Factorization Approach to Top Mass Reconstruction in the Continuum: What mass is measured.

André H. Hoang

Max-Planck-Institute for Physics Munich

Based on work with:

Sean Fleming, Sonny Mantry and Iain Stewart (hep-ph/0703207)

... more work in progress



Outline

- Why do we want a precision m_t ? What kind of precision.
- Previous ILC studies & experimental issues.
- Factorization theorem for t and \bar{t} invariant mass distribution in electron-positron annihilation ($Q\gg m_t\gg\Gamma_t$)

$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{d^2\sigma}{dM_t^2 dM_{\bar{t}}^2} \end{pmatrix}_{\text{hemi}} = \sigma_0 H_Q(Q,\mu_m) H_m\left(m,\frac{Q}{m},\mu_m,\mu\right) \\ \times \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\ell^+ d\ell^- B_+\left(\hat{s}_t - \frac{Q\ell^+}{m},\Gamma,\mu\right) B_-\left(\hat{s}_{\bar{t}} - \frac{Q\ell^-}{m},\Gamma,\mu\right) S_{\text{hemi}}(\ell^+,\ell^-,\mu)$$

- Factorization discussion at LO in $m/Q, \, \Gamma_t/m$
- Top mass determination to better than $\Lambda_{\rm QCD}$ (at least in principle)
- Phenomenology
- How general is our result
- Summary

This talk concentrates on concepts and applications !

See talk in the Loopverein for details on SCET & HQET and radiative corrections



Top Quark is Special !





Methods at Tevatron

Template Method

Principle: perform kinematic fit and reconstruct top mass event by event. E.g. in lepton+jets channel:



Usually pick solution with

Dynamics Metho

Principle: compute ever a function of m, making objects in the events (ir Maximize sensitivity by: Not easy to answer the





LCWS 2007, Desy , May 30- June 3, 2007

Lepton+jets (\geq 1 b-tag); Signal-only templates

100

1-tag(T)

All Events

150 200 250 300 350

All Events

 $RMS = 37 \text{ GeV/o}^2$

Corr. Comb (20%)

RMS = 12 GeV/c²

m^{reco}(GeV/c²)

0-tag

RMS = 32 GeV/o

Corr. Comb (28%)

RMS = 13 GeV/c

2-tag

All Events

150 200 250 300 350

mreco (GeV/c²)

RMS = 27 GeV/o²

Corr. Comb (47%)

 $RMS = 13 \text{ GeV/c}^2$

Need for a precise Top mass



Small error in m_t is only meaningful if the mass definition is exactly known.

Electroweak precision observables





André H. Hoang - 5

Need for a precise Top mass



Small error in m_t is only meaningful if the mass definition is exactly known.

Mass of Lightest MSSM Higgs Boson





Top Mass at the ILC

ILC

Threshold Scan



- color singlet state
- background is non-resonant
- physics well understood (renormalons, summations)

Invariant Mass Reconstruction

- useful as a cross check $\simeq 2 M_{1{
 m S}} = 2 m_t^{
 m pole} 0.22 \, \alpha_s^2 m_t^{
 m pole}$
- measures different top mass
- uncertainties (much) more involved
- addresses some issues relevant for LHC/Tevatron



$$ightarrow \delta m_t^{
m exp} \simeq 50 \; {
m MeV}$$

 $ightarrow \delta m_t^{
m th} \simeq 100 \; {
m MeV}$

What mass? $\sqrt{s}_{
m rise} \sim 2m_t^{
m thr} +
m pert.series$ (short distance mass: $1S \leftrightarrow \overline{MS}$)

"threshold masses"







M (GeV



Reconstruction Simulations





Reconstruction Simulations

Reconstruction for all-hadronic events at the LC

Chekanov; Morgunov hep-ex/0301014





André H. Hoang - 9

Conceptual Goals

- relate top jet observables with a given Lagrangian mass (define suitable short-distance mass with good convergence properties → What mass is measured?)
- proof of factorization of dynamics at different length scales (
 — What has to be computed by theorists ?)
- combined treatement of top production & decay
- separate perturbative from non-perturbative effects
- hopefully better understand & reduce theoretical & experimental uncertainties





Basic Idea





Basic Idea





Scheme of EFT's



$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{d^2\sigma}{dM_t^2 dM_{\bar{t}}^2} \end{pmatrix}_{\text{hemi}} = \sigma_0 H_Q(Q, \mu_m) H_m\left(m, \frac{Q}{m}, \mu_m, \mu\right) \\ \times \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\ell^+ d\ell^- \left(B_+\left(\hat{s}_t - \frac{Q\ell^+}{m}, \Gamma, \mu\right) B_-\left(\hat{s}_{\bar{t}} - \frac{Q\ell^-}{m}, \Gamma, \mu\right) S_{\text{hemi}}(\ell^+, \ell^-, \mu) \right)$$



André H. Hoang - 13

Scheme of EFT's



Program technically analogous to combination of threshold resummation ($M_t - m_t
ightarrow 0$) &

method of unstable particle EFT

Korshemsky, Sterman, etal.

Fadin, Khoze Beenacker etal., Beneke, etal. Reisser, AH



Factorization Theorem

$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{d^2\sigma}{dM_t^2 dM_{\bar{t}}^2} \end{pmatrix}_{\text{hemi}} = \sigma_0 H_Q(Q, \mu_m) H_m\left(m, \frac{Q}{m}, \mu_m, \mu\right) \\ \times \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\ell^+ d\ell^- B_+\left(\hat{s}_t - \frac{Q\ell^+}{m}, \Gamma, \mu\right) B_-\left(\hat{s}_{\bar{t}} - \frac{Q\ell^-}{m}, \Gamma, \mu\right) S_{\text{hemi}}(\ell^+, \ell^-, \mu)$$

Jet functions:
$$B_+(2v_+\cdot k) = \frac{-1}{8\pi N_c m} \int d^4x \, e^{ik\cdot x} \operatorname{Disc} \left\langle 0 | \operatorname{T}\{\bar{h}_{v_+}(0)W_n(0)W_n^{\dagger}(x)h_{v_+}(x)\} | 0 \right\rangle$$

- perturbative, any mass scheme
- depends on m_t, Γ_t
- Breit-Wigner at tree level

$$B_{\pm}(\hat{s},\Gamma_t) = \frac{1}{\pi m_t} \frac{\Gamma_t}{\hat{s}^2 + \Gamma_t^2} \qquad \hat{s} = \frac{M^2 - m_t^2}{m_t}$$

a) b) c) d) e)
$$\delta m^2$$

 $\delta m^2 - \delta m^2$

Soft function:
$$S_{\text{hemi}}(\ell^+, \ell^-, \mu) = \frac{1}{N_c} \sum_{X_s} \delta(\ell^+ - k_s^{+a}) \delta(\ell^- - k_s^{-b}) \langle 0 | \overline{Y}_{\bar{n}} Y_n(0) | X_s \rangle \langle X_s | Y_n^{\dagger} \overline{Y}_{\bar{n}}^{\dagger}(0) | 0 \rangle$$

- non-perturbative
- renormalized due to UV divergences
- also governs massless dijet thrust
 and jet mass event distributions

Korshemsky, Sterman, etal. Bauer, Manohar, Wise, Lee Short distance top mass can (in principle) be determined to better than Λ_{QCD} .



Short-distance Top Jet Mass



- One-loop: shift in the pole scheme 300 MeV
- shift in the pole scheme contains $\mathcal{O}(\Lambda_{\rm QCD})$ renormalon
- jet mass scheme: defined such that peak located at the mass to all orders

Top Jet mass is the scheme where we expect that a LO analysis contains the least theoretical uncertainties. What mass is measured?

Answer: the one that gives the best convergence in the theoretical expansion.



$$\begin{split} \left(\frac{d^2\sigma}{dM_t^2 dM_{\bar{t}}^2}\right)_{\text{hemi}} &= \sigma_0 H_Q(Q,\mu_m) H_m\left(m,\frac{Q}{m},\mu_m,\mu\right) \\ & \times \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\ell^+ d\ell^- B_+\left(\hat{s}_t - \frac{Q\ell^+}{m},\Gamma,\mu\right) B_-\left(\hat{s}_{\bar{t}} - \frac{Q\ell^-}{m},\Gamma,\mu\right) S_{\text{hemi}}(\ell^+,\ell^-,\mu) \end{split}$$

Jet functions:

$$B_{\pm}(\hat{s}, \Gamma_t) = \frac{1}{\pi m_t} \frac{\Gamma_t}{\hat{s}^2 + \Gamma_t^2}$$

Soft function:

$$S_{\text{hemi}}^{\text{M1}}(\ell^+,\ell^-) = \theta(\ell^+)\theta(\ell^-)\frac{\mathcal{N}(a,b)}{\Lambda^2} \left(\frac{\ell^+\ell^-}{\Lambda^2}\right)^{a-1} \exp\left(\frac{-(\ell^+)^2 - (\ell^-)^2 - 2b\ell^+\ell^-}{\Lambda^2}\right)$$



Fit to heavy jet mass distribution

Korchemsky, Tafat hep-ph/0007005



André H. Hoang - 17

Double differential invariant mass distribution:



Non-perturbative effects shift the peak to higher energies and broaden the distribution.



Single differential distribution:



Non-perturbative effects shift the peak to higher energies and broaden the distribution.



Different invariant mass prescriptions/soft functions:





Fairly precise determination of jet mass from determination of Qdependence of the peak position and extrapolation Q to zero



André H. Hoang - 20

How general is the approach?

Why Q>>m is crucial

- top and antitop boosted in opposite directions,
 - \rightarrow top and antitop jet axes \vec{n} and \vec{n} can be defined
- \implies allows factorization $(jet_n \times jet_{\bar{n}}) \otimes soft$
- combinatorial background, wrong assignment suppressed by $\left(\frac{m}{Q}\right)^2$
- ILC: ok for $Q \sim 0.5 1 ~{
 m TeV}$
- LHC: probably ok for tops with $p_T > 200 \text{ GeV}$ Tev: ?



Generality of the approach

We don't have to assume a hemisphere mass definition:

- any jet algorithm that combines soft particles with the hard jets from the top decay
- wide cone definition R > m/Q that contains the top/antitop jet axes and top decay products (collinear radiation off top)

➡ Different soft function, same factorization formula

[The soft functions for most cases at unknown at this time and migh need to be fitted together with the top mass OR determined from MC's OR by other means.]

$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{d^2\sigma}{dM_t^2 dM_{\bar{t}}^2} \end{pmatrix}_{\text{hemi}} = \sigma_0 H_Q(Q,\mu_m) H_m\left(m,\frac{Q}{m},\mu_m,\mu\right) \\ \times \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\ell^+ d\ell^- B_+\left(\hat{s}_t - \frac{Q\ell^+}{m},\Gamma,\mu\right) B_-\left(\hat{s}_{\bar{t}} - \frac{Q\ell^-}{m},\Gamma,\mu\right) S_{\text{hemi}}(\ell^+,\ell^-,\mu)$$



Theory Issues for $pp \rightarrow t\bar{t} + X$

- \star definition of jet observables
 - է initial state radiation
- ★ final state radiation
- underlying events
- color reconnection & soft gluon
 - interactions



- beam remnant
- parton distributions
- \bigstar summing large logs $\ Q \gg m_t \gg \Gamma_t$
- ★ relation to Lagrangian short

distance mass







Requires extensions of ILC concepts and other known concepts

Summary & Outlook

- established factorization theorem for invariant mass distributions: separation of perturbative and non-perturbative effects
- applicable for many other systems and setups: (any colored unstable particle, W mass reconstruction, etc..)
- exact and systematic relation of peak to a Lagrangian mass: What mass is measured ? "Jet-mass"
- resummation of large logarithms $Q \gg m_t \gg \Gamma_t$
- soft gluon color reconnection power suppressed
- Here: $e^+e^- \rightarrow t\bar{t} + X_{\text{soft}}$
- Planned: $pp \rightarrow t\bar{t} + X_{\text{soft}}$ $pp \rightarrow t\bar{t} + \text{jet} + X_{\text{soft}}$

... $Q \approx 2m_t$

different mass definitions (cone, k_T)



Backup Slides



Event Shapes





André H. Hoang - 26