Pad response and distortion

studied by H. Yamaguchi

What is motivation

Pad response

P=5[GeV], B=1[T], Layer21 Simulation with Magboltz. Pad Response 5_{PR}(0)² [mm². When B=1T χ²/ndf Prob 1.467o+04/7 1.8 pО 0.4347 ± 0.0003579 CD=95.29 [µm/√*cm*] 0.03082 ± 2.596e-05 1.6 434.7 ± 0.36 [um] $\sigma_{pR}^2 = \sigma_{pR}(0)^2 + (C_p^2) z$ 1.4 97.47 ± 0.082 [µmÅ/cm] CD=97.47 [µm*√cm*] 1.2 0.8 Red line reasonably agree with Garfield. should be OK. 0.6 We observe some effect on PadResponse 0.4 at long drift 0.2 discrepancy ~ 300~400um@50cm 300 100 200 0 400 500 What this? Drift Length: z [mm] and Why this?

What make PR wide

track angle to pad direction

angled track will widen PR $PR^2 = \sigma_0^2 + D^2z + TW^2$

effect will be removed after angle cut



distortion from ExB

effect would be removed also

if distortion is simple mapping



If we select "straight track" to pad PR must be same

ExB effect in gas amplification region

we need to choose "GOOD" track anyway

Angular distribution of track to pad direction

pad row #19



We observe many "not straight" tracks at long drift



Other track parameters

 $\kappa \blacksquare$ distribution





C.O.G position distribution











Ndf distribution

track selection

- 1) $-0.02 < d\phi < 0.02$ TW ~ 100um @0.02
- 2) $-0.4 < \kappa < 0.0$
- 3) Ndf = 50
- 4) COG 5 pads away from edge



Nothing changed !!

PR as a function of d $\phi~$ at drift distance 50cm



PadResponse must be changed as d ϕ

We did not observe clear dependence Why ?? Summary

Hiroshi is trying to figure out Why PadResponse become larger than expected at long drift. It might be due to non-uniform B field

But we cannot get clear conclusion yet.