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## Introduction

$\checkmark$ Study of coupling and dispersion corrections with skew quadrupoles thanks to MAD optics code:
$>$ At the post-IP wire-scanner (waist)
> With the current lattice
> With energy spread=0 at the entrance to DR extraction kicker (to take into account only $x$ - $y$ betatron coupling effects)
> Matricial calculation (not tracking)
$\checkmark$ For dispersion corrections: use of skew quadrupoles QS1X and QS2X
$\checkmark$ For coupling corrections: use of skew quadrupoles QK1X to QK4X
$\checkmark$ Complementary to simulations of Glen White who uses IP sextupoles for dispersion corrections

## Dispersion correction with sum knob QS1X+QS2X

$\checkmark$ QS1X/QS2X used for dispersion correction
$\rightarrow$ Designed not to introduce coupling with sum knob (QS1X+QS2X)

|  | QS1 | QS2 | QS1+QS2 | $>$ Spatial dispersion (Dy): |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dy [m] | -0.005 | -0.005 | -0.010 | $\dagger$ increase of vertical nominal |
| $\begin{aligned} & \sigma y_{\text {ind }}=D y^{*} d p / p[m] \\ & (\mathrm{dp} / \mathrm{p}=8 \mathrm{e}-4) \end{aligned}$ | -4.0e-6 | -4.0e-6 | $\begin{aligned} & -8.0 \mathrm{e}-6 \\ & (17.2 * \sigma \mathrm{y}) \end{aligned}$ | $>$ Angular dispersion (Dy): |
| Dy' [rad] | 0.082 | 0.081 | 0.163 | f angular |
| $\begin{aligned} & \sigma y^{\prime}{ }_{\text {ind }}=\mathrm{Dy}^{\prime} * \mathrm{dp} / \mathrm{p}[\mathrm{rad}] \\ & (\mathrm{dp} / \mathrm{p}=8 \mathrm{e}-4) \end{aligned}$ | 6.6e-5 | $6.5 \mathrm{e}-5$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.3 \mathrm{e}-4 \\ & \left(5.1^{*} \sigma y^{\prime}\right) \end{aligned}$ | Mostly spati |

$\checkmark$ However, big coupling introduced and emittance increase of 50\%

|  | QS1 | QS2 | QS1+QS2 | QS1=QS2=0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $<x y>$ | -0.79 | 0.92 | $\mathbf{0 . 7 3}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 0}$ |
| $<x y^{\prime}>$ | 0.50 | -0.63 | $\mathbf{- 0 . 2 1}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 0}$ |
| $<x^{\prime} y>$ | -0.43 | 0.24 | $\mathbf{- 0 . 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 0}$ |
| $<x^{\prime} y^{\prime}>$ | 0.29 | -0.18 | $\mathbf{0 . 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 0}$ |
| $\varepsilon y[m]$ | $2.88 \mathrm{e}-11$ | $3.93 \mathrm{e}-11$ | $\mathbf{1 . 8 0 e - 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 1 8 e - 1 1}$ |

## Dispersion correction with sum knob QS1X+QS2X

## $\checkmark$ Currently, emittance measurements give 5 pm

$\checkmark$ For this value, QS1+QS2 increases the emittance by a factor 2 (9.7pm):

|  | QS1 | QS2 | QS1+QS2 | QS1=QS2=0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $<x y>$ | -0.81 | 0.91 | $\mathbf{0 . 8 5}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 0}$ |
| $<x^{\prime}>$ | 0.56 | -0.56 | $\mathbf{0 . 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 0}$ |
| $<x^{\prime} y>$ | -0.46 | 0.31 | $\mathbf{- 0 . 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 0}$ |
| $<x^{\prime} y^{\prime}>$ | 0.33 | -0.20 | $\mathbf{0 . 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 0}$ |
| $\varepsilon y[m]$ | $17.8 \mathrm{e}-12$ | $24.6 \mathrm{e}-012$ | $\mathbf{9 . 7 e - 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{5 . 0 e - 1 2}$ |

$\checkmark$ N.B: For the nominal lattice, QS1+QS2 gives almost the same emittance than for the current one (17.3pm):

|  | QS1 | QS2 | QS1+QS2 | QS1 $=$ QS2 $=0$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| <xy> | -0.268595 | 0.114885 | -0.170277 | $\mathbf{0 . 0 0}$ |
| <xy'> | 0.214471 | -0.099922 | $\mathbf{0 . 1 4 7 9 8 1}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 0}$ |
| <x'y> | 0.855622 | -0.940809 | $-\mathbf{0 . 7 0 3 0 2 5}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 0}$ |
| <x'y'> | -0.647924 | 0.705066 | $\mathbf{0 . 1 1 5 2 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 0}$ |
| हy [m] | $28.9 \mathrm{e}-12$ | $38.9 \mathrm{e}-12$ | $\mathbf{1 7 . 3 e - 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 . 7 e - 1 2}$ |

## Dispersion correction with sum knob QS1X+QS2X

$\checkmark$ Coupling introduced by sum knob QS1+QS2 whereas they should not $\Rightarrow$ QS1 and QS2 at the good location compared to the design?
$\checkmark$ QS2 could in principle be moved up to $\sim 1 \mathrm{~m}$ towards the IP
$\rightarrow$ Effect of its position change on the emittance at post-IP WS

> Almost no decrease of emittance with the change in position of QS2
$\rightarrow$ What is the position of QS1 and QS2 given by the design?

## Dispersion correction with quasi sum knob QS1/2X

$\checkmark$ Idea: Vary the strength of QS2 around the one of QS1 (fixed) to find the minimal emittance since sum knob increases the emittance

$>$ Minimal emittance of
13.4 pm with quasi sum knob QS1+70\%QS2
> Much better than with sum knob (18.0pm) and close to the nominal value (11.8pm)
$\checkmark$ Dispersions induced by the sum knob and the quasi sum-knob

|  | Dy [m] | $\begin{aligned} & \sigma y_{\text {ind }}=D y^{*} \mathrm{dp} / \mathrm{p}[\mathrm{~m}] \\ & (\mathrm{dpp} / \mathrm{p}=8 \mathrm{e}-4) \end{aligned}$ | Dy' [rad] | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{oy}^{\prime}{ }^{\mathrm{nd}}=\mathrm{Dy} \mathrm{y}^{\prime *} \mathrm{dp} / \mathrm{p}[\mathrm{rad}] \\ & (\mathrm{dp} / \mathrm{p}=8 \mathrm{e}-4) \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| QS1+QS2 | -0.010 | -8.0e-6 (17.2*\%y) | 0.163 | 1.3e-4 (5.1* ${ }^{\text {c }}{ }^{\prime}$ ) |
| QS1+70\%QS2 | -0.008 | 6.4e-6 (13.7**y) | 0.138 | 1.1e-4 (4.3* $\mathrm{oy}^{\prime}$ ) |

> Only slightly lower with knob QS1+70\%QS2 than with knob QS1+QS2

## Coupling correction with QK1-4X

$\checkmark$ Correction of the coupling induced by sum knob QS1X+QS2X with QK1X, QK2X, QK3X and QK4X
$>$ Calculation of the QK1-4X knobs to get $\left\langle x y>=-0.73,\left\langle x y^{\prime}\right\rangle=0.21\right.$, $\left\langle x^{\prime} y\right\rangle=0.16,\left\langle x^{\prime} y^{\prime}\right\rangle=-0.11$ :


## Coupling correction with QK1-4X

$\checkmark$ Results obtained with sum knob QS1X+QS2X and coupling corrections with QK1-4X

|  | No correction | correction |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| r13 | 0.73 | -0.010 |
| r14 | -0.21 | 0.009 |
| r23 | -0.16 | 0.014 |
| r24 | 0.11 | -0.035 |
| Ey | $1.80 \mathrm{e}-011$ | $1.18 \mathrm{e}-011$ |
| Dy | -0.010 | -0.010 |
| Dy $^{\prime}$ | 0.163 | 0.163 |

$>$ Correlations completely corrected (almost 0)
$>$ Vertical emittance: from 18.0pm with no corrections to the nominal value with corrections (11.8pm)
$\Rightarrow$ Corrections of coupling and emittance induced by sum knob QS1X+QS2X with QK1-4X completely succeed!!

## Coupling correction with QK1-4X

$\checkmark$ Efficiency of the matricial method: calculation of knobs to independently correct $\langle x y\rangle,\left\langle x y^{\prime}\right\rangle,\left\langle x^{\prime} y\right\rangle$ and $\left\langle x^{\prime} y^{\prime}\right\rangle$ (value of 1)

| Knob (Normalized) | QK1X | QK2X | QK3X | QK4X |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $<x y>\left(1^{\text {st }}\right.$ knob) | 1 | -0.4667 | -0.5500 | -0.8722 |
| $<x y^{\prime}>\left(2^{\text {nd }}\right.$ knob) | -0.8722 | -0.5500 | 0.4667 | -1 |
| $<x^{\prime} y>\left(3^{\text {rd }}\right.$ knob) | 0.5500 | 0.8722 | 1 | -0.4667 |
| $\left\langle x^{\prime} y^{\prime}\right\rangle\left(4^{\text {th }}\right.$ knob) | -0.4667 | 1 | -0.8722 | -0.5500 |

$\checkmark$ Correlations obtained with the calculated knobs:

| Correlations | $1^{\text {st }}$ knob | $2^{\text {nd }}$ knob | $3^{\text {rd }}$ knob | $4^{\text {th }}$ knob |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| <xy> | $\mathbf{0 . 8 3}$ | -0.12 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| <xy'> | 0.12 | $\mathbf{0 . 8 3}$ | -0.00 | 0.00 |
| <x'y> | 0.00 | -0.00 | $\mathbf{0 . 8 3}$ | -0.12 |
| <x'y'> | 0.00 | -0.01 | 0.12 | $\mathbf{0 . 8 3}$ |

> Knobs well orthogonal

## Conclusion

$\checkmark$ Sum knob QS1X+QS2X: good spatial dispersions (lower angular dispersion) but coupling and emittance increase while they should not
$>$ Was the design respected? (In simulations, move QS2 up to 60 cm but almost no decrease of emittance)
$\checkmark$ To correct coupling and emittance increase, 2 methods were tried: $>$ Quasi sum knob KLQS1+aKLQS2: minimal emittance of 13.4pm found for $a=70 \%$ (nominal: 11.8pm)
$\Rightarrow$ Dispersion just slightly lower than with sum knob
> QK1-4X correctors: down to nominal emittance/coupling almost 0
$\checkmark$ With QK1-4X correctors: emittance completely corrected contrary to quasi sum knob method $\checkmark$ But with quasi sum knob: no use of QK1-4X
$>$ can then be used for other coupling corrections
$>$ avoid the combinaison of 6 correctors which is more sensitive to the correctness of the optics

## Prospects and further studies

$\checkmark$ Check the corrector behavior (for quasi-sum knob, correctors of orthogonal coupling...) in the presence of:
$>$ Errors on $\beta$-functions at the injection
$>$ Residual coupling not corrected in the damping ring
$\checkmark$ Understand why sum knob QS1+QS2 introduces coupling and emittance increase while they were designed not to do that
$>$ Has the location of QS1 and QS2 been changed due to a problem of place? This can be checked by:
$\Rightarrow$ Doing the same simulations with the lattice of 2007 for ex.
$\Rightarrow$ Looking where the $\beta$-functions are exactly symmetric and of same amplitude (it will give the exact locations to cancel coupling)

