

#### Operational Results from STF-VTS and Cryomodule Tests at KEK

#### Eiji Kako (KEK, Japan)

E. KAKO (KEK) 2010' Sept. 10 BAW-1 @ KEK Global Design Effort

1

### Vertical test results of four STF-1 cavities

**STF-1 ;** MHI-01 cavity MHI-02 cavity MHI-03 cavity MHI-04 cavity





High power tests of STF-1 cryomodule in Sept.~ Dec. 2008.

E. KAKO (KEK) 2010' Sept. 10

## High power test results in STF-1 cryomodule



# Comparison of Eacc, max between VT and CT



Heavy x-ray radiation due to field emission was observed with increase of Eacc.
We need more careful work in a clean room and in the tunnel to avoid dusts contamination during the assembly.

10<sup>11</sup> 1000 Vertical Test Qo cw mode 100 **Cryomodule Test 10**<sup>10</sup> (pulsed mode) 10 x-ray [mSv/h] 1 **10**<sup>9</sup> 0.1 0.01 MHI-02 Cavity 10<sup>8</sup> 0.001 5 25 30 35 10 15 20 0 Eacc [MV/m]

Qo drop at high gradients due to field emission was observed in the cryomodule tests.

E. KAKO (KEK) 2010' Sept. 10

#### Vertical test results of eight S1-G cavities



E. KAKO (KEK) 2010' Sept. 10

#### **Comparison of Eacc, max between VT and CT** İİĹ



**Global Design Effort** 

6

# $i Calibration of Q_t, Q_{HOM1}, Q_{HOM2} in S1-G$

	Cavity	Q <sub>t(CT)</sub>	<b>Q</b> <sub>t</sub> (VT)	Difference	Q HOM1	Q HOM2			
1.	C1/AES-004(	6.01 x10 <sup>11</sup>	5.9 x10 <sup>1</sup>	1 + 2%	6.08 x10 <sup>11</sup>	2.25 x10 <sup>13</sup>			
2.	C2/ACC-011	2.48 x10 <sup>12</sup>	<b>2.8 x10<sup>1</sup></b>	<sup>2</sup> -13% 9	$9.45 \times 10^{12}$	4.36 x10 <sup>12</sup>			
3.	C3/Z-108	2.43 x10 <sup>11</sup>	<b>1.9 x10<sup>1</sup></b>	<sup>1</sup> +22% 9	9.23 x10 <sup>11</sup>	2.06 x10 <sup>13</sup>			
4.	C4/Z-109	3.53 x10 <sup>11</sup>	4.0 x10 <sup>1</sup>	<sup>1</sup> -13% 4	4.93 x10 <sup>12</sup>	7.22 x10 <sup>15</sup>			
5.	A1/MHI-05	2.39 x10 <sup>11</sup>	2.2 x10 <sup>1</sup>	<sup>1</sup> + 8%	1.90 x10 <sup>13</sup>	<b>3.99 x10</b> <sup>13</sup>			
6.	A2/MHI-06	<b>2.83 x10</b> <sup>11</sup>	3.4 x10 <sup>1</sup>	<sup>11</sup> -20%	<b>1.53 x10</b> <sup>13</sup>	6.42 x10 <sup>13</sup>			
7.	A3/MHI-07	2.31 x10 <sup>11</sup>	2.6 x10 <sup>1</sup>	<sup>11</sup> -13%	9.27 x10 <sup>12</sup>	6.09 x10 <sup>12</sup>			
8.	A4/MHI-09	2.50 x10 <sup>11</sup>	<b>1.8 x10</b> <sup>2</sup>	<sup>11</sup> +28%	9.96 x10 <sup>12</sup>	8.04 x10 <sup>13</sup>			
	difference of Q $_{t}$ = -20 / +28 %Q_{HOM1} , Q_{HOM2}accuracy of Eacc = -/+ ~10 %> 1 x10^{12} , OK								
	The cause of the difference should be investigated. Beam calibration will be useful.								
	E. KAKO (KEK) 2010' Sept. 10	E	BAW-1 @ obal Design	KEK Effort		7			

## Calibration of Q<sub>t</sub> at DESY

Module PXFEL2 cavities probe Q<sub>t</sub> measured at vertical test and CMTB.

by D. Kostin (DESY)

				-
Ν	cavity	Q <sub>t</sub> @VT	Q <sub>t</sub> @CMTB	
1	Z141	2.07x10 <sup>11</sup>	1.8x10 <sup>12</sup>	??
2	AC150	2.42x10 <sup>11</sup>	3.2x10 <sup>11</sup>	+32%
3	Z133	1.62x10 <sup>11</sup>	1.2x10 <sup>11</sup>	-26%
4	Z139	1.17x10 <sup>11</sup>	1.8x10 <sup>11</sup>	+53%
5	AC122	3.08x10 <sup>11</sup>	2.6x10 <sup>11</sup>	-16%
6	AC121	3.64x10 <sup>11</sup>	5.4x10 <sup>11</sup>	+48%
7	AC128	2.65x10 <sup>11</sup>	3.0x10 <sup>11</sup>	+13%
8	AC115	3.84x10 <sup>11</sup>	3.3x10 <sup>11</sup>	-14%

E. KAKO (KEK) 2010' Sept. 10

ΪĹ

## SUMMRY

- There was no severe degradation of the Eacc,max from V.T to C.T in four STF-1 cavities at KEK.
- Qo drop with heavy x-ray due to field emission was observed in #2 cavity at high gradients.
- More careful clean work in a clean room and in the tunnel is needed to avoid dusts contamination during the assembly.
- Results of eight cavities in S1-Global will be obtained

in the end of September.

E. KAKO (KEK) 2010' Sept. 10

İİL