





Compton Backscattering for Beam Energy Measurement: Introduction

Nickolai Muchnoi, Heinz Juergen Schreiber, Michele Viti

- Compton scattering & low energy experience
- ILC energy range & magnetic spectrometer basic concept
- adding laser to the setup
- Compton cross-section
- achievable statistical accuracy
- energy variation between bunches
- conclusion

Introduction

• The goal of this study was to suggest an independent complementary approach to measure the average bunch energy with accuracy better then 10^{-4} .

• The goal of this presentation is to introduce the main concepts of laser Compton backscattering application for precise ILC beam energy calibration.

Energy spectra of scattered photons/electrons



 \triangleright Both ω_{max} or E_{edge} could be used to measure the beam energy ε

June 2, ILC/LCWS 2007 DESY. (3 / 13)

Low energy experience

- BESSY-I (1997), BESSY-II (2002), VEPP-4M (2005)
- scattered photons are mesured by HPGe detector



 $\varepsilon \simeq 1 \div 2 \text{ GeV}; \ \omega_0 = 0.117 \text{ eV}; \ \omega_{max} \lesssim 10 \text{ MeV}; \ \Delta \varepsilon / \varepsilon \simeq 2 \div 5 \cdot 10^{-5}$

June 2, ILC/LCWS 2007 DESY. (4 / 13)

- tens-hundreds GeV scattered photons or electrons
- energy of each bunch should be measured in a non-destructive way

That's why we can't use the low energy approach at the ILC, and a new scheme should be suggested:





June 2, ILC/LCWS 2007 DESY. (6 / 13)



June 2, ILC/LCWS 2007 DESY. (7 / 13)



June 2, ILC/LCWS 2007 DESY. (8 / 13)

What do we have from laser backscattering?

- X₀ is the center of gravity in the space distribution of backscattered high-energy photons, it potentially could be measured by dedicated detector
- X_{beam} is the beam position in the detection plane that could be measured by precise BPM
- X_{edge} is the Compton edge position in the scattered electrons distribution over X

One can measure the beam energy using X_0 , X_{beam} and X_{edge} from three different space-sensitive detectors:

$$E_{beam} = \frac{m^2}{4\omega_0} \left(\frac{X_{edge} - X_{beam}}{X_{beam} - X_0 - \delta_{sr}} \right)$$

June 2, ILC/LCWS 2007 DESY. (9 / 13)



- dN/dX is defined by Compton cross section and luminosity, while $\sigma_{X_{edge}}$ is a convolution of the beam size at the detection plane with an influence from beam energy spread.
- Simple analytical predictions as well as Geant4 simulations, show that the accuracy $\Delta E/E \lesssim 10^{-4}$ is achievable with 10^6 scattered electrons.
- Systematic error source appears from B-field non-uniformity in the spectrometer magnet and L variations.

Compton cross section example



June 2, ILC/LCWS 2007 DESY. (11 / 13)

Bunch-to-bunch energy variations





As far as A could not change as rapidly as the bunch energy, the required statistics in SE distribution could be collected from several different bunches.

June 2, ILC/LCWS 2007 DESY. (12 / 13)

Conclusions

- Compton backscattering in combination with magnetic spectrometer may provide a complementary approach to measure the beam energy: the absolute scale values of the spectrometer B-field and arc length do not impact on the measurement procedure.
- The statistical accuracy of the approach allows to hope that the systematic error sources will not cancel the idea
- The approach is flexible enough to work in the wide beam energy range, even at low-energy machines
- Why this setup couldn't be used for polarimetry?
- Further studies are required to explore the influence of systematic error sources

Ultra relativistic electron in magnetic field

$$\theta(l, E) = \theta_0 + \frac{K_1}{E} \int_0^l B(s) ds + K_2 \int_0^l B^3(s) s ds$$

$$\psi$$
Lorentz force SR losses

Both K_1 and K_2 are just the combinations of fundamental constants c, m, \hbar, α .

If the B-field integral is equal for electrons with different energies:

- Lorentz force bending is inverse proportional to the electron energy
- bending due to SR losses does not depend on the electron energy

In other words:

$$\theta(E) = \theta_0 + \frac{A}{E} + \delta_{sr}$$

June 2, ILC/LCWS 2007 DESY. (14 / 13)

What do we have from laser backscattering?

$$\begin{cases} X_{beam} = X_0 + A/E_{beam} + \delta_{sr} \\ X_{edge} = X_0 + A/E_{edge} + \delta_{sr} \end{cases}$$
(1)

$$\begin{aligned}
C & E_{edge} = \frac{\varepsilon}{1 + \frac{4\varepsilon\omega_0}{m^2}} \\
X_{edge} &= X_0 + A/E_{beam} + A\frac{4\omega_0}{m^2} + \delta_{sr}
\end{aligned}$$
(2)

One can extract the A constant from X_{beam} and X_{edge} difference, making the approach independent from the accuracy of B-field measurement in an absolute scale:

$$\begin{cases} A = \frac{m^2}{4\omega_0} \left(X_{edge} - X_{beam} \right) \\ A \sim \left(L + l/2 \right) \cdot \int_0^l B(s) ds \end{cases}$$
(3)

June 2, ILC/LCWS 2007 DESY. (15 / 13)