# Silicon tracker alignment;

#### ILD alignment task force





Marcel Vos (IFIC – U. Valencia/CSIC)

ILD alignment task force, May 2009

Marcel.Vos@ific.uv.es

Finding the set of constants that relates the local measurement (in units of strip number) to the global detector reference frame

To achieve this, all detector elements must be aligned to better than their intrinsic resolution

> << 2.8 μm in VXD << 7 μm in silicon << 50 μm in TPC

A "degenerate" problem is the determination of the the magnetic field map



The precision with which detector structures are mounted:

Sensor: Thickness: 5 % Flatness: +/- 200 μm (ATLAS SCT spec.) actual wafers much flatter when unstressed) Segmentation: precise

Module:

In-plane sensor-sensor alignment 5  $\mu$ m (ATLAS spec.) Front-to-back alignment 10  $\mu$ m (ATLAS spec.) ~100  $\mu$ m (CMS)

Flatness: 100  $\mu$ m Note: placing a wafer with 5  $\mu$ m precision is trivial, keeping it in that position while the glue cures (24 hours) Is a bit harder



(L. Eklund, the ATLAS semi-conductor tracker

3

Module mounting uses precise (short-range) stages and microscopes, recognition of fiducial marks. Note: not even the lowest level is aligned to ILC requirements Ladder:screw modules on precision mounting pin, 10s of  $\mu$ m (CMS TOB)

Survey of cylinders: 500 µm (CMS TIB)

The bottom line: a hierarchy of decreasing precision for larger structures (ve good precision over large volume = expensive machines)



www.mitutoyo.com

## Hardware alignment system



Two approaches on the market offer little additional material in tracking volume and fast response

- Laser tracks (AMS/CMS) ILD group from IFCA Santander (I. Vila) Constrains the "important" positions Directly relates to the local coordinate system
- Frequency Scanning Interferometry (ATLAS) ILC group from Michigan (K. Riles) Constrains complex grid of distances between "jewels" Transfer of position from jewel to sensor

### Track-based alignment

Start from initial engineering constraints + FSI / laser alignment system

*Construct residuals in all subdetectors for a sample of tracks from collisions, cosmics, beam halo* 



Minimize  $\chi^2$ 

To be performed on different levels Sub-detectors O (100) DOF Layers O (1000) DOF Single wafer O(100.000) DOF Strong constraints on overlapping modules. Tracks connect modules in different layers (only few welldefined combinations of modules) Still quite some freedom for movements.

> ΔR  $\Delta \phi$ ΔZ **Radial Expansion** Telescope Curl (distance scale) (Charge asymmetry) (COM boost) R Clamshell Elliptical Skew (vertex mass) (vertex displacement) (COM energy) φ Bowing Twist Z expansion (COM energy) (CP violation) (distance scale) Z

Classification of global distortions

Non-IP tracks, resonances with known mass and laser or FSI lines help to resolve some of these

### Time

### Align once – valid for ever? Each month?

- Magnet ramp leads to O(mm) displacement of large iron structures
- Ground movement?

• Thermal excursions: (night/day), pulsed powering

Position of detector as a whole will vary. What about internal degrees of freedom? Some monitoring (and correction) tools are required. Track-based alignment is too slow to correct for fast movements:

 $\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu^+ \ \mu^-)_{\text{ pT (}\mu) \ > \ 10 \ GeV/c} \ \sim \ 440 \ fb$ 

(ad) ( 10<sup>4</sup> ( 11) 10<sup>3</sup> (qd) (\_n\_10 Factor 1000 from . ⊐. ↑ †\_\_\_\_ "mini Giga-Z" 10<sup>2</sup> Φ + • •10<sup>-1</sup>d о (e 10  $10^{-1}$ 10 60 80 100 120 140 160 180 10<sup>3</sup> √s (GeV) √s (GeV)

Luminosity	$10^{32} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$		$2 * 10^{33} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$		
Time	few weeks	6 months	1 day	few weeks	one year
Int. Luminosity	$100 \text{ pb}^{-1}$	$1 \ {\rm fb}^{-1}$		$1 \ {\rm fb^{-1}}$	$10 \ {\rm fb^{-1}}$
$W^{\pm} \rightarrow \mu^{\pm} \nu$	700K	$7\mathrm{M}$	100K	$7\mathrm{M}$	70M
$Z^0  ightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$	100K	$1\mathrm{M}$	20K	$1\mathrm{M}$	10M

Compare rates at the LHC  $\sigma$  (pp  $\to \mu^+ \; \mu^{-})_{\rm \, pT \; (\mu) \; > \; 10 \; GeV/c} \; \sim \; 1000 \; pb$ 

# Time



### Similarly, VXD and SIT (and TPC field cage) could be connected

### Silicon-to-TPC



VXD

### SET

### **Connection silicon-to-TPC.**

High  $p_{T}$  tracks reconstructed in VXD, SIT and SET can be used to predict pretty precise space points in the TPC volume. A relation between silicon measurements and the time coordenate of the TPC can thus be established. This requires, however, a rather accurate zmeasurement on SIT and SET. The same is true for the r-measurement of FTD and ETD.

	VXD	VXD +SIT	VXD +SET
σ(z) @ 50 cm	35	16	28
σ(z) @ 100 cm	77	39	30
σ(z) @ 118 cm	118	50	39