iii Introduction to the SB2009 Low-Power Studies

have lots of info already, but we still need some more from you! with minor touch-ups from meeting

Peter H. Garbincius

Fermilab

July 15, 2009

1

Marc & Nick – 9july09 e-mail

- Primary point: make sure component <u>counts</u> and <u>specifications</u> are fixed to allow cost estimation to proceed – <u>for each of 3 sites</u>!
- Exercise is for Low-Power = half-number of bunches filled for single tunnel for DRFS & Klystron Cluster
- Consider and estimate two (three) scenarios:
 - Bare minimum to support Low-P 5 MW beams
 - Install only ½ RF power systems but make sure there is an "easy" upgrade path to full-P
 - And some intermediate scenario???

Some Working Assumptions

- Do not include any perceived constraint of an ~ 3 km circumference Damping Ring on path for upgrade. Assume DR solution can be found.
- Keep Dumps and Collimators at RDR power ratings (not a cost driver = \$ 0.055/\$ 6.617 B)
- Luminosity restored by Andrei's travelling focus which is also small relative cost ~ 1.5 * \$ 0.022 B
- Need Impacts (including longer RF pulse) on:
 - HLRF Components, including overhead & upgrade
 - Electrical Power requirements
 - Cooling Requirements

Civil Construction – both surface and underground

Driven by some of PHG's Questions on June 9, 2009

- Don't have enough resources to address all options => only RDR (2 tunnel, full power) vs. Klystron Cluster (1 tunnel, ½ power) & DRFS (1 tunnel, ½ power) for each of 3 regions with upgrade path(s) to full power
- <u>Config/Reg</u>: <u>Euro</u> <u>Americas</u> <u>Asia</u> DRFS D-easy D-easy D-easy D-easy Klystron Clust KC-easy KC-easy KC-???
 Is Klystron Cluster approach even attainable in the mountainous Asian region?

RDR => same Power & Cooling est for all 3 regions

Some Low-P parameters

- For filling more cavities per klystron, RF pulse length increases 1.6 => 2.0-2.1 msec => 31% more avg power per klystron Fukuda-san: modulator/PS cost => 15% more these costs scale as sqrt(P)
- Klystron Cluster: each 10 MW klystron drives
 26 => 52 cavities

Chris Adolphsen provided prelim estimates for full-P KlyClust in Aug08 & half-P RDR in Aug06

 DRFS: each 750 KW klystron drives 2 => 4 cavities (2 configs) Shigeki Fukuda provided estimate 7july09



Comparison of klyCluster vs. RDR Estimates

SENSITIVE COST ESTIMATE INFORMATION

Peter H. Garbincius and Tom Lackowski

August 22 2008 revised September 4, 2008

for incorrect +/- sign – changes higlighted in yellow

filename: klyCluster_estimate_4sept08.doc

note: Adjusted RDR Estimate => The total CFS base number has been corrected to reflect the actual costs of the shaft base cavern spaces that were based on overestimated, incorrect cavern volumes (6 * 15,334 m³ = 92,0006 m³) in the RDR estimate. The Americas' ML RDR estimate (\$ 1,160.9 M) was first <u>adjusted</u> to more correct (6 * 2,795 m³ = 17,852 m³) shaft base cavern volumes (=> \$ 1,116.1 M), to which the estimates for the alternative klystron placement was compared. This was done so that the savings reflected for the new klystron scheme were not artificially inflated.

This is for Main Linac only for 560 RF units	adjusted RDR	klyCluster	2007\$
	estimate	<u>estimate</u>	difference
Americas' only CFS Estimate (2006 unit costs)		(neg	gative => savings)
reference spreadsheets: 30march07, 29aug08	\$ 1,116.1 M	\$ 821.8 M	<mark>-</mark> \$ 294.3 M
escalate difference 2006 => 2007 @ 1.106			- \$ 325.5 M
CF&S institutional labor	271 K man-hrs	195 K man-hrs	- 76 K man-hrs
and across beam and service tunnels	etration		
and across beam and service tunnels			
at \$ 249 per meter (2006) = \$ 5.48 K/RF unit * 560 F	RF units		
= \$ 3.1 M escalate difference 2006 => 2007 @ 1.032	23		-\$ 3.2 M
I assume all other RF hardware, plumbing, & access	ories are needed		
HLRF WBS SUM 121906 rsl-mn.xls			
PHG: I don't understand Ray Larsen's spreadsheet I	ine 44 so just use hi	s base quote of	\$ 249/meter

:Ir			
İĿ	Add ~ 1,370 meters of RFpipe per 30 RF units		2.3
	at \$ 1 K per meter * 560 RF units	+\$ 25.6 M	
	estimate from Chris Adolphsen (28july08)		
	Add \$ 20 K per RF unit for coaxial RF couplers * 560 RF units estimate from Chris Adolphsen (28july08)	+\$ 11.2 M	
	Add extra control and LLRF cables, alcoves, RTML services in ML	L tunnel.	
	radiation shielding of tunnel electronics, & needed other stuff	not estimated	
	total change in 2007 \$ (negative => savings)	+\$ 33.6 M <mark>-</mark> \$ 325.5 M = <mark>-\$ 291.9 M</mark>	

Note: This difference estimate is only for Main Linac (560 RF units). There are another similar 32 RF units and service tunnel for RTML. This covers 2*BC2(15 RF units each) + 2*BC1(only take 1 RF unit each since the 100% backup can be provided by the other high power RF drivers on the same RF pipe). So, as a first order, average estimate of the savings for the combined Main Linac + RTML-BC1 + RTML-BC2 would be to multiply by (560+32)/560 = 1.057. Notice that this coupling of RF drivers could also remove two RF driver systems for the backups for RTML BC1's which is approximately \$ 1.164 M (2006) * 1.0323 = \$ 1.2 M (2007) each. This could be partially offset by needs of 2 additional RTML shafts for these Rfpipes. Similar savings by removing second tunnel for Electron Source, Positron Source, and Beam Delivery Systems have NOT been considered yet.



ILC International Linear Collider

CO	INVENTIO	Conventional Facilities			FINAL C Man-Ho 271,161	ONTRACT COS (except where r urs Total Man-Hrs	A5 Main Linac Denotes new Item Denotes new Item T- in 2006 US\$ oted) \$ Total \$ 1,160,918,226	4			FINAL COP (e Man-Ho 173,933	NTRACT COSI except where ni urs Total Man-Hrs	Main Linac Main Linac T- in 2006 US\$ oted) \$ Total \$ 821,758,79
					(still to be correc	ted RDR)	\$ 1,116,055,056	8			U-3 Cost	E de seise	Contine Tate
1.7.	1 1.7.1.1 1.7.1.1	CIVIL ENGINEERING Engineering, study work and documentation .1 In-house Engineering In-house Engineering 2 Outsourced Consultancy Services	\$90 2%	/man- hr 96	\$14,029,463 \$701,473,148	Man-Hrs 155,883 \$ 14,029,463	\$ 737,794,472 \$ 38,581,023 \$ 38,581,023	a	\$90 2%	/man- hr %	\$10,050,613 \$502,530,659	Man-Hrs 111,674 \$ 10,050,613	5 532,682,49 5 30,151,84
	1.7.1.2	Outsourced Engineering Underground Facilities 11 Shafts e- ML 14m dia. Shafts @ Points 5, 3 (2 x 425 vert ft) e- ML 9m dia. Shafts @ Point 7 (1 x 425 vert ft) e- ML 1500mm dia. Survey Shafts @ Points 3.1, 5.1 (2 x 425 vert ft)	6% 259 130 259	96 vertm vertm vertm	\$701,473,148 \$134,768 \$78,280 \$78,240	\$ 38,581,023 \$ 34,904,783 \$ 10,137,260 \$ 1,875,160	\$ 593,008,308 \$ 105,194,184		6% 259 130 259	% vert m vert m	\$502,530,659 \$134,768 \$78,280 \$7,240	\$ 30,151,840 \$ 34,904,783 \$ 10,137,260 \$ 1,875,160	\$ 333,284,34 \$ 112,024,49
		e- ML 3 m dia shafts @ pts 14,15 e+ ML 14m dia. Shafts @ Points 2, 4 (2 x 425 vert ft) e+ ML 9m dia. Shaft @ Point 6 (1 x 425 vert ft) e+ ML 1500mm dia. Survey Shafts @ Points 2.1, 4.1 (2 x 425 vert ft) e+ ML 3 m dia shafts @ pts 16,17	259 130 259) vertm) vertm) vertm	\$0 \$134,768 \$78,280 \$7,240	\$ 34,904,783 \$ 10,137,260 \$ 1,875,160			259 259 130 259 259	vert m vert m vert m vert m	\$10,635 \$0 \$134,768 \$78,280 \$7,240 \$10,635	\$ 2,754,465 \$ 34,904,783 \$ 10,176,400 \$ 1,875,160 \$ 2,754,465	
		Surface Grouting of Points 2-5 14m dia. Shafts (4 x 425 vert ft) Surface Grouting of Points 6-7 9m dia. Shafts (2 x 425 vert ft) Surface Grouting of Points 2.1, 3.1, 4.1, 5.1 Survey Shafts (4 x 425 vert ft) Points 2,3,4,5,8,7 - 14&9m dia. Shafts, finishing (stairs, conc. wall, elev.#2)	4 2 4 777	ea. ea. ea.	\$0 \$721,678 \$541,258 \$270,629 \$7,254	\$ 2,886,710 \$ 1,082,515 \$ 1,082,515 \$ 5,636,164			4 2 4 777	ea. ea. ea. vert m	\$0 \$721,678 \$541,258 \$270,629 \$7,254	\$ 2,886,710 \$ 1,082,515 \$ 1,082,515 \$ 5,636,164	
	1.7.1.2	ML Underground Potable Water (1/2 of Points 2 & 3) ML Underground Potable Water (Points 4,5,6,7) ML Underground Sanitary Sewer (1/2 of Points 2 & 3) ML Underground Sanitary Sewer (Points 4,5,6,7) Tunnels	1 4 1 4	ea. ea. ea.	\$67,188 \$67,188 \$67,188 \$67,188	\$ 67,188 \$ 268,750 \$ 67,188 \$ 268,750	\$ 389,191,025		1 4 1 4	ea. ea. ea. ea.	\$67,188 \$67,188 \$67,188 \$67,188 \$67,188	\$ 67,188 \$ 268,750 \$ 67,188 \$ 268,750 \$ 67,188 \$ 268,750	5 195,744,06
		e- ML 4.5m dia. Beam Tunnel, TBM Excavation (37,162 lin ft) e- ML 4.5m dia. Service Tunnel, TBM Excavation (37,162 lin ft) e- ML 4.5m dia. Tunnels, Conc. Inv. (74,324 lin ft) e+ ML 4.5m dia. Beam Tunnel, TBM Excavation (36,660 lin ft) e+ ML 4.5m dia. Service Tunnel, TBM Excavation (36,660 lin ft) e+ ML 4.5m dia. Tunnels, Conc. Inv. (73,320 lin ft) Provide Tunnel Construction Water Treatment Plant Maintain and Operate Tunnel Construction Water Treatment Plant	11,327 11,327 22,654 11,174 11,174 22,348 4 4	lin m lin m lin m lin m lin m lin m ea. ea.	\$7,171 \$7,171 \$1,351 \$00 \$7,171 \$1,351 \$100 \$156,250 \$1,160,074	\$ 81,228,749 \$ 81,228,749 \$ 30,611,218 \$ 80,131,548 \$ 30,197,735 \$ 625,000 \$ 4,640,295			1,327 0 1,327 1,174 0 1,174 4 4 4	inm inm inm inm inm ea. ea.	\$7,171 \$0 \$1,351 \$0 \$7,171 \$0 \$1,351 \$0 \$156,250 \$772,609	\$ 81,228,749 \$ 15,305,609 \$ 80,131,548 \$ \$ 15,098,888 \$ 625,000 \$ 3,090,436	
	1.7.1.2 1.7.1.2	I reament of I unnel Construction Water I:3 Halls I:4 Caverns e- ML Shaft Base Caverns D&B Excavation @ Points 3, 5, 7 (3 x 20,056 CY)	46,003	ea.	\$99,046	\$ 396,185 \$ 27,831,815	\$ 66,214,274		4	ea.	\$65,965	s 263,859	\$ 18,378,58

Page 1 of 5

Upgrade path for Low-P Klystron Cluster



can we simply add an extension to RF pipe?

PHG - AD&I - SB2006 Low-P July 15, 2009

FOCAL ITIRS

LowP Scheme for DRFS

İİL

Low-P July 15, 2009



10

Cost Impact for LowP DRFS

Fukuda-san update 15july09, see his presentation

DRFS		Star	ndard		Low	<i>I</i> P	Cost Impact
	No		Cost	No		Cost	%
DC PS w Backup		1	269 288		1	186166	
MA Modulator		2	100		1	50	
MA Klystron		13	845		7	423	
Magic Tee		13	91		20	137	
			1305 1324		7	'95 775	60.9%58.6
BCD		Star	ndard		Low	<i>I</i> P	
	No		Cost	No		Cost	
Mod		1	515		1	297	
Kly		1	300		1	150	
PDS		1	345		1	173	
			1160			620	53.4

Assume the PS's cost proportional to Square root of av. Power. 15july: Shigeki thinks > sqrt(P), asks Ray Larsen for better est.

İİĹ

What do we need?

- Updated estimate for over-moded waveguide and cylindrical couplers for Klystron Cluster
- Understand impact and possible cost reductions for Cryogenics:

what about Cryogenics load?

how much is static (same)? how much is RF related (1/2 * 1.31 = 0.65)? how can you add capacity later? add second plants? what were the layout of our 10 plants? 1 + 2 + 2 ...IP... 2 + 2 + 1 – optimization?

To Do (continued)

- Can you add RF pipe & couplers upstairs?
- How can Klystron Cluster be done in mountains?
- Which of the two Low-P DRFS options to choose?
- Need better developed DRFS cost estimates
- We have Low-P electrical power & cooling ests. which are ½ * 1.31 = 0.65 RDR levels for ML. How does the CFS estimates scale for both low-P and for facilitating upgrade to full-Power later?
- Do we need to change single tunnel from 4.5 meter to something larger for KlyClus, DRFS? Layout?
- modified shafts, access tunnels, shaft base caverns?

References (they all work, but...)

SB2009 Definition and Working Assumptions: <u>http://ilcagenda.linearcollider.org/materialDisplay.py?materialId=0&confld=3526</u> 1) With respect to the Reference Design, a reduced parameter set is to be adopted number of bunches = 1312 and a 2ms RF pulse, 2) a Single-tunnel solution for the Main Linacs and RTML is to be adopted, with two possible variants for the HLRF -Klystron cluster scheme and DRFS scheme and 3) the CFS team will develop design solutions for each HLRF variant at each of the (3) sample sites.

The DESY meeting report: <u>http://ilc-edmsdirect.desy.de/ilc-</u> edmsdirect/file.jsp?edmsid=*879845 – SEE TABLE PAGE 18.

operationally from a PPT presentation, it is better to save, then open

August 2006 (Chris Adolphsen) presentation on low power operation: <u>http://ilcagenda.linearcollider.org/getFile.py/access?sessionId=3&resId=0&materialId=</u> <u>5&confId=3526</u>

Availability Task Force - July 2009 (Shigeki Fukuda) presentation on DRFS availability, including low power option: http://ilcagenda.linearcollider.org/getFile.py/access?contribId=1&resId=0&materialId=s lides&confId=3719 (see slides 17 and 18).



PHG - AD&I - SB2006 Low-P July 15, 2009

İİĹ

ILC nternational Linear Collider

		International Linear Collider					-							and Da	ham	1
100	CONVENTIONAL FACILITIES & SITING - Americas Region															
					20	1		12	A5				GI UIUS	ALL X		-
		$\cap A \cap O$							Main Linac						Main Lir	lac
					and the second	N	ONTRA	Denot	tes changed Item			20	A DUNNIA			
					HILL CL	4	the second	Denot	tes new Item				MUYE	the set		
					EINAL CO	W	TRACT COST	Lin	20061105			-	FINAL CON	TRACT COST	in 200611	ce
					FINAL CO	(ex	cept where n	oted)	2006 0.3\$				(e	except where no	- in 2000 0.	
					Man Hou		Total	,	\$ Total				Man Ho	urr Total	\$ Tota	
	1	(STILL TO BE CORRECTED IN RDR) e- ML Shaft Base Caveros D&B		1	Wan-not	115	Total	14	+ Total	i 1	8.460	m^3	sens	\$ 3,013,745	4 100	-
		Excavation @ Points 2, 4, 6 (3 x 20,056 CY)	8,926	m*3	\$605	s	5,400,230				0,400	1.000	0000	0.010,140		
		e- ML Points 3,5,7 D&B Exc. for Shield Doors (in Base Caverns) (3 x 959								. 1			0 - 10			
		CY)	2,199	m*3	\$753	S	1,654,522				2,199	m^3	\$753	\$ 1,654,748		
		e- ML Beam Dump Cavern D&B Excavation @ Point 3 (3,034 CY)	2,320	m*3	\$753	\$	1,745,800				2,320	m^3	\$753	\$ 1,745,800		
		e+ ML Shart Base Caverns D&B Excavation @ Points 2, 4, 6 (3 x 20,000	46 003		\$605	s	27 831 815									
		(STILL TO BE CORRECTED IN RDR) e+ ML Shaft Base Caverns D&B	10,000	11.2	0000	Ť	21,001,010				6,469	m^3	\$605	\$ 3,913,745		
		Excavation @ Points 2, 4, 6 (3 x 20,056 CY)	8,926	m*3	\$605	\$	5,400,230		I							
		e+ ML Points 2,4,6 D&B Exc. for Shield Doors (in Base Caverns) (3 x 959							I	[
		CY)	2,199	m*3	\$753	S	1,654,522		I		2,199	m^3	\$753	\$ 1,654,748		
		e+ ML Beam Dump Cavern D&B Excavation @ Point 2 (3,034 CY)	2,320	m*3	\$/53	2	1,745,800				2,320	m^3	\$/53	\$ 1,745,800		
		Shield Doors @ Base Caverns @ Points 2-7	6	ea.	\$625,000	s	3.750.000				6	ea.	\$625,000	\$ 3,750,000		
4.1	1.7.1.2.5	Miscellaneous works		100	a standard a		a second and	\$	32,408,826			1995	a sector se		\$ 7,137	,201
		e- Refuge Areas (14 ea @ 10' x 20' x 10')									1,653	m^3	\$2,158	\$ 3,567,869		
		e+ Refuge Areas	6.400		00.450						1,654	m^3	\$2,158	\$ 3,569,332		
		e- ML Personnel Crossovers, D&B Excavation (23 X 295.5 CY) e- ML Waveguides, Drill Excavation (988)	5,196	m*3	\$2,158	5	4 001 250				0	m^3	\$U \$0	2		
		e+ ML Personnel Crossovers, D&B Excavation (23 X 295.5 CY)	5,196	m^3	\$2,158	s	11,213,163				ŏ	m^3	50	š -		
		e+ ML Waveguides, Drill Excavation (968)	968	ea.	\$5,156	ŝ	4,991,250				ō	ea.	\$0	s -		
1	.7.1.3	Surface Structures			S		28 00 J	\$	75,914,855						\$ 122,00	1,510
	1.7.1.3.1	Central Lab Buildings														
	1.7.1.3.2	Offee Buildings							5 057 150							1 150
	1.1.1.0.0	Pointe Buildings Points 4-7 Office Buildings (4 x 3 750 sq ft)	1.396	50.00	\$3.623	s	5.057.150	\$	0,007,100		1.396	sa m	\$3.623	\$ 5.057.150	a 0,001	,100
	1.7.1.3.4	Service Buildings						\$	17,981,957		-	1.1		and the second second	5 25,798	9,102
		Points 2-7 Electrical Service Buildings (6 x 1,500 sq ft)	836	sq m	\$2,805	S	2,344,863				836	sq m	\$2,805	\$ 2,344,863		
		Points 2-7 Cooling Towers & Pump Stations Bldgs. (6 x 7,500 sq ft)	4,181	sq m	\$2,805	S	11,727,120				6,968	sq m	\$2,805	\$ 19,544,264		
	17125	Points 2-7 Gooling Ventilation Buildings (6 x 2,500 sq ft)	1,394	sq m	\$2,805	5	3,909,975	e	24 812 810		1,394	sq m	\$2,805	\$ 3,909,975	\$ 24 81	0.610
	1.7.1.3.5	Points 2-7 Cryo - Warm Compressor Building (6 x 4 500 so ft)	2 508	50 m	\$4 109	\$	10 301 811	•	24,012,018		2 508	50.00	\$4 108	\$ 10 301 811	÷ 24,012	1,018
		Points 2-7 Cryo - Surface Cold Box Building (6 x 6.250 sq ft)	3,484	sqm	\$4,108	ŝ	14,310,809				3,484	sqm	\$4,108	\$ 14,310,809		
	1.7.1.3.6	Control Buildings				1	25 25	-	1000000000		24	100	1000		a wase	399.0
	1.7.1.3.7	Workshops						\$	12,822,374			June			\$ 12,822	2,374
	17120	Points 4-7 Workshop Bldg Machine & Detector (4 x 11,250 sq ft)	4,181	sq m	\$3,067	S	12,822,374	e	700 550		4,181	sq m	\$3,067	\$ 12,822,374	e 704	1 550
	1.7.1.3.8	Points 4-7 Site Access Buildings (4 x 750 sq.ft)	279	50.00	\$2,805	s	782 558	\$	/82,000		270	50.00	\$2,805	\$ 782.558	¢ /82	,000
	1.7.1.3.9	Shaft Access Buildings	2.10		12,000			s	14,658,198		210				\$ 14,658	3,198
		Points 2-7 Shaft Access Buildings (6 x 9,375 sq ft)	5,226	sq m	\$2,805	s	14,658,198				5,226	sq m	\$2,805	\$ 14,658,198		- Carlo
	1.7.1.3.1	Miscellaneous Buildings					1						1			
	1.7.1.3.1	User Facilities			2		1						2 J		£20.000 C	00.04
		Points 18.4.17.6.7.14.5.15									12 129		\$2,805	\$34 017 343	\$38,209,5	09.84
		Points 2,3									1,516	sq m	\$2,805	\$4,252,168		
1	7.1.4	Site Development			5			\$	30,290,286				-		\$ 47,244	4,801
	1.7.1.4.1	Off-site Site work													a la constance de la constance de la constance de la constance de la constance de la constance de la constance	
	1.7.1.4.2	Network of Monuments														
	17143	Construction Support						•	1 215 000						5 0.000	000
	1.1.1.4.4	Site Freparation			1. Contract (1. Contract)			÷	1,210,000			1.				Contraction of the

Page 2 of 5

. •

ILC national Linear Collider

CONVENTIONAL FACILITIES & SITING - Americas Region

\mathbf{O}		n	O
II.	≝¦/	U	O
		-	-

	A5
	Main Linac
THE ALL OF A	Denotes changed Item
Children and the second	Denotes new Item
and the second se	



			FINAL C	ONT (exc	RACT COS cept where r	T- in noted	2006 US\$		0	FINAL CONTRACT COST- in 20 (except where noted)			
				Man-Ho	urs T	Total		\$ Total			Man-Ho	urs Total	\$ Total
1714	Points 2 - 7, Clearing, Grubbing, and Initial Site Preparation (6 sites)	6	ea.	\$202,500	s	1,215,000	e	21 048 500	10	ea.	\$202,500	\$ 2,025,000	5 32 517
1.6.1.9	Points 2 - 7 Litility Corridors (Gas DWS San Storm Elec. Comm.)	6		\$3,037,500		18 225 000	\$	21,040,000	17		\$3,037,500	5 30 375 000	a 33,011
	Points 2 - 7, Sentic Field / Tank or Sanitary Sewer	e e		\$101,250	ŝ	807 500			10		\$101 250	\$ 1,012,500	
	Points 2 - 7, Wells or DWS			\$54,000	i e	324 000					\$54,000	5 540,000	
	Points 4 - 7 Elevated Water Tank		CO.	\$270,000	ŝ	1 080 000				ca.	\$270,000	\$ 1,080,000	
	Points 4 - 7 Water Pump House	4		\$202 500	ŝ	810 000				ea.	\$202,500	\$ 810,000	
1714	8 Road Sidewalks & Parking Areas		-	4202,000	1	010,000	\$	7 100 270			4202,000	010,000	5 0.04
1.1.1.1.1	Points 2 - 7 Service Roads /8 sites x 1250 lin ft / site)	2 286	lin m	\$1.107	e .	2 530 802	*	1,100,210	3,200		\$1.107	5 2 542 942	
	Points 2 - 7 Paved Areas (6 sites x 8750 sv / site)	43 806		\$97	š	4 266 601			81.454		\$97	5 5 973 368	
	Points 2 - 7, Flatwork (8 sites x 2 500 sr ft / site)	1 304		\$217	š	302 086			1.953		\$217	\$ 424 180	
1714	7 Jandsoaping	1,001	ad ut	9211		502,800	•	728.007	1,004	24.00	4211	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	4 1 10
1.1.1.4	Points 2 - 7 Landscaping			\$67.500		405 000	-	120,007	10		\$87.500	5 875.000	- I, IS
	Points 4 - 7. Security Fencing (4 sites x 5 000 lin # / site)	6 007	tin m	\$52	i e	321 007			9,628	ca.	\$53	\$ 440,410	
1714	Environmental	0,001		400	1	521,007	•	202 500			400		< 22
1.1.1.7	Points 2 7 Sodiment & Erosion Control (8 sites)			\$22,750		202.500	-	202,000	10		\$22,750	\$ 327.600	a 00
1714	0 Miscellaneous Site Works		ca.	\$35,750	1	202,000	-			ca.	\$35,750	007,000	
1.1.1.1.1	te miscellarieous site morks												
	FLECTRICAL		0	27 5.95	14-	n Hrs	•	160 134 000		-	28 189	Man Hrs	5 108 85
1	ELECTRICAL		1	37,303	1,10	in-rus	*	108,134,000			20,703	Indit-rits	\$ 120,00
1.	AIR TREATMENT EQUIPMENT		1	2 561	Ma	n-Hrs	s	12 328 035		1	4 283	Man-Hrs	5 20.61
21	Engineering, study work and documentation			2,007			s.	808 507		1.		manin	5 134
Ĩ.	Engineering, study work and documentation	22.9	/man-	022246244				000,007	0.547	/man-			
1.7.3.1	.1 In-house Engineering	\$90	hr	\$230,431		2,561			\$90	hr	\$385,392	4,283	
	In-house Engineering	2%	96	\$11,521,528	S	230,431		1513 Co. 18 1910	2%	96	\$19,269,599	\$ 385,392	
1.7.3.1	.2 Outsourced Consultancy Services	1000			1.00		\$	806,507	10.00	a prosecution			5 1,34
	Outsourced Engineering	7%	96	\$11,521,528	S	806,507			7%	96	\$19,269,599	\$ 1,348,872	
7.3.2	HVAC Equipment			1			\$	11,521,528		1	1 (i		\$ 19,26
1.7.3.2	.1 OA & Exhaust Air Processing						\$	4,363,546					\$7,2
100000000	OA Supply/Exhaust Systems @ Points 2 - 7	6	ea.	\$727,258	S	4,363,546		A COMPANY OF A	10	ea.	\$727,258	\$ 7,272,576	
1.7.3.2	.2 Air-conditioning for Tunnels				0.00		\$	7,157,983		100	2		\$11,9
	Beamline Tunnel A/C, e- ML	11,895	lin m	\$90	5	1,065,756			11,895	in m	\$90	\$ 1,065,756	
	Beamline Tunnel A/C, e+ ML	10,394	lin m	\$90	5	931,267			10,394	lin m	\$90	\$ 931,267	
	Fan Coil Units	1	Is	\$5,160,960	5	5,160,960			1	15	\$0	5 -	
Sec. 19	Chilled Water cooling for RF Racks in surface (placeholder)	1	Is						1	is .	\$10,000,000	\$ 10,000,000	
1.7.3.2	.3 Air-conditioning for General Areas		1112	1									
									-				
1.1	PIPED UTILITIES	1	0	266	Ma	an-Hrs	\$	1,300,792	1	15	1,255	Man-Hrs	\$ 6,15
7.4.1	Engineering, study work and documentation	_					\$	107,405					\$ 50
1741	1 In-house Engineering	\$90	hr man-	\$23,868		266			590	/ man-	\$112 901	1.255	
	In-house Engineering	2%	-	\$1 193 388	s	23.868			2%	4	\$5 645 057	5 112 901	
1741	2 Outsourced Consultancy Services			\$1,100,000	1	20,000	\$	107 405	2.70				\$ 50
	Outsourced Engineering	0%	-	\$1 193 399	s	107 405		101,100	0%	-	\$5 645 057	5 508 055	
742	Plumbing	0 /0	~	\$1,165,366	1	107,400	s	1 193 388	e /o	-	00,00,000	000,000	5 1.00
1742	1 Potable Water							1,100,000					
1742	2 Sanitary Sower												
1742	3 Sumn Systems						\$	1 103 389					\$1.0
1.1.4.2	Dewatering Sumn Systems at Points 2 3 4 5 8 7		122	\$108 000	•	1 103 399		1,160,000			\$108,000	\$ 1 103 300	61,8
1	Dewatering Sump Systems at Points 14, 15, 16, 17		ca.	\$160,080		1,160,000				ca.	\$198,899	\$ 795.592	
743	Eiro Supprocession										\$100,000	4 100,002	\$2.8
T. 0	I IIE JUDUIESSIUI												

1.7.4.3

ILC International Linear Collider

CONVEN

		 International Linear Collider 							0				and Qu	hama
DN/	ENTION	IAL FACILITIES & SITING - Americas Region	20			-	2) 		2	11110	ton	10 HIK	H DG	GIU
					31		2	A5		14.4			Section of	
					1	Second and		Main Linac	9	A COLOR	-		NUL	Main Linac
					1000	MUL	Deno	oles changed Item			10	BULLINA		X
					And the second second	and a	Deno	otes new Item			1	Rept hot	North Co	
					FINAL C	ONTRACT COS	T- in	2006 US\$				FINAL CON	TRACT COST	r- in 2006 US\$
					-	(except where r	oted)	8			(6	except where no	oted)
_			10	10	Man-Ho	urs Total		\$ Total			-	Man-Ho	urs Total	\$ Total
		e- shafts								648	lin m	\$128	\$82,875	
		e-caverns								11,527	in m	\$306,000	\$306,000	
		e+ shaft								648	In m	\$128	\$82,875	
		e=tunnels								11,174	In m	\$128	\$1,429,378	
17	4.4	e+cavems Evel System Distribution				-				1		\$306,000	\$306,000	
1.1.	.9.9	Fuel System Distribution												
.5		PROCESS (COOLING) WATER			38,249	Man-Hrs	s	187,609,926				17,433	Man-Hrs	\$ 85,507,21
1.7.	.5.1	Engineering, study work and documentation			a	1970-1990-en	\$	15,490,728					141.025555	\$ 7,060,22
	1.7.5.1.1	In-house Engineering	\$90	/man-	\$3,442,384	38,249				\$90	/man-	\$1,568,940	17,433	
	Construction of the	In-house Engineering	2%	%	\$172,119,198	\$ 3,442,384		202010/06/06/06 00:00		2%	%	\$78,446,983	\$ 1,568,940	
	1.7.5.1.2	Outsourced Consultancy Services		1000			\$	15,490,728		1455	1.44			\$ 7,060,22
17	5.2	Outsourced Engineering Primary Stations	9%	96	\$172,119,198	\$ 15,490,728	e	20 082 015		9%	96	\$78,446,983	\$ 7,060,228	5 00 081 00
1.1.	17521	Cooling Towers & Pumping Stations					ŝ	19 875 852						5 19 234 94
		Cooling Towers for Process Water	1	Is	\$9,636,281	\$ 9,636,281		10,010,002		1	ls -	\$12,081,000	\$ 12,081,000	
		Cooling Towers for Chilled Water	1	Is	\$5,200,237	\$ 5,200,237				1	Is	\$0	s -	
		Tower Pump and Accessories for Process Water		Is	\$1,842,963	\$ 1,842,963				1	15	\$1,639,000	\$ 1,639,000	
		Chilled Water Pump		IS IS	\$1,220,138	\$ 1,220,138				1	15	50 50	ŝ	
		Controls	1	Is	\$784,983	\$ 784,983				1	15	\$784,983	\$ 784,983	
		Pump for RF Surface water system (for 10 plants)	1	Is						1	ls -	\$2,416,000	\$ 2,416,000	
	17522	Heat Exchanger for RF Surface water system (for 10 plants)	1	ls -				0.007.082		1	is	\$2,314,000	\$ 2,314,000	0 107 04
	1.7.0.2.2	Chillers	1	ls.	\$5,347,115	\$ 5,347,115	9	9,967,902		1	15	\$0	s -	a a,121,00
		Tower Piping for Process Water (surface)	1	Is	\$821,952	\$ 821,952				1	ls.	\$650,000	\$ 650,000	
		Tower Piping for Chilled Water (surface)	1	Is	\$489,039	\$ 489,039				1	ls :	\$0	5 -	
		Tower Piping for Process Water (shaft)	1	Is	\$1,679,547	\$ 1,679,547				1	ls.	\$951,000	\$ 951,000	
		Chilled Water Piping (surrace) Chilled Water Piping (shaft)		IS IS	\$280,330	\$ 1383.074				1	5	50	2	
		Piping RF Surface Water System (for 10 plants)	1	Is	\$1,000,011					1	ls .	\$2,126,000	\$ 2,126,000	
1.7.	5.3	Secondary Stations					s	142,255,383						\$ 55,485,00
	1.7.5.3.1	Demineralized Water Stations and Distribution Piping			CR0 045 057		\$	69,245,357				804 000 000	E 04 000 000	\$ 34,882,00
	17532	Chilled Water Stations and Distribution Piping		IS	\$09,240,307	\$ 09,240,307	s	32 456 126		1	15	334,082,000	\$ 34,662,000	s
		Heat Exchangers (cavern)	1	ls :	\$1,726,838	\$ 1,726,838				1	ls.	\$0	5 -	1
		Distribution Pumps (cavern)	1	Is	\$1,649,580	\$ 1,649,580				1	ls.	\$0	5 -	
		Piping (cavern)	1	Is	\$399,846	\$ 399,846				1	ls.	\$0	ş -	
		Piping (tunnel) Piping Connections to End Equipment		IS In	\$19,274,032	\$ 0405330				1		\$U \$0	2]	
	1.7.5.3.3	Water Stations and Distribution Piping			\$5,100,000	0,100,000	\$	14,473,690			1	1		\$
		Water Stations and Distribution Piping	1	Is	\$14,473,690	\$ 14,473,690				1	ls 🖉	:\$0	S -	
	1.7.5.3.4	Compressed Air					\$	2,404,000				60 404 CD0		\$ 2,404,00
	17535	Process Water Distribution	1	IS (\$2,404,000	\$ 2,404,000	S	23 676 210		1	5	\$2,404,000	\$ 2,404,000	5 18 199 00
		Heat Exchangers (cavern)	1	ls l	\$2,772.791	\$ 2,772,791		20,010,210		1	ls :	\$1,845,000	\$ 1,845,000	0,100,00
		Distribution Pumps (cavern)	1	Is	\$1,879,121	\$ 1,879,121				1	Is	\$1,601,000	\$ 1,601,000	
		Piping (cavern)	1	IS	\$661,007	\$ 661,007	1			1	15	\$316,000	\$ 316,000	
1	L.	riping (white)		IS	\$10,010,925	\$ 10,010,825	L.,			1	15	914,437,000	9 14,431,000	

PHG - AD&I - SB2006 Low-P July 15, 2009

1.7.4.4 1.7.5

Page 4 of 5

ILC International Linear Collider

CONVENTIONAL FACILITIES & SITING - Americas Region

Piping Connections to End Equipment

HANDLING EQUIPMENT

SURVEY AND ALIGNMENT

SAFETY EQUIPMENT

9/4/08



14,020,000

27,431,000

1 Is

UV Pour O	FINAL CO	NTRACT CO except where	ST- in noted	Main Linac
	Man-Ho	ours Total	_	\$ Total
1 is	\$0	\$	-	
	2,511	Man-Hrs	\$	11,300,000
	2,492	Man-Hrs	5	11,216,000
	6,096	Man-Hrs	\$	27,431,000

LEGEND (AUG 21 2008)

1.7.6

1.7.7

1.7.8

Changes in RDR Samount or quantities for the KLY cluster scheme New line item added for the KLY cluster scheme Still to be corrected in RDR

LEGEND (RDR Dec 2006)

Main CFS WBS level (1.7) Second level of WBS (1.7.1 to 1.7.8) Third level of WBS Fourth level of WBS detail

CFS criteria for KlyCluster study

📳 Criteria Comparison.xls [Compatibility Mode]

	A	В	С	DE	F	G	Н	l I	J	K	L	М	N	0	Р	Q
1	n:															
2	Draft - Started Jul 17 2008	N		blu	e shade i	indicate	numbers th delt	at are <u>arbitraril</u> a T in post RDR	y adjusted to inc १	rease water						
3	Criteria Comparison - Main Linac RF (except	where	noted with *)				notab	le differences	??							
4																
5	BE			Use for VE			ILC				TE	SLA	XF	EL		
6	items snown per KF	units	000	Schemes	POST-RI	DR (RF on	n & off)	RF Off??	KLY Cluster	ILC CFS	DE 0 -	05.06		05.06	CLIC	Proj X
7	(except where noted with *)		RDR	👌 (from Sł	nigeki, et. al	al.) (f	from Wilhelm)	(frm Adolphsen)	(frm Adolphsen)	Jun o8	REON	REOT	REON	REOT		
8	*Tunnel Scheme				deeptw	o-tunnel			single tunnel	??	near surface	single-tunnel	near surface	single-tunnel	???	near surface single-
20																
21	Process Water Circuit (RF wate	ercoo	led compo	nents)												
22	Collector Heat Load to water	KW	45.8 Shige	ki/Adolphsen e	t.al.	3	o? (wilhelm)	бо	45.8		31.9	91.13		282		
23	Location			servio	e tunne	el 👘			surface	surface	tu	nnel	tur	nnel		
24	Collector Water Flow given	l/min	not given		37			37	37			35		282		
25	conector water how given	gpm	not given	9	.77			9.8	9.8		9	25		74		
26	Collector Water Delta T	C	not used		18			23	18					14		
27		F	not used		32			42	32					26		
28	Maximum allowable Temperature	C	not given		87			87	87							
29		F	not given	1	189			189	189							
30	Maximum allowable pressure	Bar	not given		15			15	15							
31		Psi	not given		59			59	59							
32	Pressure drop	Bar	2	(0.3			0.3	0.3							
33		Psi	29		32			32	32							
34	Supply Temperature Stability		not given				none									
35	Circulator Heat Load to water (for 26 qty)	KW	not given			2.4	9									
36	Circulator quantity per RF				2	26						36	3	2		
37	Circulator Water Flow	l/min	not given			26.7	78				2	3.8				
38		gpm	not given			7.1	L				7	.6				
39	Maximum allowable Temperature	C	not given													
40		F	not given													
41	Maximum allowable pressure	Bar	not given													
42		Psi	not given													
43	Pressure drop	Bar	not given													
44	Supply Temperature Stability	Psi	not given													
40		1011	2020		+ - 2	2.50										
40	RF Load heat Load to Water	KW	none	C D E F G blue shade indicate blue shade indicate dwith *) POST-RDR (RF or RDR POST-RDR (RF or dwith *) deep two-tunnel schemes POST-RDR (RF or RDR Service tunnel 3 schemes POST-RDR (RF or schemes State State schemes POST-RDR (RF or State schemes State State State schemes State State State schemes State State State stat			⁷⁵									

KlyCluster est did <u>not</u> use Chilled Water!

		liict		r	esi	t di	d n	ot u		Ch	ille	d	Ma	ate	rl
				1				••••						• •	
📳 Criteria Comparison.xls [Compatibility	y Mod	e]													
A	В	С	D	Е	F G	Н	l. I	J	К	L	М	N	0	Р	Q
2 Draft - Started Jul 17 2008	94) F 1957			blue	e shade indica	te numbers ti del	nat are <u>arbitrari</u> ta T in post RD	ly adjusted to inc R	rease water						
3 Criteria Comparison - Main Linac RF (except	t where	e noted with *)				notab	ole difference	5 ??							
4															
5			Use for	VE		ILC				TE	SLA	XF	EL		
6 Items shown per KF	units		Schem	es P	OST-RDR (RF	on & off)	RF Off??	KLY Cluster	ILC CFS					CLIC	Proj X
7 (except where noted with *)		RDR	۹) 🕈	om Shi	geki, et. al.)	(from Vilhelm)	(frm Adolphsen)	(frm Adolphsen)	Jun o8	REON	REOTT	REON	REOT		
8 *Tunnel Scheme				(deep two-tunr	el		single tunnel	??	near surface	single-tunnel	near surface	single-tunnel	???	near surface sindle-
166 Chilled Water Circuit (Watercoo	led Ra	icks and othe	r heat	load t	to air)										
167	С	29		>	40		> 40								
1000 Tunnel Space Temperature	F	85		> 10	04 ?		> 104 ?								
169 Tunnel temperature Stability	%	none		nc	one		none	Negligible 'Heat							
170 Tunnel Space Humidity	%RH	non-condensing		nc	one		none	load to air' in the							
171 Heat Load to Air (waveguide) beam tunnel	КW	none given		5	.9		5.9	tunnel in this							
172 Heat Load to Air (RF component) svc tnl	КW	10.1			Ignored. (very		Ignored. (very	scheme??			(22) - b b d	assumed	none (??)		
173 <u>Heat Load to Air</u> (non-rf component)	КW	16			warm tunnel		warm tunnel			assumed non in tun	e (??) absorbed nel wall	absorbed	d in tunnel		
174 Heat Load to Air in w/m	w/m	687			space)??		space)					w	all		
175 RACKS Total Heat Load per RF	KW	11.5			1	5-75		Only 1 KW remain							
176 RACKS Minimum supply temperature	C	non-condensing			reduce	ed to 50%		in tunnel. (10 kw				1	18		
177	F	non-condensing										6,	4.4		
*Conceptual Design Scheme		watercooled racks supplied with blended cold water (from chilled water)	self co	ntained	racks with chiller chiller co	supplied with pro st not by cfs)	cess water (rack w	oniy raw raw in tunnel(ignored). The other cluster in surface used underfloor data center aircooling						existing cold water, available at the center point of the accelerator	
179 *Total load to Chilled Water (RF+Rack+non-RF)	кw	37.6					none	0				1			
180 *Supply Temperature used in chilled water	С	6											6		
181	F	43										4	43		
*Delta T used in chilled water	C	10					Chilled			:	12		6		
183	F	18	(hilled	Water		Water	Chilled Water	1	2	1.6	10	o.8		
184 *Total Load for a representative water plant	MW	3.9	distri	butior	n- not used.		distribution	distribution-	+						
*Total Flow per representative plant	I/min	5614	{				not used.	not used.	/						
187	ypm mm	1483	{				1	N J	/						
*Main Pipe Size in representative plant	in	10	1				1								
100		10	L												·



- Now we need *cost differentials*: How much could we save if we made this proposed change?
- Later in the Technical Design Phase 2: we will need a *complete new cost estimate* (bottom-up)
- For Reference Design Report, we had

 estimate = a + b + c
 new estimate => a' + b + d

 where d replaces c, but may have an updated estimate for a

 need to compare a+b+c => a+b+d
 or a'+b+c => a'+b+d

 sometimes easy comparing b => d, sometimes b/d affects a/a'

 non-diagonal, coupled effects
- We need estimate comparison for same year => 2007 RDR
- What questions need to be asked for each AD&I study?
- Prior examples: Klystron Cluster & 230 GeV e-e+ studies

What information we need for each study?

- Descriptive text what changes
- Configuration number of new components, # and length of tunnel(s), sketches, 3D CAD, etc.
- Required utilities: power, cooling, cryogens
- Cost estimates for new components:

 e.g. overmoded waveguide and couplers
 for Klystron Cluster study,
 klystrons and modulators for DRFS
- Do old unit cost estimates change? Learn Curve?
- Use new ICET cost estimate template (enable macros)
 <u>http://www-ilcdcb.fnal.gov/example_26march09-Construction.xls</u>
- Head to head comparison: old vs. new (CFS)