Corrections to the MSSM Higgs Boson Mass of $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_t \alpha_s^2)$

Philipp Kant

in collaboration with
R. V. Harlander, L. Mihaila, M. Steinhauser

Phys.Rev.Lett.100:191602,2008

Karlsruhe University

ILC-ECFA Workshop 2008

Motivation

why consider Higgs sector of MSSM?

- quite predictive
  two new free parameters at tree level

$$M_A, \ \tan\beta$$

- important for possible discovery of MSSM
  light supersymmetric Higgs might be first trace of supersymmetry
- experimental accuracy
  $\delta M_h \approx 100 - 200\text{MeV}$ at LHC, $\delta M_h \approx 50\text{MeV}$ at ILC

Higgs Mass in the MSSM

Higgs Potential

$$V = m_1^2 \left|H_1\right|^2 + m_2^2 \left|H_2\right|^2 + m_{12}^2 \left(\epsilon_{ab} H_1^a H_2^b + \epsilon_{ab} H_1^{a*} H_2^{b*}\right) + \frac{1}{8}\left(g_1^2 + g_2^2\right)\left(\left|H_1\right|^2 - \left|H_2\right|^2\right)^2 + \frac{1}{2} g_2^2 \left|H_1^* H_2\right|^2$$

spontaneous symmetry breaking: H_1, H_2 aquire vacuum expectation values ⇒ gauge bosons and fermions aquire masses.

difference to the SM : quartic terms fixed by gauge couplings

$$\Rightarrow M_h \text{ can be predicted, tree level: } M_h \leq M_z$$

Radiative Corrections to M_h

- 1-loop corrections from top and stop loops $\propto M_t^4$

  [Ellis,Ridolfi,Zwirner 1991; Haber,Hempfling 1991; Okada,Yamaguchi,Yanagida 1991]

significant shift of up to 35GeV

Radiative Corrections to M_h

- 1-loop corrections from top and stop loops $\propto M_t^4$

  [Ellis,Ridolfi,Zwirner 1991; Haber,Hempfling 1991; Okada,Yamaguchi,Yanagida 1991]





  significant shift of up to 35GeV

- from other sectors of the MSSM

  [Chankowski,Pokorski,Rosiek 1994; Dabelstein 1995; Bagger,Matchev,Pierce,Zhang 1997]



  small against the corrections from tops and stops

Relevance of M_t^4 Approximation



2loop Corrections to M_h

- corrections in effective potential approximation ($p^2 = 0$)
  [Brignole, Carena, Casas, Dedes, Degrassi, Espinosa, Haber, Hempfling, Heinemeyer, Hoang, Hollik, Martin, Quirós, Riotto, Rzehak, Slavich, Wagner, Weiglein, Zhang, Zwirner, ... '94-today]

2loop Corrections to M_h

- corrections in effective potential approximation ($p^2 = 0$)
  [Brignole, Carena, Casas, Dedes, Degrassi, Espinosa, Haber, Hempfling, Heinemeyer, Hoang, Hollik, Martin, Quirós, Riotto, Rzehak, Slavich, Wagner, Weiglein, Zhang, Zwirner, ... '94–today]

contributions from t and $\tilde{t}$ loops becomes even more important!





2loop Corrections to M_h

- corrections in effective potential approximation ($p^2 = 0$)

  [Brignole, Carena, Casas, Dedes, Degrassi, Espinosa, Haber, Hempfling, Heinemeyer, Hoang, Hollik, Martin, Quirós, Riotto, Rzehak, Slavich, Wagner, Weiglein, Zhang, Zwirner, ... '94-today]

- momentum dependence $\approx$ 160MeV [Martin '05]

2loop Corrections to M_h

- corrections in effective potential approximation ($p^2 = 0$)
  [Brignole, Carena, Casas, Dedes, Degrassi, Espinosa, Haber, Hempfling, Heinemeyer, Hoang, Hollik, Martin, Quirós, Riotto, Rzehak, Slavich, Wagner, Weiglein, Zhang, Zwirner, ... '94-today]

- momentum dependence $\approx$ 160MeV [Martin '05]
- 3loop LL and NLL through Renormalisation Group [Martin '07]

remaining uncertainty: $3 - 5$GeV
against $100 - 200$MeV at LHC, 50MeV at ILC

Aim: Genuine Three-Loop Calculation

simplifying assumptions

- effective potential approximation
- restrict to t, $\tilde{t}$ sector
  t, $\tilde{t}$, g, $\tilde{g}$, q and $\tilde{q}$ as internal particles

Aim: Genuine Three-Loop Calculation

simplifying assumptions

- effective potential approximation
- restrict to t, $\tilde{t}$ sector
  t, $\tilde{t}$, g, $\tilde{g}$, q and $\tilde{q}$ as internal particles
- assume mass hierarchy

$$M_q = 0, \quad M_t \ll M_{\tilde{t}_1} = M_{\tilde{t}_2} = M_{\tilde{g}} \ll M_{\tilde{q}}$$

asymptotic expansion in small ratios of masses

Aim: Genuine Three-Loop Calculation

simplifying assumptions

- effective potential approximation
- restrict to t, $\tilde{t}$ sector
  t, $\tilde{t}$, g, $\tilde{g}$, q and $\tilde{q}$ as internal particles
- assume mass hierarchy

$$M_q = 0, \quad M_t \ll M_{\tilde{t}_1} = M_{\tilde{t}_2} = M_{\tilde{g}} \ll M_{\tilde{q}}$$

  asymptotic expansion in small ratios of masses
- neglect A_t contributions
  corrections to off-diagonal elements of massmatrix vanish

Calculational Setup

- lots and lots of diagrams (about $16,000$) ...
- ... require automatisation: QGRAF, Q2E, EXP, MINCER, MATAD, FORM

[Nogueira; Harlander, Seidensticker; Larin, Tkachov; Steinhauser; Vermaseren]

Calculational Setup

- lots and lots of diagrams (about $16,000$) ...
- ... require automatisation: QGRAF, Q2E, EXP, MINCER, MATAD, FORM

  [Nogueira; Harlander, Seidensticker; Larin, Tkachov; Steinhauser; Vermaseren]

- regularisation through dimensional reduction [Siegel '79]
  ε-scalars implemented, $M_\varepsilon = 0$ on-shell
- on-shell renormalisation
  recalculation of all relevant renormalisation constants

subleties

- degenerate $\tilde{t}$ masses, no mixing between $\tilde{t}_1$ and $\tilde{t}_2$
  mixing angle receives radiative corrections

subleties

- degenerate $\tilde{t}$ masses, no mixing between $\tilde{t}_1$ and $\tilde{t}_2$
  mixing angle receives radiative corrections

  cannot force degenerate bare $\tilde{t}$ masses
  $\Rightarrow$ expand integrals in $m^2_{\tilde{t}_1} - m^2_{\tilde{t}_2}$

subleties

- degenerate $\tilde{t}$ masses, no mixing between $\tilde{t}_1$ and $\tilde{t}_2$
  mixing angle receives radiative corrections





  cannot force degenerate bare $\tilde{t}$ masses
  $\Rightarrow$ expand integrals in $m^2_{\tilde{t}_1} - m^2_{\tilde{t}_2}$
- finite infrared "divergences"



  either use small ε-scalar mass, or small external momentum

Result

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta M_h \quad = \quad & -\frac{3G_F M_t^4}{\sqrt{2}\pi^2}\Bigg\{ -L_{tS} + \frac{\alpha_s}{\pi}\left[4L_{tS} - 2L_{tS}^2\right] + \left(\frac{\alpha_s}{\pi}\right)^2 \Bigg[-\frac{1091}{324} - \frac{1}{27}\pi^2 - \frac{1}{9}\zeta_3 \\
& + \left(\frac{1591}{108} + 3L_{\mu t} - \frac{1}{3}\pi^2 + \frac{4}{9}\pi^2 \ln 2 - \frac{55}{18}L_{t\tilde{q}} - \frac{5}{6}L_{t\tilde{q}}^2\right) L_{tS} \\
& + \left(-\frac{19}{18} - \frac{3}{2}L_{\mu t} + \frac{5}{3}L_{t\tilde{q}}\right) L_{tS}^2 - \frac{53}{18}L_{tS}^3 \\
& + \left(-\frac{475}{108} + \frac{5}{9}\pi^2\right) L_{t\tilde{q}} + \frac{25}{36}L_{t\tilde{q}}^2 + \frac{5}{18}L_{t\tilde{q}}^3 \\
& + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{M_S^2}{M_{\tilde{q}}^2}\right)\Bigg]\Bigg\},
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
L_{tS} = \ln\frac{M_t^2}{M_{SUSY}^2}, \qquad L_{\mu t} = \ln\frac{\mu^2}{M_t^2}, \qquad L_{t\tilde{q}} = \ln\frac{Mt^2}{M_{\tilde{q}}^2}
$$

Checks

- agreement with literature
  - exact 2-loop [FeynHiggs; Degrassi, Slavich, Zwirner '01]
  - 3-loop LL and NLL [Martin '07]
- every part of the calculation has been done twice
- calculation performed in general covariant gauge
  gauge parameter independent result
- calculated also the case of unbroken SUSY
  loop corrections to M_h vanish

Numerical Impact



Reduced Scale Dependency



Numerical Impact



Numerical Impact



Numerical Impact



Numerical Impact



Numerical Impact



Full Result vs LL Approximation



Full Result vs LL Approximation



Full Result vs LL Approximation



Conclusions

- three-loop calculation of M_h in the MSSM, effect of about 500MeV
  - relevant for LHC and ILC
- renormalisation scale dependence drastically improved
- next step: allow for different mass hierarchies