Low energy pion analysis

Erika Garutti on behalf of Nils Feege

First look into FNAL data:

- usage of Cerenkov detector
- pion events selection (efficiency/purity at low E)
- all calibrations are still very preliminary !



Differential Cerenkov counter



Example: enhancing $p/\pi/e$ content

Beam energy: 10 GeV



Čerenkov Operating Pressure

 π (6 – 32 GeV)

Trigger: 10x10 && C_{inner}



 π (1 – 4 GeV)

- Trigger: 10x10 && ! C_{inner} && ! C_{outer}
- 2008: maximise e detection / rejection efficiency → operate at 20 psia
- 2009: minimise material (gas), multiple scattering and generation of knock – on electrons in Čerenkov to maximise π rate → operate at 2-5 psia
- 2009 rates for 2 Gev:
 20 psia → 320 events/spill
 5 psia → 520 events/spill

Čerenkov Operating Pressure

For the analysis record:

e (1 - 32 GeV)



Trigger: 10x10 && C_{outer}

Erika Garutti - CALICE meeting - Lyon

Multiplicity counter



- 20x20 cm² scintillator (analog + digital PMT radout)
- cut on analog signal → reject events with >1 particle (all events, signal < 4000 ADC-ch.)
- Processor: vetoBitGenerator (Beni)
 - \rightarrow output: trigger bit \rightarrow Processor: EventSelector (calice_hh)

16-18.09.09

Erika Garutti - CALICE meeting - Lyon

Halo rejection using veto-wall





all entries genericbit (OM):	117076
all entries w 10x10A&&B (OM):	104454
all entries (Root):	135477
all entries w 10x10A&&B (Root):	104557

Problem in the decoding of trigger bit info requires investigation
➔ for now the veto-wall info is not reliable

muon rejection → use TCMT

100x100 muon veto scintillator only ~1% efficiency →error in Trigger processor / steering??

NOT USED

TCMT signal in run 580156 (-1 GeV):







TCMT Cut Efficiency

purity and noise efficiency vs. muon run (2009) for cut ESum ≤ 10.5 mip



Definitions:

purity = fraction of muon events rejected by cut

➔ from muon data events with beamBit==1 && ahc_nHits>=30 && ahc_nHits <= 100)</p>

efficiency = fraction of non-muon events not rejected by cut

➔ from pure noise events pedestalBit==1 && calibBit==0 && spillBit==0)

AHCAL: π /e separation

remember: low – energetic pions \rightarrow no ECAL in front of HCAL to stop electrons



Reject electrons using "shower shape" variable:

- e: ~60% of Etot deposited in first 5 layers
- π: <20% of Etot in the first 5 layers + layers 1 − 38 some events with early charge exchange → cannot use this variable as cut

Erika Garutti - CALICE meeting - Lyon

• E₅ = energy sum

E_{all} = energy sum

layers 1 – 5

Data / MC comparison



Erika Garutti - CALICE meeting - Lyon

Quantifying the e contamination

- TFractionFitter (ROOT): Fits MC fractions to data histogram
 - Input: histograms (data, π MC, e MC)
 - Output: relative contributions of π and e to describe data



Calorimeter response 1-20 GeV

w/o correction after MIP correction +- 2% band

QGSP_BERT FTF_BIC LHEP



6-20 GeV linear after MIP stab correction layer-by-layer (MPI procedure) <6 GeV linearity not expected ? → see MC models

Interesting about the models

Linearity defined fixing 0 and 10 GeV Change slope in QGSP_BERT before and after 10 GeV BIC starts below 5 GeV → visible step in linearity



Conclusion

First look at low energy pion data from FNAL

First look at FNAL beam line instrumentation

- important feedback to all analyzers
- processors to be released soon with docu

Analysis in a very preliminary state:

- Still using online calibrations
- No Temperature correction of SiPM response

Very promising physics results to come soon...

TCMT Cut Optimization

muon run 560186

