

# Pattern Recognition with FD & MIP- Cosmic analysis at GRPC SDHCAL 2012 data


Manqi RUAN, Yacine HADDAD

Laboratoire Leprince-Ringuet (LLR)  
Ecole Polytechnique  
91128, Palaiseau

- To Understand the behaviour of different TB events:  
Noise, Cosmic, Sailing through MIP, EM/Hadronic showers
  - What's their behaviour at TB, Why?
  - How to describe & distinguish them?
  - Are they stable?
  - For EM & Had, what's their dependence on beam energy & other variable?
  - ...

- Introduction: Pattern Recognition with Fractal Dimension
  - Interesting Noises
- Run Summary & Evt Selection
  - Noise, Cosmic & Beam MIP
    - Stability
    - Multiplicity & efficiency Measurement
  - EM & Hadronic: Energy Dependence
- Digitizer & MC-data comparison:
  - To be presented in my next talk
- Discussion

SDHCAL TB: totally 48 active layers, each layer consist of  $96 \times 96 = 9216$  cells with 3 thresholds




FD Measured from total # hits:



- Varying scale by grouping neighbouring cells
- Count Number of hits at different scale ( define  $RN_x = N_{1cm}/N_{xcm}$  )

$$FD = \langle \log(RN_x) / \log(x) \rangle; x = 2 - 11 \text{ cm}$$


# 10GeV Pion Run: Run714671\_714673



# Hot ASICs



# 30GeV Pion(mixed) Run: Run714394



15/09/2012


CALICE @ Cambridge

Noise dominated Run...: Not even cosmic

# Sieve Noise


DRUID, RunNum = 714394, EventNum = 1914

DRUID, RunNum = 714394, EventNum = 8685




DRUID, RunNum = 714394, EventNum = 8685

DRUID, RunNum = 714394, EventNum = 15581




# Patterns of events with Nhits < 150


FD:NHitTotal




FD:NHitTotal {NHitsTotal - 30\*FD < 21}



FD:NHitTotal {NHitsTotal - 30\*FD > 21 && NHitsTotal - 180\*FD < 26}



FD:NHitTotal {NHitsTotal - 180\*FD > 26 && NHitsTotal < 110}



# Tagged Noise (Run 714394)

DRUID, RunNum = 714394, EventNum = 0


DRUID, RunNum = 714394, EventNum = 1

DRUID, RunNum = 714394, EventNum = 6







DRUID, RunNum = 714394, EventNum = 2

DRUID, RunNum = 714394, EventNum = 4

unNum = 714394, EventNum = 4




# Nhits Profile of "Noise"



# Tagged Cosmic (714394, Purity $\sim 70\%$ )


DRUID, RunNum = 714394, EventNum = 13




DRUID, RunNum = 714394, EventNum = 418




DRUID, RunNum = 714394, EventNum = 418




DRUID, RunNum = 714394, EventNum = 1662



DRUID, RunNum = 714394, EventNum = 3562







DRUID, RunNum = 714394, EventNum = 6897



Including a bit noisy event (as sieve noise), and normally inject less energetic muon


# Nhits profile of Cosmic



# Tagged Beam MIP (714394)


DRUID, RunNum = 714394, EventNum = 7005

DRUID, RunNum = 714394, EventNum = 12560




# Hits Profile for Beam MIP Evt


NHitTotal {NHitTotal < 110 && NHitTotal - 180\*FD > 26}




NHitT1 { NHitTotal - 180\*FD > 26 && NHitTotal < 110 }



NHitT2 { NHitTotal - 180\*FD > 26 && NHitTotal < 110 }



NHitT3 {NHitTotal < 110 && NHitTotal - 180\*FD > 26 }



# Pion Runs




- 10 GeV: 714671, 4673
- 15 GeV: 714439, 4441
- 20 GeV: 714565, 4573
- 30 GeV: 714394
- 40 GeV: 714559, 4561
- 50 GeV: 714596, 4697
- 60 GeV: 714551, 4552, 4553
- 70 GeV: 714541, 4546, 4547
- 80 GeV: 714527, 4531
- 90 GeV: 714525
- 100 GeV: 714486, 4488, 4489
- 110 GeV: 714521
- 120 GeV: 714495, 4496\*, 4502
- 150 GeV: 714415, 4416
- 300 GeV: 714695

HV = 6.9kV (only for 4496 is 6.8kV)  
Thresholds: 170, 500, 345


Event Selection: Based on Fractal Dimension  
and Total Number of Hits

# Stability of MIP & Cosmic



Clear Sailing through MIP at almost every energy (except, 150GeV Runs))  
 According to low nhits noise (nhits < 40): data divided into Noisy Group (10, 30, 120GeV) and **Clean Group (all the others)**  
 Typical Noise created by Hot ASIC

# Clean Runs: sailing through or cosmic MIP




Cuts:

Sailing through MIP (Beam MIPs):  $N_{hitTotal} - 120 * FD > 40 \ \&\& \ N_{hitTotal} < 140 \ \&\& \ FD < 0.5$



Cosmic Ray:  $N_{hitTotal} - 120 * FD < 40 \ \&\& \ N_{hitTotal} < 140 \ \&\& \ FD < 0.5$

Same cut to be applied on All Clean Runs: 15, 20, 40, 50, 60, 70, 80, 90, 100, 120, 150\*, 300 GeV Runs (Small Statistic in 150 GeV Runs).

# Beam MIP Analysis





# Sailing through MIPs: 60GeV Run





Ntotal, N1, N2:  
Scaled & Shifted  
Poisson

N3: exponential

N1 anti correlated  
with N2 with  
correlation  
coefficient  $\sim 2.5$





Number of hit profiles for beam MIPs in pion runs





# Beam MIPs on Clean Runs

- Stable
  - Exceptional: 50 GeV (714696, 4697) and 300 GeV (714695) Runs, taken at the end of experiments. Recorded HV & thresholds are the same as others.
- N1, N2 and Ntotal follows a shifted & scaled Poisson distribution, N3 ~ exponential
- Hot Asics

# Beam MIP: Multiplicity & Efficiency Measurement





Beam MIPs of 20GeV Pion Run: 714565, 714573



# Global Efficiency & Multiplicity from long Beam MIP in Run 714565, 714573


Long Beam MIP of 20 GeV  $\pi$  run, 714565, 4573






Global Multiplicity =  $N_{hit}/N_{FiredLayer}$

Global Efficiency =  $N_{firedLayer}/48$  (Since first and last layer are requested to be fired) 24

# Number of Hits per layer



# Efficiency & Multiplicity Per Layer Measured from long Beam MIP in Run 714565, 714573




Negligible statistic error (Nevt = 106109).  
error bar scaled for 10 times.


$$\begin{aligned} \text{Eff} &= 1 - \text{Nevt}(0\text{-hits})/\text{Nevt}(\text{total}) \\ \text{EffErr} &= \sqrt{\text{eff} \cdot (1 - \text{eff}) / \text{Nevt}} \\ \text{Mul} &= \langle \text{Nhits} (\text{Nevt} (>0 \text{ hits})) \rangle \\ \text{MulErr} &= \text{RMS}(\text{Mul}) / \sqrt{\text{Nevt}} \end{aligned}$$

# Efficiency & Multiplicity: Stability

Efficiency Per Layer



Multiplicity Per Layer



Stable with sensible fluctuation (error bar scaled by 10 times)


15 GeV Pion (714439, 4441): 43797 long beam mip evts

20 GeV Pion (714565, 4573): 103109 evts

60 GeV Pion (714551, 4552, 4553): 98960 evts

# Effi & Multi Map: Uniformity


- Straight line fit on indexes without cleaning:  $I = K_x \cdot K + B_x$ ,  $J = K_y \cdot K + B_y$




- 98960 long Beam MIPs at 60 GeV Pion Run (714551, 4552, 4553)
- Larger residual in Y direction: prototype deformation (self weight) + wrong DIP mapping in last layer
- To be updated with cleaning & more statistics

# Effi & Multi Map: Method

H1 Residual of hit position: Layer 2




H2 Expected hit position




$$\text{Eff}(x, y) = \text{H3}/\text{H2}$$

$$\text{Mul}(x, y) = \text{H4}/\text{H3}$$

H3 Expected hit position Weighted by binary flag of if layer is hit




H4 Expected hit position Weighted by Nhits




# Effi & Multi Map: Layer 2

Efficiency Map




Multiplicity Map




A Typical layer. Homogeneous  
Sensible pattern at DIF boundary...

# Effi & Multi Map: Layer 47



Expected hit position Weighted by Efficiency



Expected hit position Weighted by Multiplicity




Dead DIF in layer 47





# Effi & Multi Map: Layer 44


Expected hit position Weighted by Efficiency










Expected hit position Weighted by Multiplicity



# Cosmic Analysis





# Cosmic: 60GeV Run





Ntotal, N1, N2:  
Scaled & Shifted  
Poisson

N3: exponential

N1 correlated with  
N2




Nhit profiles for Cosmic rays in pion runs: Stable...




# Straight line fit of cosmic events


Sections



Slope







Difference between Expected and Mean



- Cosmic components in 60GeV Pion run: 714551, 4552, 4553
- Beam muons component: probably soft muons
- Slope distribution: detector acceptance
- Similar pattern observed in different runs

# Cosmic events: Angular distribution

Cosmic in Run 714439, 4441




**Yacine:**


Similar result occurs in  
Cosmic run (715571) taken before CERN TB

# Cosmic Analysis with cleaning & clustering


Hit efficiency vs Z






Multiplicity by Layer



multiplicity<sub>0</sub><sup>3</sup>




number of hit/cluster over thr 1




# Angular/Position dependence of effi & Mul





mutliplicity vs incident angle



efficiency by incident angle efficiency



Nhit by incident angle




Efficiency: at Angle < 0.3 rad: Coherent noise?

Multiplicity: coloured line: average number of hits (at different threshold) per cluster



Multiplicity(x, y): important input for Digitizer

# A quick look at EM/Had showers

# Selection of Pion components:



# NHit of Pion Run



Saturation...

Correlated fluctuation and constant term in N2, N3

Nhits of 50GeV Run significantly boosted : correlated with nhits increase for beam MIP components?










# Electron Runs












- 10 GeV: 714481, 4692
- 15 GeV: 714474
- 20 GeV: 714576
- 30 GeV: 714614
- 40 GeV: 714593
- 50 GeV: 714613
- 60 GeV: 714594
- 70 GeV: 714693, 4694
- 80 GeV: 714611

HV = 6.9kV  
Thresholds: 170, 500, 345









# Nhit Vs FD





# Cut based electron selection



# Cut based pion selection



# NHit of Electron Run



Noise ? Rate acceptance?  
To be Xchecked with September data







# Summary



- Noise:
  - Sieve & Hot ASIC
  - High noise rate in a few runs
- Beam MIP: stable & Homogeneous response
  - Nhits distribution: N1, N2 ~Poisson, N3 ~ exponential
  - $\mu$  & Efficiency, Scan & Position Map: Homogeneous, a few anomalous layers
- Cosmic component: stable
  - Similar Nhits distribution as Beam MIP
  - Angle reconstruction and Dependence of  $\mu$ ,  $\varepsilon$  on Angle
  - Inner Pad  $\mu$  Map: Important Input for Digitizer
- EM/Had Response:
  - Started: unexpected Patterns observed. Especially N2 & N3 for EM showers
- To do: ...


# Test on Digitizer: MC-data comparison with locally tuned parameter

Total Number of Hits, 40GeV Run




# Effi & Multi Map: Layer 42


Expected hit position Weighted by Efficiency




Expected hit position Weighted by Multiplicity



Threshold setting problem in up DIF in layer 42



# Ratio of $\pi$ components




Next Test Beam: defocusing beam spot, get more em/had statistic





Remark: significant cosmic component (~50%).

Beam composition percentage should be boosted by  $\sim 2$ .

# Ratio of e(red) & $\pi$ (blue) component





# NHit of Pion Run







# Cosmic Ray Evt

20GeV pion Run 714565, 714573, Cosmic & Beam MIP component



Cosmic Ray Events:

# 10 & 15 GeV Runs



10 GeV Comparison: similar distribution for marked electron & pion run.


15/09/2012

Actually electron Run CALICE @ Cambridge


15 GeV Run: different distribution for large  $N_{hit}$  components.

55


# Total NHit Profile of Cosmic Rays taken during Pion Runs




# N1 Profile for Cosmic Rays in Pion Runs




# N2 Profile for Cosmic Rays in Pion Runs




# N3 Profile of Cosmic Rays taken during Pion Runs




# Total Number of Hits for Sailing through MIP in Pion Runs




Number of 1st threshold Hits for Sailing through MIP in Pion Runs



Number of 2nd threshold Hits for Sailing through MIP in Pion Runs




# Number of 3rd threshold Hits for Beam MIP in Pion Runs



# Cosmic events: Angular distribution

Cosmic in Run 714439, 4441



**Yacine:** Cosmic run (715571) taken before CERN TB

