Requirements for Jet Energy Resolution

Tim Barklow SLAC June 10, 2008

OUTLINE

- Describe a new FastMC tune designed to mimic global behavior of PandoraPFA
- In order to better understand required jet energy resolution, use this FastMC to investigate various contributions to dijet mass resolution
- Revisit some studies of physics error vs jet energy resolution

Use the following single particle calorimeter resolutions in FASTMC to mimick PFA jet energy resolution versus jet energy for jet energies $50 \text{ GeV} < \text{E}_{\text{iet}} < 250 \text{ GeV}$



	$\alpha \equiv \frac{\Delta E_{jet}}{\sqrt{E_{jet}}}$ where $(\Delta E_{jet})_{ee}$	is rms	$\alpha_{90} \equiv \frac{(\Delta E_{jet})_{90}}{\sqrt{E_{jet}}}$ s rms of central 90% core		
	$E_{jet}(GeV)$	$lpha_{90}$	$\frac{(\Delta E_{jet})_{90}}{E_{jet}}$	$\frac{\alpha_{90}}{\alpha}$	
	10	.14	.046	.75	
10	30	.22	.040	.82	
	50	.23	.033	.68	
	102	.32	.031	.68	
	175	.47	.035	.76	
	250	.55	.035	.74	

Behavior of New

FastMC Tune

 $\frac{\Delta E_{\gamma}}{E_{\gamma}} = \frac{0.18}{\sqrt{E_{\gamma}}}$ $\frac{\Delta E_{\pi^{+}, K^{+}, p, n, K_{L}^{0}}}{E_{\pi^{+}, K^{+}, p, n, K_{L}^{0}}} = 0.10$ $\frac{E_{\pi^{+}, K^{+}, p, n, K_{L}^{0}}}{Tracker used for}$

 π^+ , K⁺, p angles.

Light quark jets $ee \rightarrow qq$



Simple study of $\Delta M_{W,Z}$ versus $E_{W,Z}$ & ΔE_{jet} using FASTMC $e^-\gamma \rightarrow v_e W^- \rightarrow v_e \overline{u} d$ $v_e H \rightarrow v_e Z \rightarrow v_e u \overline{u}$

Don't include loss of resolution from:

Neutrinos

Particles outside fid. vol.

Particles below tracker/calorimeter energy thresholds Imperfect V0 finding

 $V_e H \rightarrow V_e Z \rightarrow V_e u \overline{u}$ Error bars correspond to FastMC full rms for $m_{rec} - m_{true}$ where m_{true} $e^{-}\gamma \rightarrow v_{e}W^{-} \rightarrow v_{e}\overline{u}d$ has a Breit-Wigner distribution. $\frac{(\Delta E_{jet})_{90}}{E_{jet}} \approx 0.033$ 105 Neutrinos and particles outside 100 FastMC detector volume or below FastMC energy thresholds 95 are not included in \boldsymbol{m}_{true} . 90 $M_{W,Z}$ (GeV) 85 80 75 70 65 100 200 300 400 500 U $E_{W,Z}$ (GeV)

The approximate expression for the two-jet mass M is

$$M \approx 2E_1 E_2 (1 - \cos \theta)$$
$$\frac{\Delta M}{M} \approx \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{\Delta E_1}{E_1} \oplus \frac{\Delta E_2}{E_2} \right]$$

but the full expression is

$$M = m_1^2 + m_2^2 + 2E_1E_2(1 - \beta_1\beta_2\cos\theta) \quad , \quad \beta_j = \left(1 - \frac{m_j^2}{E_j^2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$\frac{\Delta M}{M} \approx \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{\Delta E_1}{E_1} \oplus \frac{\Delta E_2}{E_2} \oplus \frac{\theta \sin \theta}{1 - \cos \theta} \frac{\Delta \theta}{\theta} \oplus \frac{1 + r^{-1} \cos \theta}{1 - \cos \theta} \frac{m_1^2}{E_1 E_2} \frac{\Delta m_1}{m_1} \oplus \frac{1 + r \cos \theta}{1 - \cos \theta} \frac{m_2^2}{E_1 E_2} \frac{\Delta m_2}{m_2} \right]$$
$$r = \frac{E_1}{E_2}$$

How important are the
$$\frac{\Delta\theta}{\theta}$$
, $\frac{\Delta m_1}{m_1}$, $\frac{\Delta m_2}{m_2}$ terms?

8



Force event into 4 jets. Form dijet pairs and select pair that minizes

$$e^{-}\gamma \rightarrow V_{e}W^{-}Z \rightarrow V_{e}\overline{u}du\overline{u}$$

Back to back W Z \rightarrow 4 jets ($\theta_{wz} = \pi$) no gluon radiation



Force event into 4 jets. Form dijet pairs and select pair that minizes

$$e^{-\gamma} \rightarrow V_{e}W^{-}Z \rightarrow V_{e}\overline{u}du\overline{u}$$

Collinear W Z \rightarrow 4 jets (θ_{WZ} =0) no gluon radiation



Force event into 4 jets. Form dijet pairs and select pair that minizes

$$e^{-\gamma} \rightarrow V_{e}W^{-}Z \rightarrow V_{e}\overline{u}du\overline{u}$$

Back to back W Z \rightarrow 4 jets ($\theta_{WZ} = \pi$) yes gluon radiation



 $e^{-}\gamma \rightarrow v_{e}W^{-}Z \quad \theta_{WZ} = \pi \text{ no gluons}$ $e^{-}\gamma \rightarrow V_{e}W^{-}$ $e^{-}\gamma \rightarrow v_{e}W^{-}Z \quad \theta_{WZ} = \pi$ yes gluons 800 700 $\frac{(\Delta E_{jet})_{90}}{E_{jet}} \approx 0.033$ 600 500 400 300 200 100 0 -40 -20 0 20 -60 40

 $E_w = 150 \text{ GeV}$

Gluon radiation creates a long tail but core width is more or less maintained



60

We have been assuming perfect V0 finding in the plots shown so far. Now go to the opposite extreme and completely turn off V0 finding.

 $V_e H \rightarrow V_e Z \rightarrow V_e u \overline{u}$ $e^-\gamma \to v_e W^- \to v_e \overline{u} d$ 105 All charged track momenta $\frac{(\Delta E_{jet})_{90}}{E_{jet}} \approx 0.033$ calculated at DCA to (0,0,0)100 95 $\Delta M_{z} = \pm \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{\Delta E_{1}}{E_{1}} \oplus \frac{\Delta E_{2}}{E_{2}} \right] M_{z}$ 90 $M_{W,Z}$ 85 $\Delta M_{w} = \pm \frac{1}{\Delta E_{1}} \oplus \frac{\Delta E_{2}}{M_{w}} = \frac{1}{\Delta E_{1}} \oplus \frac{\Delta E_{2}}{M_{w}}$

Table of W,Z Mass Resolution Effects All entries are full rms in GeV

Source of	$E_{\rm W} = 150$	$E_{z} = 150$	$E_{w} = 250$	$E_{z} = 250$
Error	$\Delta M_{ m W}$	ΔM_{z}	$\Delta M_{ m W}$	ΔM_{z}
PFA Jet Energy	2.8	31	2.8	31
Jet Angle/Mass	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5
Jet Finding, $\theta_{\rm WZ} = \pi$	2.2	1.7	2.0	2.5
Jet Finding, $\theta_{WZ} = 0$	3.1	1.9	3.1	1.5
Gluon Rad.	9.2	9.5	7.2	8.6
Intrinsic Width	2.1	2.5	2.1	2.5
No V0 Finding	1.2	1.1	2.8	3.5

Error on $BR(H \rightarrow WW^*)$ from measurement of $e^+e^- \rightarrow ZH \rightarrow q\bar{q}WW^* \rightarrow q\bar{q}q\bar{q}l\nu$ at $\sqrt{s} = 360$ GeV, L=500 fb⁻¹ J.-C. Brient, LC-PHSM-2004-001







The full rms α was used in plotting physics error vs jet energy resolution for the last two analyses (and probably the first one too). The eff. luminosity gain in going from $0.6/\sqrt{E}$ to $0.3/\sqrt{E}$ changes when one uses α_{90} as the jet energy resolution variable:

Eff. Lumi Gain =
$$\left(\frac{\sigma_{.6}}{\sigma_{.3}}\right)^2 \left[\frac{1 + \left(2\frac{\sigma_{.3}}{\sigma_{.6}} - 1\right)\left(\frac{\alpha_{90}}{\alpha} - 1\right)}{1 + \left(2 - \frac{\sigma_{.6}}{\sigma_{.3}}\right)\left(\frac{\alpha_{90}}{\alpha} - 1\right)}\right]^2$$

where $\sigma_{.3}$ and $\sigma_{.6}$ are the physics errors for $\alpha = .3$ and .6 resp.

Assuming
$$\frac{\sigma_{.6}}{\sigma_{.3}} = 1.2$$

eff lumi gain = 1.4 (1.6) (1.9) for $\frac{\alpha_{90}}{\alpha} = 1.00 (0.68) (0.43)$

 $e^+e^- \rightarrow u\overline{u}$





 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \tilde{\chi}_1^+ \tilde{\chi}_1^- \rightarrow \tilde{\chi}_1^0 \tilde{\chi}_1^0 W^+ W^- \rightarrow \tilde{\chi}_1^0 \tilde{\chi}_1^0 qqqq$



22

 $e^+e^- \rightarrow ZHH \rightarrow q\overline{q}bbbb$





ZHH events

LCFI btag NN much improved performance but 5s/ev*



*Recently improved to 0.6s/ev thanks to Ben Jeffery charm mis-id efficiency versus b-tag efficiency







Summary

- Single particle resolution parameters of the org.lcsim FastMC have been tuned to give a reasonable approximation to PandoraPFA v02-01. Using this new FastMC tune several studies were performed/updated.
- Dijet mass resolution for single W,Z bosons appears to be dominated by PFA jet energy resolution angle and jet mass errors are small. For $\Delta E_{jet}/E_{jet}=0.033$ the mass resolutions are 2.8 (3.1) GeV for W (Z).
- Jet finding in final states with two massive bosons produces a dijet mass sys error of 2 2.5 GeV in the absence of gluon rad. This is about the size of the W,Z intrinsic widths.

Summary cont.

- Gluon radiation creates a long tail in the dijet mass distribution for events with two massive bosons. This blows up the rms, but the core width is approx maintained.
- Various jet resolutions variables have been used in the past when quoting physics error vs jet resolution. The choice of variable can affect the lumi gain. Also, jet energy response of detector was often dominated by a $1/\sqrt{E_{jet}}$ term which isn't seen in PFA studies. Redoing these studies using the new org.lcsim FastMC single particle tune and a consistent jet resolution variable $(\Delta E_{jet}/E_{jet})_{90}$ leads to different conclusions regarding the effective luminosity gain.