Compton Experiment at ATF





October 2007: Install the 2-mirror cavity into ATF-DR



Set up at KEK-ATF 1



First 2008 run ~before summer shutdown~

DAQ Schematic



Continued to change the length of the external cavity.

Only picked up the data when the cavity was resonated.



Continued to change the length of the external cavity.

Procedure of measurement 1



Vertical scan

Scanning to the laser vertical position and find the best position to observe gamma

② Horizontal scan

Vertical was fixed to the best position.

Scanning to the Horizontal.

Procedure of measurement 2pulsedTiming-scanTiming scanlaser=2.8ns



Vertical and Horizontal were fixed to the best position. And turned on the switch of phase locked loop . After that scanning phase.

We found the best collision point

Gamma Energy distribution 1



Gamma Energy distribution 2



This graph shows the appearance of gamma energy distribution. one of gamma had 16~28 MeV energy. 11

The number of gamma

date	bunch	the number of electron	transmitted power	stack power estimate	V
		1/ pulse (included in one train)	W	W	Ι
2008/4/22	20	2.6E+10 (in 20 bunches)	1.55	388	3.1
2008/5/27	1	7.2F+9 (in 1 bunch)	1.09	272	3.27
			transmitted power		

1 - 0.996

bunch distance : 2.8 ns

We estimated the number of gamma to use a simulation software "CAIN".

20 bunches :	experiment	γ ~3.1	simulated by CAIN	γ ~ 20

1 bunch : experiment γ ~3.3 simulated by CAIN γ ~ 4.5

In the case of 1 bunch, the number of gamma seems to consist comparing our experiment data with estimate by CAIN.

However, the data of 20bunches were inconsistent. The reason of this, there was a possibility that not every electron bunches were collided.

Novmber - December run

Feedback to Achieve 3 Conditions







Normal Solution



Cross-feedback Solution (Sakaue)



Cross-feedback=Closed loop (Sakaue)





Now, looks multiple photon genearation in laser-electron collision

data summary

date	electron	number of electrons	stacked power	# of g
	bunches	/pulse	[W]	/crossing
2008/11/20	1	7.2E9	413	5.1
2008/11/20	2	1.2E10	291	6.2

The number of γ : 6.2 × 2.16×10E+6 [/second] Simulated by Cain 1bunch γ : 5.7 2 bunches :6.7 Rough consistency check $\gamma \div$ the number of electron \div stack power 1 bunch 1.715×10^-12/e/W 2 bunches 1.718×10^-12/e/W 1 bunch data and 2 bunches data seem to consistent

Summary

- 1. Success : Resonance Feedback + Phase Lock on Acc RF Before Summer
 - No feedback
 - **Trigger : Acc + Transmitted Light**
 - Present
 - Separeted Feedback ---> Crossed(loop)-feedback Resonance Feedback + Phase Lock on ACC RF Trigger : Acc
- 2. Collision Experiment on going
 5 gamma / crossing (single-bunch op.)
 6 gamma / crossing (two-bunch op.)
 Consistent: Experiment <--> CAIN simulation
 Consistent: single-bunch <--> two-bunch

We are now tying take up to 20 buch data

Summer 2007: Assembling the Optical Cavity







Energy Distribution single-bunch operation



Optical cavity condition

In summer time, we succeeded to keep condition of optical cavity timing lock and locking at resonance point.



Last week beam time, Optical cavity was to keep condition timing lock and locking at resonance point.

Best collision point data in 1 bunch and 2 bunches

1 bunch

2 bunches

Scanning to Horizontal position

Scanning to Timing



After that, we tried to take 3 bunches data.

However, gamma detector was broken.

Now, gamma detector is recovered.

Laser Stacking Optical Cavity in Vacuum Chamber

