### Muon measurement and identification in 4th concept

John Hauptman, Iowa State University ALCPG 09, Albuquerque, NM 29 Sept '09 - 3 Oct '09

### Two innovations:

### 1. No iron: flux return by second solenoid

- (many benefits in MDI, push-pull, access, 2-gamma, etc.)
- for muons, precision momentum measurement after solenoid in a largevolume spectrometer.
- use energy conservation from main tracker, calorimeter, and spectrometer for muon ID tagging.

### 2. Dual-readout fiber calorimeter: unique muon ID

- Cerenkov angle is larger than numerical aperture caapture angle of fiber, therefore S = dE/dx + bremsstrahlung, C = bremsstrahlung
- $S-C = dE/dx \sim 1.1$  GeV in 10 int-length DREAM module.

Necessarily, muons are measured by all systems of a detector



## Muon spectrometer measurement (iron-free)

### Dual-solenoids (A. Mikhailichenko, Cornell)

- inner solenoid like CMS
- outer solenoid and end coils driven in opposite direction
- essentially no fringe field
- outer solenoid is big, but not a problem



Muon trajectories from the interaction point





### Muon energy-momentum measurements

For $p_{trk} \sim 100 \text{ GeV/c}$  in central trackerMuon is measured $dE \sim 20 \text{ GeV}$  bremsstrahlung in calorimeter $\smile$ better than few % $p_{mu} \sim 80 \text{ GeV/c}$  in muon spectrometerin every system:







#### Single muons No beam bkg

## Tracking resolutions vs. P(GeV/c)





track	fit results	multiple
parameter	stochastic term	scattering term
$\sigma(1/p_T)$	$3.9 \times 10^{-5} (\text{GeV/c})^{-1}$	$\oplus$ 7.9 × 10 <sup>-3</sup> /p <sub>T</sub>
$\sigma_{\theta}$	$0.69 \text{ mrad}/p_T^{0.80}$	$\oplus$ 0.027 mrad
$\sigma_{\phi}$	$1.25 \text{ mrad}/p_T$	$\oplus$ 0.027 mrad
$\sigma_d$	14.9 $\mu { m m}/p_T^{0.57}$	$\oplus$ 2.0 $\mu\mathrm{m}$
$\sigma_z$	$17.7 \ \mu { m m}/p_T^{0.58}$	$\oplus~2.9 \mu{ m m}$

P, GeV

### Muon Spectrometer tracking performance

(same cluster-timing tracking as in main tracker)



## 



## Event Display in ILCroot



### Can the momentum resolution in the annulus be improved?

- This would provide a tighter energy constraint
- Pion rejection increasing to 50-100
- Might also be useful for "new physics" that appears at long times, leaving tracks behind the calorimeter.

The resolution depends on the point resolution in the tracking chambers,  $\sigma_x \sim 200 \mu m$ . We assumed this for practical reasons and with a careful look at the ATLAS muon system. In the spirit of a "concept" we could have assumed  $\sigma_x \sim 50 \mu m$ .

Also, on B and L<sup>2</sup> between the solenoids. It turns out that BL<sup>2</sup> is independent of the radius of the outer solenoid, so we cannot easily gain in L<sup>2</sup>, and B from the inner solenoid is at the CMS-limit for current densities. This invariant is because the inner solenoid flux density is 3.5T = 3.5 Webers/m<sup>2</sup>. This number of Webers must fill the annulus of area [ $\pi R^2_{outer} - \pi R^2_{inner}$ ]. Increasing R<sub>outer</sub> increases L linearly and decreases the flux density as the square, so BL<sup>2</sup> is approximately constant.

# Dual-readout (fiber) identification

## $\mu$ *ID* in dual-readout: $\theta_{Cerenkov} > \theta_{num. aperture}$

 $(S \sim dE/dx + brems \& C \sim brems)$ 

S-C ~ dE/dx ~ 1.1 GeV (in DREAM) for  $\mu$ 

### The "proof-of-principle" DREAM module

### S = scintillating fibers Q = quartz (clear) fibers

**DREAM:** Structure



- Some characteristics of the DREAM detector
  - Depth 200 cm (10.0  $\lambda_{int}$ )
  - Effective radius 16.2 cm (0.81  $\lambda_{\text{int}}$ , 8.0  $\rho_M$ )
  - Mass instrumented volume 1030 kg
  - Number of fibers 35910, diameter 0.8 mm, total length  $\approx$  90 km
  - Hexagonal towers (19), each read out by 2 PMTs

Simply built, inexpensive, proof-of-principle DREAM module  $(10 \lambda_{INT})$ 

Muons tagged by scintillation counter downstream and behind an additional  $8 \lambda_{INT}$  of concrete



Calibrate with 40 GeV electrons: set GeV/ADC for both scintillation and Cerenkov to get <data> = 40 GeV



### Dual-readout: Scintillation vs. Cerenkov plot



## $\mu$ - $\pi$ descrimination (DREAM data) $\pi$ rejection ~ 10<sup>5</sup>:1



## $\pi$ rejection ~ 10<sup>4</sup>:1

100 GeV μ<sup>-</sup> 100 GeV π<sup>-</sup> S-Q vs (S+Q)/2 : R189 : pion : 100 GeV 0 Entries 4505 Mean x 1.225 78198 Entries 0+S120 Mean y 2.274 Mean x 16.94 RMS x 0.5664 Mean y 74.52 RMS y 1.111 RMS x 6.755 Integral 4392 RMS y 10.7 (GeV) 100 Integral 7.806c+04 (S+C)/2 (GeV) 6 80 5 (S+C)/2 60 3 2 20 01 0 -10 0 2 3 5 4 20 30 10 40 50 S-Q S-C (GeV)  $\longrightarrow$ S-C (GeV)  $\longrightarrow$ 

## $\pi$ rejection ~ 10<sup>3</sup>:1



40 GeV μ<sup>-</sup>

Weak muon ID at low momenta: CluCou clustercounting is Poisson: better dE/dx specific ionization resolution ~3% (no Landau tail)



View Experimental Data file: Run51 He 90C4H10 10 1750 1.root

*dE/dx* resolution TPC LBL/PEP4 (using truncated mean ~6%)

0.1

10

Momentum (GeV/c)

μ

Energy deposit per unit length (keV/cm)

24

21

## Summary

Good kinematic fix on muons after solenoid; pion rejection ~ 10-50 Dual-readout ID (most effective for isolated, or nearly isolated, tracks)

## Spares



### Particle Identification:

must be a priority for any new detector at a precision collider

- *uds* quarks (jet energy resolution)
- *c,b* quarks (vertex tagging)
- *t* quark (reconstruction)
- *electron* (dual-readout)
- *muon* (dual-readout and iron-free field)
- *tau* (reconstruction)
- *neutrino* (by subtraction; resolution)
- *W*,*Z* (hadronic jet reconstruction)
- photon

(BGO dual readout)