

# **Higgs Self-Coupling with ZHH**

Tomáš Laštovička

Yiming Li

SiD Benchmarking Meeting 03/03/09

# FAST MC ANALYSES FULL SIMULATION AND RECONSTRUCTION ANALYSIS IMPLICATIONS FOR LOI

# OUTLOOK

# Summary of FastMC Analyses

There were two analyses done of ZHH with FastMC

- Both confirmed that with gluon FSR the analysis becomes extremely difficult
- Our best result with the LCFI package was ~60%



Leading to about 130 bbbbqq channel (well hidden) events.



# **FastMC Analyses – More Details**

- Signal is ZHH when H→ bB and Z → qQ, i.e. 6 jets and at least 4 are b's coming from Higgs.
- The following background was only considered:
  - tbW
  - ZZH
  - ZH
  - ZZ
  - ZZZ
  - ZHH other channels
- tbW and ZZH being the worst ones

# **Full Simulation/Reconstruction Analysis**

- We have two samples of 200k events each for nominal and 25% shifted values of f<sub>HHH</sub>
  - Effectively about 133k events due to missing/empty files
  - They passed the complete chain including lepton ID and LCFI package
- The background is our Lol SM 500 sample with 6622k events (of 7196k)
  - Unexpected backgrounds, compared to FastMC
  - Plus imperfections of reconstruction
- FastMC was 100% polarised now we are at 80/30 pol  $\rightarrow$  less events
- I'm working on this last few days so the analysis may not be enough elaborated. Given the problems it has not much more can be expected for the Lol.

#### **Selection Cuts**

Before Neural Net is trained, events are preselected with the following cuts

- 1) No isolated lepton
- 2)  $E_{gamma}/E_{jet} < 0.8$  for all jets
- 3)  $E_{jet} > 10 GeV$  for all jets
- 4)  $E_{visible} > 320 \text{ GeV}$
- 5) 0.55 < Thrust < 0.85
- 6)  $Cos(\vartheta_{thrust}) < 0.95$
- 7) |pz| < 50 GeV
- 8) 14 < N<sub>charged</sub> < 46
- 9) 110 < E<sub>thrust\_hemisphere</sub> < 320 GeV
- 10)  $\Sigma b_{NN tag} > 2.0$  (2.5)

#### **Neural Net Training**

#### Neural Net Inputs are

- 1. The b tagging results for six jets;
- Invariant mass for variant jet combinatorics (and the difference with respect to gauge bosons, e.g Jet12H = (m<sub>jet1,jet2</sub> - m<sub>H</sub>)<sup>2</sup>). All the inputs used are: Jet12H, Jet13H, Jet14H, Jet23H, Jet24H, Jet34H, Jet56H, Jet56Z, Jet34W, Jet56W, Jet25W, Jet26W, Jet35W, Jet36W, Jet45W and Jet46W;
- 3. The variable representing the mass difference of reconstructed particles with respect to the signal/background final states. For example:  $ch2\_zhh = min\{(m_{j1,j2} - m_H)^2 + (m_{j3,j4} - m_H)^2\}$ , where  $\{j1, j2, j3, j4\}$  are all possible permutations for the first four jets (ordered by b tag value), assuming the two least b-like jets are assumed from Z. Similarly defined such variables are:  $ch2\_tt = min\{(m_{j1,j2} - m_W)^2 + (m_{j3,j4} - m_W)^2\}$  (where the two most b-like jets are assumed to be b jets),  $ch2\_zzh = min\{(m_{j1,j2} - m_Z)^2 + (m_{j3,j4} - m_Z)^2 + (m_{j3,j4} - m_H)^2\}$ , and  $ch2\_zzz = min\{(m_{j1,j2} - m_Z)^2 + (m_{j3,j4} - m_Z)^2\}$ .
- Sums of  $b_{NN_{tag}}$ ,  $c_{NN_{tag}}$  and  $c(b)_{NN_{tag}}$  of all jets.
- Plus some more: k<sub>T</sub>, y<sub>min</sub>, y<sub>max</sub>, N<sub>leptons</sub>

# Results

■ Statistical error of N<sub>signal</sub> (and ZHH→bbbbqq cross section) as a function of N<sub>signal</sub> itself

- Not "template fitted" results.
- Multiply by ~1.8 to get f<sub>HHH</sub> measurement error...
- With some luck I can get to ~80% level but this is likely due to fluctuations (getting rid of few events with large weight) at low N<sub>signal</sub> side



# What's the troublesome background now?

Assuming some 'random' cut on NN output NN>0.5:

SIGNAL	39.4452	
ppppdq	2016.88	(tbW, ZZZ,ZZH,)
bB	86.9344	
bbqqEN	86.9344	
ttbb	26.0803	
ZHH	7.37863	(cross channel contamination)

This would corresponds to stat error of about 170% (x1.8 for  $f_{HHH}$ )

### **Neural Net Output**

There is not enough information in inputs provided to separate signal clearly.



### **Implications for Lol**

Plot of f<sub>HHH</sub> precision as a function of the jet energy resolution at page 10 of the draft is a pure dream (FastMC without FSR gluons).

 Given the (no)time constrains there is not much more to be expected for LoI from this analysis.