

SiD Global Parameter Optimization using Pandora PFA

15.04.2008 M. Stanitzki

STFC-Rutherford Appleton Laboratory





The Idea

- Use the current best Particle Flow Algorithm
 - PandoraPFA by Mark Thomson
- Start optimizing SiD
 - r,z,T,
 - layers, segmentation
 - material, technology

More Difficult

- Caveat : Only works within Marlin Framework
- No SiD detector model available in this framework
- Have to use a SiD look-alike, the SiDish



The setup

- Use PandoraPFA 2.0 & LCPHYS
- Start of with LDC00Sc (Reference Point)
- Then go to SIDish
- Use track cheating
 - tracking shouldn't matter ... to first order
- Vary parameters
 - radius
 - Z
 - field
 - layers
 - ...



LDC00Sc

- Tracker radius=1.69 m
- Tracker Z=2.73 m
- ECAL SiW 30+10 layers, 1x1 cm tiles
 - 1.4 mm/4.2 mm W + 2.5mm Gaps
- HCAL Fe-Scint 40 layers 3x3 cm tiles
 - 18 mm Iron + 7.5 mm Gap
- 4 T Field
- Basically the old Tesla Design
- A detector that will never be build ...



The "SIDish"

- Tracker radius=1.25m
- Tracker Z=1.7 m
- ECAL SiW 20+10 layers, 1x1 cm tiles
- HCAL Fe-Scint 40 layers 3x3 cm tiles
- Same Calorimeter layout as LDC00Sc (besides ECAL 30+10->20+10)
- 5 T Field





The different variations

- Vary Field
 - 4,5,6 T
- Vary R
 - 1.0, 1.25, 1.5 m
- Vary Z
 - 1.5, 1.7,1.9 m
- Vary ECAL layers
 - 30,40





The different detectors

| Detector TAG | B-field (T) | ECAL layers | ECAL cell size | HCAL layers | HCAL cell size | Tracker radius (mm) | Tracker length (mm) |
|-------------------|----------------|----------------|-------------------|----------------|-------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|
| LDC00Sc | 4 | 40 | 1x1 | 40 | 3x3 | 1690 | 2730 |
| SIDish | 5 | 30 | 1x1 | 40 | 3x3 | 1250 | 1700 |
| SIDish_r10_z17 | 5 | 30 | 1x1 | 40 | 3x3 | 1000 | 1700 |
| SIDish_r15_z17 | 5 | 30 | 1x1 | 40 | 3x3 | 1500 | 1700 |
| SIDish_r125_z15 | 5 | 30 | 1x1 | 40 | 3x3 | 1250 | 1500 |
| SIDish_r125_z19 | 5 | 30 | 1x1 | 40 | 3x3 | 1250 | 1900 |
| SIDish_4T | 4 | 30 | 1x1 | 40 | 3x3 | 1250 | 1700 |
| SIDish_6T | 6 | 30 | 1x1 | 40 | 3x3 | 1250 | 1700 |
| SIDish_ecal40 | 5 | 40 | 1x1 | 40 | 3x3 | 1250 | 1700 |
| SIDish_ecal_05x05 | 5 | 30 | 0.5x0.5 | 40 | 3x3 | 1250 | 1700 |
| SIDish_45T | 4.5 | 30 | 1x1 | 40 | 3x3 | 1250 | 1700 |
| SIDish_55T | 5.5 | 30 | 1x1 | 40 | 3x3 | 1250 | 1700 |





Current Status

- For each point
 - photons, hadrons, uds jets (45,100,250 GeV)
 - approx 45000 events per point
 - Check gear file is correct
 - for all points calibrate PandoraPFA
 - have photons, hadrons, uds jets for 45,100 (some at 250) GeV
- Simulation takes very long time
 - 1000 Z->uds (45 GeV) ~ 44 hours





The results

- Results for 45 GeV & 100 GeV jets
- They are **PRELIMINARY**
- Numbers quoted are
 - cos(Thrust) < 0.7 : Barrel Events</pre>
- There are a set of caveats
 - Calibrate Response for different detector variations
 - Calibration can be retuned with existing samples
- Use latest Mokka Version with better HCAL driver ...
- So numbers could change slightly ...



• SiD •

Grand Overview

| Detector TAG | rms90 |) (91 | GeV) | rms90 | (200 |) GeV) |
|-------------------|-------|-------|------|-------|------|--------|
| LDC00Sc | 24.6 | ± | 0.3 | 29.7 | ± | 0.5 |
| SIDish | 27.9 | ± | 0.4 | 35.4 | ± | 0.7 |
| SIDish_r10_z17 | 30.4 | ± | 0.4 | 42.5 | ± | 0.8 |
| SIDish_r15_z17 | 27.7 | ± | 0.4 | 34.4 | ± | 0.6 |
| SIDish_r125_z15 | 29.0 | ± | 0.4 | 34.4 | ± | 0.6 |
| SIDish_r125_z19 | 28.5 | ± | 0.4 | 36.4 | ± | 0.7 |
| SIDish_4T | 28.9 | ± | 0.4 | 39.4 | ± | 0.7 |
| SIDish_6T | 28.6 | ± | 0.4 | 34.2 | ± | 0.6 |
| SIDish_ecal40 | 27.1 | ± | 0.3 | 33.9 | ± | 0.6 |
| SIDish_ecal_05x05 | 28.1 | ± | 0.4 | 35.7 | ± | 0.7 |



• SiD •

Radial Dependence

Radial Dependence 91 GeV







Radial Dependence (II)







Z dependence





Z dependence (II)

z Dependence 200 GeV







B Field



Science & Technology Facilities Council Rutherford Appleton Laboratory



B Field (II)



Science & Technology Facilities Council Rutherford Appleton Laboratory



Energy Dependence







Jet Energy Resolution



Science & Technology Facilities Council Rutherford Appleton Laboratory

Using Mark's scaling Law



Science & Technology Facilities Council Rutherford Appleton Laboratory

Mark's scaling Law (II)





20



Some comments

- It is clear, that making R bigger does help
- Z is less obvious
- Probably we should scale Z and R at the same time
- B field only has an impact at higher energies
- What should we focus on for discussion:
 - Make the calorimeter deeper
 - move out the ECAL (1.25 to 1.5 meters ...)
 - Is 4 or 4.5 T sufficient ?

• PLEASE COMMENT !





Plans

- Use 500 GeV qq samples (being generated at MIT)
- Run with Digital HCAL samples
- Use latest Mokka for HCAL studies
 - Depth
 - Layers
 - Segmentation ...
- More longterm
 - use org.lcsim Reconstruction via LCIO





Summary

- First results at 200 GeV available
 - The ILC jet physics region ...
- What do want to learn?
 - What is the best PFA detector
 - performance
 - affordable
 - passes the laugh test
 - Stay tuned for Marty's talk
- Will continue working on this, with 500 GeV samples as well
- Thanks to Ray Cowan & Steve Worm for the help in running jobs





The Setup

- •CLHEP 2.0.2.2
- •LCIO v01-09
- •ROOT v5.16.00
- •GEAR v00-08
- •GEANT 4.9.0.p01

- Mokka 06-04-p03
- Marlin v00-09-10
- MarlinUtil v00-05
- MarlinReco v00-05
- PandoraPFA v02-00

