#### Micromegas DHCAL

#### Status Report and Future Plans CALICE Meeting UT Arlington, Texas 12<sup>th</sup> March 2010

Ambroise Espargilière on behalf of the LAPP group

# Outline

- Brief reminder
  - Prototype caracteristics
  - Electronic realisations
  - Bulk Micromegas with embedded readout electronics
- Beam tests results
  - Analog readout
  - HARDROC 1-2
  - DIRAC2
- 2010 plans
  - VFE Electronics developments (readout ASIC, spark protection)
  - First m<sup>2</sup> technological prototype status
  - Beam tests
  - Simulation activities
- Conclusion

# Reminder: MICROMEGAS

- MICROMEGAS detector
  - MICRO MEsh GAseous Structure
  - High detection rate
  - Low voltage (all < 600V)</li>
  - − Bulk technology
    →Robust, cheap
- Prototype layout
  - 128µm bulk
  - 3 mm conversion gap
  - 2 mm absorber as chamber cover



#### Reminder: basic performance

- Prototype basic performances (test beam 2008)
  - MIP most probable value : ~20fC (11% r.m.s.)
  - Efficiency > 97%, channel non-uniformity < 1% (1.5fC threshold)</p>
  - Multiplicity < 1.1 , chamber non-uniformity < 1.5%</li>



#### Reminder: Electronics (see C. de la Taille's talk)

- DIF (Detector Interface) developed at LAPP and ready for mass production
- Recent developments for the new CALICE DAQ:
- $\rightarrow$  8B/10B Communication protocols validated (LAPP/LLR)
- $\rightarrow$  CCC (Clock and Control Card) integration in work at LAPP



# **Digital Readout**

- Bulk MICROMEGAS with embedded Readout electronics
  - HCAL compactness  $\Rightarrow$  embedded VFE
  - Install bulk or VFE first ? ASICs won't survive being laminated Bulk won't behave well in the soldering oven
  - $\rightarrow$ Solution: VFE first with protection mask for the
    - ASICs

2 types of ASICs concideredHARDROC , 2 versions (LAL)DIRAC2 (LAPP/IPNL)



#### 2009 Beam tests results summary SEPT 2009: GASSIPLEX

hadron showers (1-6 GeV, 6 Abs.)



7

#### 2009 Beam tests results summary SEPT 2009 : HARDROC 1

- Threshold ~5fC  $\rightarrow$  expect ~90% efficiency or more
- Measurement  $\rightarrow$  8 14 % (extremely low !!)



#### 2009 Beam tests results summary SEPT 2009 : HARDROC 1

- MICROMEGAS signal
  - Fast electron signal (~1 ns)
  - Slow ion signal (~100 ns)
  - ~90% signal due to ions (e-/ions =  $1/\ln(Gain) \approx 0.1$ )
- HARDROC shaping time very short (10-20 ns)
  - electron drift velocity (~50  $\mu$ m/ns)
    - $\rightarrow$  only one mm of gas is seen (2/3 signal lost)
    - $\rightarrow$  the ion tails can't be seen (~90% of signal lost)
  - HARDROC sees only 0.1 x 1/3 x 20fC  $\approx$  1fC as signal MPV

# New acquisition software

- X-DAQ left out
- Labview software for calibration, monitoring and data acquisition
- Version for HR1, HR2, DIRAC2
- Version for hybrid readout foreseen
- ~ 100Hz acquisition rate (24 HR2)





Enable/disable monitoring

sisition 48HR2 V2 0.vi

#### 2009 Beam tests results summary NOV 2009: HARDROC 2

- One 32x48 ASU in test box
- Beam profile
- Efficiency very low (as expected with HARDROC)
  - → measurements via« self tracker » or « TPC » mode (1cm gas)

3 cm

Calo mode

- Many chips were dead (reason not obvious)



Ambroise Espargiliere (LAPP) CALICE meeting at UT Arlington **TPC** mode

#### 2009 Beam tests results summary NOV 2009: DIRAC 2

- Stack of 4 8x8 MICROMEGAS chambers equiped with DIRAC 2
- Only 3 hours commissioning
- 4-fold coincidences immediately observed
- Destructive sparks killed channels one by one after few hours functionning
  - Few data available
  - No time for threshold optimisation



# 2009 Beam tests results summary NOV 2009: DIRAC 2

• DIRAC performances

(no threshold optimisation)

Multiplicity

Chamber 1: 1.13 (6fC) Chamber 2: 1.11 (6fC) Chamber 3: 1.07 (14fC) Chamber 4: 1.06 (14fC)

Efficiency

Chamber 1:  $12 / 27 = 0.4 \pm 0.1$  (6fC) Chamber 2:  $14 / 29 = 0.5 \pm 0.1$  (6fC) Chamber 3:  $14 / 30 = 0.5 \pm 0.1$  (14fC) Chamber 4:  $14 / 30 = 0.5 \pm 0.1$  (14fC)

Not corrected for synchronous functionning

S Compatible with previous

measurements



### Digital readout Conclusions and outlook

- HARDROC 1 and 2 input stage not adapted to MICROMEGAS signal
- DIRAC 2 showed fragility to sparks
- HARDROC showed fragility not fully explained (sparks ? Commissioning ? ... )
- New chip is needed
  - Optipmized for MICROMEGAS signal
  - Hardened design against sparks
- Improved external spark protection needed

### 2010 plans : **VFE Electronics developments**

- New input stage developped for the next generation ASIC Preliminar
  - Optimized for MICROMEGAS
  - Simulations give S/N=10 @ 1fC, noise r.m.s. 0.1fC @80pF
  - Integrable to HARDROC or DIRAC design
- Spark protections
  - PCB to test various protection schemes
  - Spark generator (large capacitance discharging in the PCB)
  - Test of burried components undergoing and promissing

# 2010 plans: First technological m<sup>2</sup> prototype (1)

- Mechanical Prototype validated the assembly procedure (6 dummy ASUs)
- Available ASU for technological prototype
  - 4 ASU with HARDROC2 chips (under tests, almost all ready)
  - 1 ASU with HARDROC2b chips (PCB in cabling)



1 dummy ASU (6 ASU 32x48 are necessary)

# 2010 plans: First technological m<sup>2</sup> prototype (1)

- Mechanical Prototype validated the assembly procedure (6 dummy ASUs)
- Available ASU for technological prototype
  - 4 ASU with HARDROC2 chips (under tests, almost all ready)
  - 1 ASU with HARDROC2b chips (PCB in cabling)



1 dummy ASU (6 ASU 32x48 are necessary)

# 2010 plans: First technological m<sup>2</sup> prototype (2)

- All ASU tested between each step
  - Electronics:
    - Return from cabling
    - Return from bulk lamination
    - After bulk cooking
  - Full calibration
  - <sup>55</sup>Fe and/or cosmics with a test box
- Clean room  $\rightarrow$  naked mesh ASU
  - Perform mesh cooking in air
  - Insert/remove ASU from test box
- $m^2$  completion  $\rightarrow$  validate full design & processs  $\frac{14000}{10000}$









#### 2010 plans: Beam tests

- Beam test second half of June 2010 at CERN/SPS/H4
  - m<sup>2</sup> tests
    - Test m<sup>2</sup> functionnality
    - Measure efficiency, multiplicity and uniformity
      → Use HR1 or DIRAC ministack stack as a telescope
  - DIRAC tests
    - Measure efficiency, multiplicity and uniformity
    - Test power pulsing in magnetic field
    - Spark study
- Beam test mid November 2010 at CERN/PS
  - m<sup>2</sup> tests in/behind W structure



#### 2010 plans: Simulation activities

- Study impact of supporting structure on the HCAL performance
- Projective and non-projective geometries are considered



#### 2010 plans: Simulation activities



# Conclusion

- Project delayed
  - HARDROC 1 & 2 not applicable to MICROMEGAS
  - DIRAC 2 not spark proof
- Main benchmarks:
  - Commissioning of m<sup>2</sup> technological prototype
  - Optimized readout chip
  - Upgraded spark protections
- Intensive R&D activities
  - LAPP group is involved in several fields (DIF task force, Mechanical engineering, detector R&D, simulations)
  - Detector R&D highly supported by in2p3