

# A New GEM Module for the LPTPC

By Stefano Caiazza





## The new backframe: Design



# The new backframe: Production



#### Site

• The production of the backframe will be performed at the University of Hamburg workshop

#### Materials

- The recommended for the production of the module is the alloy Aluminum 6061 T651
- The workshop do not have that material readily available
- We are going to use AIMg4,5MN (Dogal 5080) for the first tests

## Frame and Support System: Issues



#### Reduce the unsupported areas

- Gain uniformity limited by the GEM sagging
- To reduce the sagging we must limit the unsupported areas of the GEM

#### Increase the sensitive area

- Sensitive area limited by the presence of supporting materials
- To increase the sensitive area we need to reduce the width of the framing and supporting structure

#### Choose a stiffer material than GRP

- To meet both requirements we need an insulating material which can be made thinner remaining as resistent as a normal GRP frame
- Alumina Ceramics is almost 4 times stiffer than GRP and may be machined with widths of 0.5 mm

## Frame and Support System: Design





#### Modular structure

- Every GEM can be separately framed
- The framed GEM can be piled up to form the stack using "ungemmed" frames as spacers

#### 0.5 mm width

- The external frame and the internal grid structure are 0.5 mm wide
- There are 6 strong points for the mounting of the support structure

#### Mandatory horizontal bar

• The horizontal bar presence depends on the mandatory segmentation of the GEM electrodes

#### Grid patterns

- Many grid patterns have been considered
- Each grid emphasize a specific requirement
- E.g. The grid shown is the stiffer design considered

#### Production

• We got in touch with a production firm and we are evaluating the feasibility of the project

## The GEMs: Design





#### **Custom GEM**

• Specifically designed to get the maximum sensitive area

#### Produced by CERN

- 50  $\mu$ m kapton foil
- Chemical etching

#### Standard hole size and pitch

- 70  $\mu$ m hole size
- $\bullet\,140\,\mu m$  hole pitch

#### 2 or 4-fold electrode segmentation

- A 2-fold segmentation will increase the sensitive area
- A 4-fold segmentation will increase the operation safety

## The GEM Stack: Features

#### Three GEM + possible gate

- Three GEM stack
- Optional fourth module (gating)
- The gate may be both GEM or wire based

#### Induction gap

- Small induction gap  $\rightarrow$  better time resolution
- The induction gap effect lower than other effects

#### Transfer gap

- Transfer gaps and fields influence the defocussing of the stack
- The defocussing and the pad size influence the point resolution

#### Ion backdrift

• Influenced by the fields and of the potential across the GEMs

#### Numbers not yet available

• I've not yet done all the calculation and simulation to find the best compromise

## **Anode Readout Plane: Features**





## Structural Features

- Ensure gas tightness
- Support the GEM stack
- Provide power to the GEM stack

### Readout Features

- Pad readout
- Maximum possible sensitive area
- Readout by ALTRO electronics

## Anode Readout Plane: Design





#### In collaboration with Bonn University

- The design is still in his infancy
- Using the data acquired testing the Bonn GEM module

#### Pad size

- (1.1 ÷ 1.25) x (5.6 ÷ 5.8) mm
- 28 rows
- Row gaps aligned with the GEM segmentation gap

#### **GEM Power supply**

- Supplied from power pads on the PCB itself
- Using the ceramic structure to separate the power from the readout pads



## Conclusions

#### Module Backframe

- First design ready
- Looking for production solutions

#### **Ceramic Framing**

- First design ready
- Design to be updated using producers feedback

#### GEMs

- First design ready
- Getting feedback from CERN to update this design

#### Anode Readout Plane

• Design just beginning

#### Other ideas

- Compare gating modules
- Test the gain homogeneity of the modules
- Measure the ion backdrift in the TPC