Summary of Relative RF Distribution Costs with Gradient Considerations (Work in Progress)

> Chris Adolphsen SLAC

Cost of Power and Qext Control

- RDR Linac Cost with Labor
 - 3.89 B + 59% of 14 khr*140 k\$/hr = 5.0 B\$
 - So 1% gradient = 50 M\$
- RDR TTF3 Coupler Cost Including Processing
 - RDR (DESY) Estimate = 11.5 k (9.5 kEuro with 1.2 E/\$)
 - FNAL Estimate = 13.6 + 2.6 = 16.2 k\$ (13.4 kEuro)
 - LAL ILC goal = 28 k (14 kEuro for parts * 1.2 / .6)
- Cost of Qext Control
 - Number of Main Linac Couplers = 14560
 - Cost savings with fixed Qext = 11% (Serge's worst case)
 - So Qext cost with RDR Estimate = 18 M\$
- Cost of Power Control
 - -T + WG = 10.3 k * 14560 / 3.1 (RDR discount) = 48 M

Requires circulators, 7 *different* hybrids, and 7 *different* waveguide connections.

Configuration With Fixed Cavity Power (BCD)

Configuration With Cavity Pair Power Tailoring

Configuration With Individual Cavity Power Tailoring

Requires 8 3dB hybrids, 4 waveguide Ts, and pairing of like cavities.

Requires circulators, 8 3dB hybrids, and 8 waveguide T's.

Fixed vs. Variable Coupling Cost



Cost Comparisons for Single Feed Systems

(Assumes 22-34 MV/m Flat Gradient Distribution)

Adjustability	Cost of P+Q	Loss of Grad	Cost Of Grad	Net (M\$)
P + Q	48 + 18	0	0	66
P, No Q Narrow G*	48	1.5%	75	123
No P, Q Baseline	18	2.7%	135	153
P+Q but Q common	48 + 18	3.0%	150	216

* Assumes Gaussian (4.5% sigma) gradient spread (no sorting), full wall plug power if run at lower currents and increased cooling water overhead.

Check of J. Branlard et al estimate of a 3.2% gradient loss with P adjustment but common Q: Karl Bane computes 2.8 +/- .03%





Alternative RF Distribution System



Variable Tap-offs (VTOs)

3 dB Hybrids

Gradient Optimization with and Without VTOs and Circulators

Consider uniform distribution of gradient limits $(G_{lim})_i$ from 22 to 34 MV/m in a 26 cavity rf unit - adjust cavity Q's and/not cavity power (P) to maximize overall gradient while keeping gradient uniform (< 1e-3 rms) during bunch train

Case	Not Sorted [%]	Sorted [%]
Individual P's and Q's (VTO and Circ)	0.0	0.0
1 <i>P</i> , individual Q's (Circ but no VTO)	2.7 ± 0.4	2.7 ± 0.4
P's in pairs, Q's in pairs (VTO but no Circ)	7.2 ± 1.4	0.8 ± 0.2
1 P, Q's in pairs (no VTO, no Circ)	8.8 ± 1.3	3.3 ± 0.5
G _i set to lowest G _{lim} (no VTO, no Circ)	19.8 ± 2.0	19.8 ± 2.0

Optimized $1 - \langle G \rangle / \langle G_{lim} \rangle$; results for 100 seeds

Cost Estimates for Various 8-Cavity Distribution System in 'Small' Quantities

Use Results to Gauge Whether Eliminating Isolators Is Cost Effective

Parts Cost for Baseline RF Distribution

No Power Adjustability, includes Phase Shifters Instead of 3 Stub Tuners

8×\$6,650	\$53,200
8×\$6,500	\$52,000
8×\$5,663.57	\$45,309
4×\$7,500	\$30,000
8×\$3,300	\$26,400
15 × \$1,236.48	\$18,547
8×\$2,000	\$16,000
10×\$1,150 (\$1,205MEGA)	\$11,500
110 × \$78.95	\$8,685
8×\$800?	\$6,400
8×\$588	\$4,704
1 × \$4,000	\$4,000
7 × \$371	\$2,597
1 × \$1,000	\$1,000
4 × \$250	\$1,000
1 × \$756.75	<u> \$757</u>
	\$282,100
	$8 \times \$6,650$ $8 \times \$6,500$ $8 \times \$5,663.57$ $4 \times \$7,500$ $8 \times \$3,300$ $15 \times \$1,236.48$ $8 \times \$2,000$ $10 \times \$1,150 (\$1,205MEGA)$ $110 \times \$78.95$ $8 \times \$800?$ $8 \times \$588$ $1 \times \$4,000$ $7 \times \$371$ $1 \times \$1,000$ $4 \times \$250$ $1 \times \$756.75$

Parts Cost For ACD System with Cavities Fed in Pairs

Includes Power Adjustability (VTOs) and Phase Shifters but no Isolators Same as First Version for FNAL expect without Isolators

VTO's	4×\$16,900	\$67,600
Support frame	4×\$7,500	\$30,000
Hybrids	4×\$6,650 (\$4,600MEGA)	\$26,600
Phase shifters	8×\$3,300	\$26,400
E-plane bends (cust.)	26×\$900	\$23,400
Pressure windows	4×\$5,663.57	\$22,654
Loads (1 MW)	8×\$2,000	\$16,000
Directional couplers	10×\$1,150 (\$1,205MEGA)	\$11,500
Gaskets	$112 \times \$78.95$	\$8,842
E-plane bends (6"×6")	6×\$841.12	\$5,047
H-plane bends	4×\$1,236.48	\$4,946
Flex guide (atm.)	8 × \$588	\$4,704
Load (5 MW)	1 × \$4,000	\$4,000
Flex guide(press.)	4 × \$756.75	\$3,027
~8" spools	8 × \$371	\$2,968
Pressure section+inlet flange	1 × \$1,000	\$1,000
Nuts&bolts	4 × \$250	<u>\$1,000</u>
TOTAL		\$259,688

Economy ACD System with Cavities Fed in Pairs

Eliminate Phase Shifters, Use Simpler Parts

VTO's	4×\$16,900	\$67,600
Hybrids (atm.)	4×\$6,000?	\$24,000
Support frame	4×\$7,500	\$30,000
Phase Spacers	16×\$400?	\$6,400
E-plane bends (cust.)	$6 \times \$900$	\$5,400
Loads (1 MW)	8×\$2,000	\$16,000
Directional couplers	10×\$1,150 (\$1,205MEGA)	\$11,500
Pressure windows	$4 \times$ \$2,500 (SLAC block)	\$10,000
Gaskets	112 imes \$78.95	\$8,842
E-plane bends (6"×6")	6×\$841.12	\$5,047
H-plane bends	4×\$1,236.48	\$4,946
H-plane bends (atm., cust.)	8×\$747	\$5,976
E-plane U bends (atm.)	$8 \times $800?$	\$6,400
Flex guide (atm.)	$8 \times \$588$	\$4,704
Load (5 MW)	$1 \times $4,000$	\$4,000
Flex guide(press.)	2×\$756.75	\$1,514
~8" spools (atm.)	8×\$250?	\$2,000
Pressure section+inlet flange	$1 \times $ \$1,000	\$1,000
Nuts&bolts	4 × \$250	<u>\$1,000</u>
TOTAL		\$216,329



Parts Cost for RF Distribution w/ Variable Coupling to Each Cavity – Economy Version

Hybrids (pressurizable)	8×\$6,650	\$53,200
Magic Tee's	8×\$5,000?	\$40,000
H-plane U bends (press.)	16×\$2,000?	\$32,000
Coupling Spacers	32×\$400?	\$12,800
Spools (press.)	$24 \times $400?$	\$9,600
H-plane bends	7×\$1,236.48	\$8,655
Isolators	8×\$6,500	\$52,000
Support frame	4×\$7,500	\$30,000
Phase Spacers	$16 \times $400?$	\$6,400
Loads (1 MW)	8×\$2,000	\$16,000
Directional couplers	10×\$1,150 (\$1,205MEGA)	\$11,500
Pressure windows	$8 \times$ \$2,500 (SLAC block)	\$20,000
Gaskets	153 imes \$78.95	\$12,709
E-plane U bends (atm.)	8×\$800?	\$6,400
Flex guide (atm.)	$8 \times \$588$	\$4,704
Load (5 MW)	1 × \$4,000	\$4,000
Flex guide(press.)	1 × \$756.75	\$757
Pressure section+inlet flange	1 × \$1,000	\$1,000
Nuts&bolts	4×\$250	<u>\$1,000</u>
TOTAL		\$322,095

Summary of RF Dist Costs

(For RDR, 560 rf units at 296 k\$ per system = 166 M\$)

Configuration	8-Cavity Cost (k\$) (small quantities)	Cost (M\$) Differences Scaled to ILC	Cost (M\$) due to Gradient Loss*	Net Cost Change (M\$)
Baseline	282	-	135	135
ACD Two Feed	260	-13	40	27
ACD Two Feed Economy Version	216	-39	40	1
ACD One Feed Economy Version	322	+24	0	24

* For ACD Two Feed case, assume 0.8% grad loss if 26 cavities sorted in pairs by grad