Direct Coupling Simulation

François Corriveau and Ze Yue Niu

IPP/McGill University



CALICE Week, Manchester, UK

8 September 2008

- Introduction to direct coupling
- The measurements at NIU/NICADD
- Standalone simulation program
- Results
- Outlook



Attaching a MPPC device directly to a scintillator tile may simplify considerably the design of a calorimeter, its construction and lower the costs correspondingly.

No fiber would be required to transport the signal and dead space would be reduced.



The simulation aims to understand the existing measurements and the responses to various options.

GEANT4 vs home-made MC

Geant4 code kindly provided by Valeri Saveliev, Obninsk State Univ., Russia

- The basic setup exists and runs under Scientific Linux, e.g. at DESY: a single tile surrounded by paper-thin surfaces to provide reflections, other properties, a MPPC and various incident beams.
- Unfortunately, this is not a standard GEANT4 setup and our lack of expertise was insufficient to make it run at Regina.

Standalone simulation by F.Corriveau and Z.Niu (summer student)

- C++ code
- Beam description matching the NIU/NICADD description
- Many rough approximations, some arbitrary fluctuations
- Several parameters available for understanding and tuning (next)
- Histograms drawn by ROOT

Reference Parameter Set

_	<tile></tile>				
	Half Dimension (x y z) (mm):	15	15	2.5	30x30 mm ²
	Corner Cut Length (mm):	0			
	Light attenuation length (mm):	500			
	Threshold energy where photon stops (MeV):	0.002			
	Refractive index:	1.59			
	Minimum Reflectivity:	0.95			
	Maximum Reflectivity:	0.99999			
	Minimum dE/dx (MeV/mm):	0.2052			
	<MPPC>		15		
	Lower left corner (x, y, z) (mm):	-0.5 0 E	10 15	-0.5	1x1 mm ²
	Absorption:	0.5	CI	0.5	
		0.7			
	<sources></sources>				
	Position x components (min max step) (mm):	-20	20	1	
	Position y components (min max step) (mm):	-20	20	1	40x40 mm ²
	Position z components (min max step) (mm):	0.2	0.2	1	
	Standard Deviation of particle spread:	0.6			
	Number of particles at each source point:	500			
	Ionization density along particle path (mm ⁻¹):	70			
	Number of photons emitted per ionization ever	nt:	1		
	Standard deviation of energy distribution of single photons:			0.2	

Simulations vs Measurements

A.Dyshkant, K.Francis, V.Zutshi: "Direct Coupling", CALICE Meeting, Prag, Sept. 2007

The MPPC is located in the center of the bottom face





Response Uniformity

Measurement



F.Corriveau, IPP/McGill Univ.

CALICE Weekly - 2008.09.08 Direct Coupling Simulation 6/18

vs Thickness

Measurement



♦ 6mm **=** 5mm 4mm **⊠** 3mm

Simulation

3 mm



4 mm





F.Corriveau, IPP/McGill Univ.

CALICE Weekly - 2008.09.08

0.05

0.05

Direct Coupling Simulation

7/18

vs Size Length

Measurement

Green Square Cell with 3mm Thickness



Simulation



F.Corriveau, IPP/McGill Univ.

CALICE Weekly - 2008.09.08

8/18



The MPPC is located in the center of a side face



vs Thickness

Scan



F.Corriveau, IPP/McGill Univ.

CALICE Weekly - 2008.09.08

Direct Coupling Simulation

10/18

vs Side Length

Scan



F.Corriveau, IPP/McGill Univ.

vs Beam Spread

(lateral beam standard deviation for 1 mm unit longitudinal length)



F.Corriveau, IPP/McGill Univ.

CALICE Weekly - 2008.09.08

Direct Coupling Simulation 12/18

vs Attenuation Length

Scan

Note: attenuation length is constant in this set



Projections



F.Corriveau, IPP/McGill Univ.

CALICE Weekly - 2008.09.08

Direct Coupling Simulation 13/18

vs Threshold

Scan



Projections



F.Corriveau, IPP/McGill Univ.

CALICE Weekly - 2008.09.08

Direct Coupling Simulation 14/18

vs MPPC Position



F.Corriveau, IPP/McGill Univ.

vs Corner Variations



F.Corriveau, IPP/McGill Univ.

Measurements at Regina

M.Barbi and S.Schonhoffer (Univ. of Regina)



- Several types of MPPC available, variable tile geometries (e.g. cut): no result yet
- MPPC simulation newly available (from T2K)

Summary

- First simulation results were obtained and several effects were studied
- The simulation reproduces the general features of the measurements
- Small discrepancies, edge effects and nonuniformity responses were observed – tuning! less critical for a digital/threshold calorimeter?
- No plan for the GEANT4 simulation
- New set of measurements initiated at Regina
- Feedback most welcome!

Backup Slides

vs Minimum Reflectivity

Scan

(reflection for small incident angles = how good is the paint/surface)



F.Corriveau, IPP/McGill Univ.

CALICE Weekly - 2008.09.08

Direct Coupling Simulation

20/18

vs Maximum Reflectivity

Scan

(reflection for large incident angles = "total" (or almost) reflection)



Projections



F.Corriveau, IPP/McGill Univ.

CALICE Weekly - 2008.09.08

Direct Coupling Simulation 21/18

vs Source Height

Scan



F.Corriveau, IPP/McGill Univ.

vs Beam Spread



F.Corriveau, IPP/McGill Univ.

vs MPPC Position h2Exy SiPM Energy Deposition at all Positions h2Exy SiPM Energy Deposition at all Positions h2Exy SiPM Energy Deposition at all Positions Entries 1670 Entries 1671 Entries 1669 Mean x -0.001305 Mean x -0.006797 > 20 Mean x -0.004778 ≻ 20 > 20 Mean y 1.945 Mean y 0.3907 Mean y 0.4212 RMS x 8.546 8.597 RMS x 8.587 RMS x 15 15 15 RMS y 9.166 RMS y 8.939 RMS y 8.948 10 10 10 5 5 5 0 0 0 -5 -5 -5 -10 -10 -10 -15 -15 -15 -20-20 -20 -20 -20 -20 -15 -10 -5 0 5 -10 0 10 15 20 -15 -5 10 15 20 -15 -10 -5 0 10 15 20 5 5 X 0.50% 0.62% 0.62% Bottom edge Side center Side low edge h2Exy h2Exy SiPM Energy Deposition at all Positions SiPM Energy Deposition at all Positions Entries 1672 Entries 1676 Mean x 0.005809 Mean x 0.002166 ≻ 20 ≻ 20 Mean y -0.003414 Mean y 0.4122 RMS x 8.595 RMS x 8.531 15 15 RMS y RMS y 8.527 8,949 10 10 5 MPPC 2x2 5 Bottom center 0 0 -5 -5 -10 -10 Side edge -15 -15 -20 -20 -20 -20 Bottom corner 5 10 15 20 -15 -10 -5 0 20 -15 -10 -5 0 5 10 15 Side corner 0.49% SiPM Energy Deposition at all Positions h2Exy 2.52% Entries 1671 SiPM Energy Deposition at all Positions h2Exy Mean x 1.91 h2Exy Entries 1673 Entries 1676 SiPM Energy Deposition at all Positions > 20 Mean y 1.915 ≻ 20 Mean x 1.684 RMS x 9.164 RMS y 9.184 Mean x 1.663 Mean y 0.3643 > 20 15 RMS x 9.167 RMS y 8.938 Mean v 0.3364 15 RMS x 9.164 RMS y 8.933 15 10 10 10 5 5 0 0 0 -5 -5 -5 -10 -10 -10 -15 -15 -15 -20 -20 -15 -10 -5 0 5 10 -20 -20 15 20 -20 -15 -10 -5 0 5 10 -15 -10 -5 10 15 5 15 20 20 0.51% 0.62% 0.62%

F.Corriveau, IPP/McGill Univ.

vs Corner Variations



F.Corriveau, IPP/McGill Univ.