



2008 SiW ECAL MIP Calibration

Hengne Li

LAL Orsay

Hengne LI @ LAL





Outline

- Introductory Remarks
- Stability Check of the Residual Pedestals
- Muon Selection
- □ Fit, to Extract the Calibration Constants
- Corrections for Dead Pads and Fit Failures

2

- Quality Check: Uniformity and Stability
- Systematic Errors
 - Summary





Introductory Remarks

MIP Calibration of SiW ECAL:

Assign a **standard energy scale** to the electronic readout from the silicon active medium **of each pad**.

The Standard Energy Scale:

Energy deposition of **minimal ionizing muons**, defined as a **MIP**. A constant for a given thickness of the material.

Calibration Constants:

1 MIP= ? ADC counts, for each pad. Extract by a fit using a convolution of Landau with Gaussian, where the Landau MPV gives the calibration constant.

Following previous works done by:

Goetz Gaycken, Marcel Reinhard



 $\beta \gamma \gtrsim 1000$, and at lower momenta for muons in higher-Z absorbers. See Fig. 27.21.



Eq. (27.1) may be integrated to find the total (or partial) "continuous slowing-down approximation" (CSDA) range R for a particle which loses energy only through ionization and atomic excitation. Since dE/dx depends only on β , R/M is a function of E/M or pc/M in practice, range is a useful concept only for low-energy hadrons ($R \leq \lambda_I$, where λ_I is the nuclear interaction length), and for muons below a few hundred GeV (above which radiative effects dominate). R/M as a function of $\beta\gamma = p/Mc$ is shown for a variety of materials in Fig. 27.4.

variety of materials in Fig. 27.4. The mass scaling of dE/dx and range is valid for the electronic losses described by the **Beth-Biochemation**, but not for radiative losses, relevant only for muons and pions. For a particle with mass M and momentum $M\beta\gamma c$, T_{max} is given by



Triggers:

1) 20x20 : For muon calibration runs, and electron runs

2) 10x10&Cerenkov : For low energy pion runs,

a) large fraction of electrons in low energy beams

b) Cerenkov for e/pi discrimination

c) Cerenkov signal is slow, since it is far upstream

Data Samples:

Muon data triggered with 20x20 scintillator counter, July 2008 FNAL About 520k events after reconstruction.

Hengne LI @ LAL

4





Stability of Residual Pedestal

After Pedestal Subtraction, the Stabilities of Residual Pedestals and Noise are checked:

- Take the noise signals recorded by each pad.
- Fit with a Gaussian function for each pad : fitting range [-5 σ , + σ]
 - mean of the Gaussian: the Residual Pedestal
 - sigma of the Gaussian: the Noise







Muon Selection

Muon Selection:

- 1) A signal hit: Response > 25 ADC counts
- 2) Fit to the hits as a straight line
- 3) Number of hits in the straight line must be greater than 10
- 4) Distance between two hits in consecutive layers must be less than 2 cm



Hengne LI @ LAL







Corrections for Dead Pads and Fit Failures

8

Number of Dead Pads: 476

Number of Fit Failures: 47

A fit failure, if any one of the following criteria is **not** satisfied:

- 1) MPV within (37.5, 53.5) ADC
- 2) Stat. Err. less than 2 ADC
- 3) Noise within (2, 14) ADC
- 4) Chi2/ndf within (0.5, 3)

Reason/Corrections for fit failures:

1) Due to abnormal residual pedestal:

- Refit together with another Gaussian to account for the residual pedestals

- 14 pads are recovered.
- 2) Short in statistics:

Hengne LI @ LAL

- 33 pads, treat as dead pads

Map of Dead Pads, for all 30 layers







Corrections for Dead Pads and Fitting Failures

Corrections for Dead Pads:

1) If it is found randomly:

- calibration constant: replaced by the mean of the same chip.
- error on calibration constant: the corresponding RMS. (on average for all chips: 1.31±0.03 ADC)

2) For a whole dead chip:

- calibration constant: replaced by the mean of the same PCB
- error on calibration constant: the corresponding RMS. (on average for all PCBs: 1.57±0.03 ADC)
- 3) In case more than half the pads in a PCB are dead:
 - calibration constant: replaced by the mean of the other PCB in the same slab.
 - error on calibration constant: The RMS of the difference between the mean of one PCB

9

and each pad of the other PCB in the same slab.

This RMS is 1.81±0.01 ADC, measured using all slabs.





Resulting Calibration Constants and Noise



Hengne LI @ LAL

10





ice, Sep. 16, 2009

Uniformity

Uniformity: Calibration Constants as a function of Pad Index, with error bar.



 $Pad ID = 9 \times 36 \times K + 36 \times (3 \times W_x + W_y) + (6 \times P_x + P_y)$

Calibration Constants: Mean: 47.61±0.02 ADC RMS: 2.06±0.01 ADC





Stability

Stability is checked by comparing with 2006 CERN Aug. and Oct. ones.



12

Correlation with Aug. 2006 CERN Correlation Coefficient: 80.30% Correlation with Oct. 2006 CERN Correlation Coefficient: 83.76%

Hengne LI @ LAL





Stability

Difference of the calibration constants compared with 2006 CERN Aug. and Oct. ones.

Pad $ID = 6 \times 36 \times K + 36 \times (2 \times W_x + W_y - 1) + (6 \times P_x + P_y)$







Systematic Errors

When apply the calibration constants to the electron runs, with the same 20x20 trigger:

1) Due to Residual Pedestals:

- mean of residual pedestals over all pads:

-0.058±0.003 ADC Counts (0.12% of a MIP)

2) Due to Different Fitting Ranges:

- Comparing the results with that using the entire range.

- difference for each pad: mean: 0.258±0.004 ADC

RMS: 0.366±0.003 ADC Counts (0.77% of a MIP)

(systematic error)

In total a systematic error of : 0.37 ADC Counts (0.78% of a MIP) for the electron runs

Hengne LI @ LAL





Systematic Errors

15

When apply the calibration constants to the pion runs with the 10x10&Cerenkov trigger:

Additional Systematic Error Due to the Timing Offset between Different Triggers:

- Reason:

Hengne LI @ LAL

- Difference in Trigger Arrival time
- Difference in Hold Value
- Examine using minimal ionizing pions triggered with 10x10&Cerenkov:
 - Difference:

Mean: 0.97±0.02 ADC RMS : 1.19±0.02 ADC

- Take the mean as the systematic error.

In total a systematic error of : 1.04 ADC Counts (2.2% of a MIP) for the pion runs.







Summary

- □ MIP Calibration for 2008 FNAL beam test is finished.
- □Calibration Constants on average: 47.61 ±0.52(stat.) ±0.37(sys.) ADC
 - □ if apply on pion runs with 10x10&Cerenkov, a total systematic error : ±1.04(sys.) ADC
- Good Stability in Time Obtained
 - □Compared with those of 2006 CERN,
 - □reminding: after two years of operations, shipments all over the world
 - □Correlation Coefficient is found greater than 80%
 - □ A Mean Difference of the order of 1 ADC Count
 - □ can be understood in terms of trigger timing offset
- □ It is an evidence that the calibration constants can be well controlled for a full SiW ECAL in a detector at ILC