MLI and HLRF Summary

Chris Adolphsen
10/03/09

SLAC 4.2K Stand Relocation to FNAL in FY10

Cryostat 4.2 K LHe

Power supplies and control system

- Relocate ILC Quadrupole Test Stand from SLAC to FNAL.
- SLAC stand commissioning at FNAL.
- Upgrade stand cryostat to simplify assembly with the magnet.
- Test the ILC Quadrupole center stability by stretch wire.

Quadrupole Mechanical Concept





It was chosen the quadrupole design with racetrack coils which easy to split in vertical or horizontal direction.

QUADRUPOLE MODEL PARAMETERS

Parameter	Unit	Value
Peak current at 36 T gradient	A	100
Magnet length	mm	680
NbTi superconductor diameter	mm	0.5
Superconductor filament size	μm	3.7
Superconductor critical current at 5 T and 4.2 K	A	200
Coil maximum field	T	3.3
Quadrupole coil number of turns/pole		700
Yoke outer diameter	mm	280

Quadrupole Package Inside Cryomodule



Fermilab L-Band Design

Window –
Ceramic brick of alumina 96%
$\varepsilon_r = 9.4$
Size: 51x4x3 mm



Frequency, GHz, dipole monopole	1.468 1.125
Loaded Q (monopole and dipole)	~ 600
Beam pipe radius, mm	39
Cell radius, mm	113
Cell gap, mm	15
Waveguide, mm	122x110x25
Coupling slot, mm	51x4x3

N type receptacles, 50 Ohm

Manfred Wendt



FLASH Beam Off Data: Strong Correlation Between Gradient and Cavity Detuning Jitter







Blue → Nominal + 100Hz Initial Detuning.
Red → Nominal Initial Detuning.
Green → Nominal – 100Hz Initial Detuning.
Number → Different Accelerating Gradient.

Shilun Pei

FLASH Cavity Gradient Stability

Comparison of beam-off measurements of pulse-to-pulse cavity gradient jitter during the flattop period for different gradients and initial cavity detuning (green, red and blue lines) to a cavity fill model including Lorentz force detuning (black lines) with two degrees of freedom (initial and initial rms detuning)













9mA Example Results

Much experience gained running with high beam-loading conditions

Approx. 15 TBytes of data to be analysed (beginning)





Along pulse: 0.1% RMS (0.5% pk-to-pk)
(after initial transient)
Pulse-to-pulse (5Hz): 0.13% RMS

Integrated Systems Test
- Understanding trip and trip recovery (beam loss)
- RF parameter tuning
- RF system calibration

Extrapolation to XFEL/ILC

Pulse-to-Pulse energy jitter example (500us, ~3mA, 200 pulses)



Beam loss

- Spent a lot of time fighting losses, mainly in three areas
  - **Bunch compressor BC3**
  - **First dipole of bypass line**
  - **Beam dump line**

- Losses speak to energy stability, orbit stability, energy / physical aperture, optics, dispersion,…




Toshiba 10MW MBK
Marx

Marx Modulator & Klystron Waveforms







Blue: no droop compensation
Green: with only delay cells
Red: w/ delay cell and vernier

With all compensation, rf power flatness 3%

Ran ~ 200 hours thus far – had a few klystron widow arcs and PS problems

Sheet Beam Klystron (SBK) Gun Tester



SBK Gun Test at SLAC Klystron Lab



- Tested to 115kV with 1 us pulses at 1 Hz rep rate
  - No HV arcing
  - No RF gun oscillations
  - Perveance 10-20% higher than predicted – can be adjusted
- Discovered shorted focus electrode when attaching bias supply
  - Short found and repaired
  - Diode in preparation for re-installation at test stand

SBK Oscillation Test Device

- Identified oscillations in klystron design with permanent magnet focusing – will probably need > 600 G solenoidal focusing to suppress them.

- Building two cavity system to verify instability issues understood versus focusing strength before building full klystron





Klystron Cluster Layout

Surface rf power cluster building



2 groups of ~35 10 MW klystrons & modulators clustered in a surface building

~350 MW combined into each of 2 overmoded, low-loss waveguides

Feeds ~2.5 km of linac total (up & downstream)



Test 350 MW Power Handling with Tapoff Feeding Resonant Line



Coaxial Tap-Off (CTO)

with wrap-around power extraction

We have informal bids and will order two 3-dB CTO's soon.



Aluminum, Welded

mech. design: Gordon Bowden
drawing: Bob Reed

Main Waveguide ('Big Pipe')



We've placed an order for 4, 9.75 m sections

due in January 2010

aluminum,
formed & welded



one-side double grooved flanges:

vacuum seal – Viton® fluoroelastomer O-ring

rf back-up seal – Bal Seal® canted coil contact spring

Distributed RF Scheme (DRFS)

Standard Scheme: One DC PS/MA modulator drives
26 klystrons (6 cryomodules)



High availability
with backup DC PS
and MA modulator

Maximum efficient
usage of SC cavity

Low Power Option



Low Power Option

Aiming for the easy
upgradeability to
standard scheme
Low cost
Partial sacrifice of
DRFS operability

Modulator in Full Power Scheme



• Items	No	MTBF (hrs)
• DC Power Supply	1	50,000
possibly having redundancy	+1(Back-up)	>100,000 (Failure free/y)
• Modulating Anode Modulator	1	70,000
possibly having redundancy	+1(Back-up)	>100,000 (Failure free/y)
• MA Klystron	13	110,000-120,000

(KEK's recent 10 years data)

DRFS R&D Schedule at KEK

- Task force team of DRFS starts and try to solve the problems of DRFS.
- Prototype RF unit is manufactured in FY09
- Further R&D required for the DRFS RF system is continued in FY09
- Prototype will be evaluated in the S1 global test
- And then install in the buncher section of STF-II aiming for the realistic operation.
- After fixing the scheme, collaborative CFS work and realistic cost estimation will be performed in FY09.
- Evaluation of vibration of cryomodules due to the hanging-down structure from ceiling is planed.

	FY2009				FY2010				FY2011			
	Apr-09	Jul-09	Oct-09	Jan-10	Apr-10	Jul-10	Oct-10	Jan-11	Apr-11	Jul-11	Oct-11	Jan-12
ILC Schedule												
KEK Schedule								S1 Global DRFS Install				
MA Klystron #1	Design	#1 MA Kly Manufacturing			Test							
MA Modulator #1		Design	#1 Manufacture									
DC Power supply #1		Design	#1 Manufacture									
PDS of #1			Manufacture									
MA Klystron #2			Design		#2 MA Kly Manufacturing							

DRFS Full Power Layout

5.2m diameter



Components Size



DC power Supply



LLRF Rack



MA Modulator Rack



Control Rack

Full Scheme to Half Option



Full Scheme



Low Power Option



2 RDR-RF units Layout

3D View of DRFS

Example of LowP PDS Layout



Availsim Results



Tom Himel

HLRF Issues

- DRFS
  - Klystron lifetime
  - Modulator cost with redundancy
  - Layout (map RDR components into single tunnel) and issues of ceiling mounted CM
  - Extensive radiation shielding
- Klystron Cluster
  - RF breakdown in transmission line
  - Cost of transmission line and vacuum -vs- pressurized operation
  - LLRF control

Flattop Operation with a Spread of Cavity Gradients



New SLAC Power Splitter





- Input and through ports are in-line
- Trombone phase shifters take advantage of required U-bends
- Match of phase shifters nominally unaffected by position

New KEK Power Splitter

Left: 140 Deg Phase Shifter Prototype - tested successfully to 3 MW

Right: Adjustable hybrid power splitter using a phase shifter design similar to that shown on the left



M. Yoshida, S. Kazakov