# 8<sup>th</sup> Meeting of the ATF TB/SGC

11 June 2009

#### **Hardware Status**

#### Fast Kicker

- FID pulsers have had a reliability problem: this appears to have been solved by moving the pulsers to a low-radiation region.
- There are difficulties with extraction, apparently related to the alignment of the auxiliary septum. However, extraction tests are still in the very early stages.
- This is a challenging project; difficulties are to be expected, and are being addressed effectively.

#### **FONT**

- Few shifts since January; focused on commissioning new bpms and kickers, which are now working.
- Future plans include commissioning of new integrated electronics.

#### **Hardware Status**

#### Cavity bpms

- Problems with trigger (random jumps in phase) have been fixed.
- Work continues on calibration.

#### Laser wire

- It is hoped to achieve the resolution goal of < 1 micron after improvements over the summer shutdown (including correction of astigmatism).
- Work is still needed to automate the scanning process. It is hoped that the scan time will be only a few minutes.

#### **Emittance Tuning**

Recent improvements have been achieved.

- Magnets have been realigned; there should be study to understand the value.
- Why is the model not predicting the behaviour of the machine accurately? (Why do we need an empirical approach?)

Is the x-ray sr monitor resolution limited? Laser wire seems to indicate emittance < 5 pm... which is very encouraging.

More work should be done to understand the impact of the various tuning techniques being employed.

### **ATF2 Commissioning**

Tuning appears to be making very good progress.

- Approach is highly systematic.
- Vertical emittance of 11 pm has already been measured.
- Vertical beam size of 2.9 microns (limited by wire size) at this stage is very encouraging.
- Horizontal beam size is in excellent agreement with the model/prediction.

# **ATF2 Commissioning: Schedule/Plans**

The TB feels it would be helpful for the project to draw up a list of tasks, grouped by priority, for achieving the goals.

#### Each task should identify:

- Person responsible
- Resources required
- Resources available
- Target date for completion

Such a list would help to identify where resources are lacking, and be useful for targeting new resources where available.

We understand that discussions towards developing a prioritised list of tasks, with responsibilities etc. have taken place during the ATF2 project meeting earlier today.

# **Proposal: Multi OTR System in ATF2**

This system will be very helpful for tuning, providing single-shot measurements with resolution ~ 2 micron (complementary to the wire scanners).

Installation can take place in a timely fashion (maybe by end 2009) with minimal impact on other activities.

There will be a need for dedicated shifts for commissioning/tuning. This will need to be addressed in the schedule.

The TB feels that the proposal should be supported.

### Proposal: OTR monitor with super resolution

Goal is to demonstrate an OTR monitor with resolution < 1 micron.

Space is available for installation.

This is an interesting R&D project, which we would like to support. However, it does not appear to be a top priority for ATF2, so the resources (beam time etc.) required need careful consideration and management.

Opportunities for collaboration with other OTR studies at ATF/ATF2 should be explored.

### **Proposal: SR Monitor at EXT**

After installation, studies will be mostly parasitic.

Halo studies will be of interest.

The TB feels that the proposal could be supported; however, we would like there to be a more careful study of what can be learned from the measurements, e.g. can halo measurements be made on a single bunch? If not, what can we learn from integrating over many bunches?

### **Proposal: Cavity Beam Tilt Monitor**

Technical design work which suggests performance capable of measuring tilts ~ 35 nrad.

Interesting to consider how such a device may complement bpms for beam tuning (in ATF2 and other machines, including ILC).

Seems to fit well into overall ATF/ATF2 program and objectives.

Modest resource requirements.

The TB feels that the proposal should be supported.

# **Proposal: Hydrostatic Levelling System**

- A hydrostatic levelling system would be useful for developing a ground motion model, and for understanding the ground motion between different parts of ATF.
- Installation would not necessarily be straightforward, but the system does provide the possibility of working round obstacles.
- It would be a strong advantage if resources can be provided without detracting from resources required for other aspects of ATF/ATF2.
- The proponents should discuss with ATF/ATF2 project coordinators, to determine how and where such a system may best be used to the benefit of the project; and to address resource requirements, other impacts etc.