





Analysis of electromagnetic showers in CALICE Analog Hadron Calorimeter prototype (AHCAL)

Sergey Morozov




DESY, Hamburg



Analysis of electromagnetic showers in CALICE AHCAL prototype



A schematic view of hadronic shower development




Electromagnetic shower in a hadron calorimeter is a useful tool :

- high density of energy losses => to study the saturation effects and to validate calibrations
- EM shower develops completely in calorimeter volume => to check reconstruction of energy and energy resolution
- well understood physics (~2% level of uncertainty) => to validate MC digitization


Analysis of electromagnetic showers in CALICE AHCAL prototype

CALICE tile AHCAL prototype at CERN 2007 test beam facility



AHCAL prototype:

- 38 layers (30 with high granularity at central region)
- each layer has 2cm of absorber (steel) and 0.5cm of active scintillator layer
- length: 114.57 cm, hadronic: $5 \lambda_0$, e/m: $43.7 X_0$




Positron runs collected:


Energy: 10 - 50 GeV

Position of beam: 0, +6cm, -6cm


Angles: 0,10,20,30 degrees



HCAL layer with 216 tiles
(3x3, 6x6, 12x12 cm)






3x3 scintillator tile with
WLS fiber and SiPM



e+ energy reconstructed spectrum in
Minimum Ionizing Particle (MIP) scale

Analysis of electromagnetic showers in CALICE AHCAL prototype

the very first results from e+ data analysis..



- 4 data samples have been analyzed: large variations in the reconstructed energies **expected to be consistent**
- residual to linearity is about 4% at 40 GeV and 7% at 50 GeV – **too big!**
- large variations in the energy resolution curves is a hint to **problems in the calibration procedure** which **can be improved**

Further investigations are needed!



Analysis of electromagnetic showers in CALICE AHCAL prototype

..a lot of work was done to improve the energy reconstruction..



Improvement of calibration

re-scaling of SiPM saturation correction curves

Longitudinal energy profile of muons







Longitudinal energy profile of muons



+ temperature correction of SiPM response has been applied for all tiles




Analysis of electromagnetic showers in CALICE AHCAL prototype

All corrections have been applied - improvement of linearity



Analysis of electromagnetic showers in CALICE AHCAL prototype

Improvement of energy resolution after all corrections have been applied



- removing "bad" tiles from analysis
- more accurate calibration
- temperature correction for SiPM

can really improve the data!

Analysis of electromagnetic showers in CALICE AHCAL prototype

Longitudinal profile study..

An electromagnetic shower's energy profile:


$$dE / dt = p_1 \cdot t^{p_2} \cdot e^{-p_3 \cdot t}$$

where E – energy deposited, t – depth in calorimeter

The maximum depth of an e/m shower in calorimeter for e+(e-):

$$t_{\max} = [\ln(E/e_c) - 0.5] [X_0]$$

E – particle energy
e_c – critical energy (≈ 33.6 MeV)




Calculated:

$$t_{\max} \approx 5.2 X_0$$




From data:

$$t_{\max} \approx 5.3 X_0$$



Analysis of electromagnetic showers in CALICE AHCAL prototype

data (all correction applied) (black) and fully digitized MC (red)





Uncertainties can be explained with investigation of individual tile response (we have a highly granular calorimeter!)

The idea is:



- study a “tower” of 3x3 tiles around the beam impact point
- compare the data and MC in:
 - the central tile: high signal, big saturation
 - the peripheral zone : low signal, small saturation
- study the calibration quality for single tiles

Analysis of electromagnetic showers in CALICE AHCAL prototype

data (before corrections) (black) and MC (red)




data (all correction included) (black) and MC (red)



Analysis of electromagnetic showers in CALICE AHCAL prototype

central tile for various layers all corrections included data (black) and MC (red)



Individual tile responses are in **good consistence with Monte Carlo** but **some tiles** need to be studied more in details. A highly granular calorimeter structure is very useful for such kind of analysis and positron data is an extremely good “probe” to learn more about a dynamic range of SiPM scintillator calorimeters.


Summary & Outlook

- Electromagnetic showers in Analog Hadron Calorimeter is a very good tool for validating the calibration procedure
- An expected 2% level of uncertainties in reconstructed energies of positrons is achieved after an accurate and precision calibration and corrections
- The linearity of the calorimeter response for positrons is less than 4% (residuals to the linear fits) in 10 – 50 GeV range
- Monte Carlo study shows quite good agreement with a data in integral scale
- Some tiles show deviation from what was expected and further detail analysis is needed

Backup slides




Analysis of electromagnetic showers in CALICE AHCAL prototype

Deep Analysis - ON!



Analysis of electromagnetic showers in CALICE AHCAL prototype

10 GeV e+ data, Deep Analysis - ON!



DeepAnalysis parameters:


ellipse_trans_to_catch = 3.0
ellipse_forw_to_catch = 1.5
ellipse_back_to_catch = 1.0
cut_3d_em = 31.0
join_factor = 1.0
zoom_dist = 800.0

Analysis of electromagnetic showers in CALICE AHCAL prototype

Monte Carlo simulation..

CALICE Mokka based GEANT4 framework simulation:

- detailed CERN'2007 test beam setup geometry
- high granularity layers (1x1cm tiles) with “ganging” after the simulation to AHCAL prototype tile pattern (3x3, 6x6, 12x12 cm tiles)



- digitization (conversion energies to MIP, MIP to SiPM pixel, add the pixel statistics, add saturation, conversion back to ADC counts, x-talk (~10% per tile) included)
- all calibration and saturation are from testbeam condition DataBase!
- using the same processors of CALICE Marlin to analysis