups



# The DESY beam-test line




# What was happening with the extruded scintillator ?



- Some problems were found.
- Production of improved version will be done soon and its performance will be checked at KEK beam test in next month.


# Position Dependence : Response to EM Shower

(taken with 3 GeV positron beam)



- ~ 11 % of peak-to-peak-variation with direct+fiber config.
- ~ 30 % variation with extruded + fiber config due to strip non-uniformity.

# Position Dependence : Energy resolution (taken with 3 GeV positron beam)



- Just a small variation is observed in almost of region.
- Extruded+fiber config shows a little worse resolution around strip boundary.

# Schedule & people

Nov 16<sup>th</sup> : kick-off meeting

17<sup>th</sup> ~ 21<sup>th</sup> : Setup

22<sup>th</sup> ~ 29<sup>th</sup> : Data taking

(including 4 days of beamtime extension)



29<sup>th</sup> : withdrawal

## People

D. Kong (KNU)  
K. Kawagoe (Kobe)  
D. Jeans (kobe)  
T. Kaneko (Kobe)  
H. Ikeda (Kobe)  
K. Ueyama (Kobe)  
T. Takeshita (shinshu)  
S. Uozumi (shinshu)  
M. Nishiyama (Shinshu)  
Y. Sudo (Tsukuba)  
T. Ikuno (Tsukuba)  
H. Yamazaki (Tsukuba)  
Y. Takahashi (Tsukuba)



# KEK Fuji beam line





- Electron beam line in Fuji experiment hall
- Make use of bremsstrahlung photons from KEKB ring
- Beam energy : 3 GeV (can not be changed for now)
- Beam spot size:  $\sim 3 \times 4$  cm
- Rate: 15Hz @ 3 GeV
- Temperature in the beamline is perfectly stabilized.



# Setup

ATLAS SCT  
12 cm × 6 cm  
 $\sigma_x \sim 25 \mu\text{m}$ ,  
 $\sigma_y \sim 500 \mu\text{m}$

Beam  
 $e^-$




# Extruded scinrillator strips (3 mm thick)

Type : A

with the fiber hole painted by TiO<sub>2</sub>

A1 : fiber-MPPC bad matching  
no fiber inserted

A2 : fiber-MPPC good matching




Type : B

with the fiber hole  
covered with KIMOTO reflector film


B1 : large hole

B2 : matched hole




Type : C

painted by TiO<sub>2</sub>  
without the fiber hole



# Kuraray Scintillators




D : Direct read out (2 mm thick)

E : Direct read out (3 mm thick)


F : Fiber read out (3 mm thick) ... **REFERENCE**

# Lateral Response Uniformity


Extruded, TiO<sub>2</sub>  
Fiber readout  
but no fiber





Extruded, TiO<sub>2</sub>  
Fiber readout




Extruded, reflector  
Fiber readout  
large hole




Extruded, reflector  
Fiber readout  
Matched hole




Extruded, TiO<sub>2</sub>  
Direct readout



Kuraray 2 mm  
reflector  
Direct readout



Kuraray 3 mm  
reflector  
Direct readout



Kuraray 3 mm  
reflector  
Fiber readout