

ILD interface to R&D groups

- Vertex

- * Mark Winter
- * Yasuhiro Sugimoto

- SI-tracking

- * Aurore Savoy-Navarro
- * Hwangae Park

- TPC

- * Ron Settles
- * Keisuke Fujii

- ECAL

- * Jean Claude Brient
- * Kiyomoto Kawagoe

- HCAL

- * Imad Laktineh
- * Felix Sefkow

- FCAL

- * Wolfgang Lohmann

- Muon

- * Vishnu Zutshi

- DAQ/ Software

- * Guenter Eckerlin
- * Frank Gaede
- * Akiya Miyamoto

Questions

- Is the list of contacts up-to-date
- Is the communication working as well as it should?
 - Contacts are selected by R&D groups together with ILD EB: it is important that they are well integrated into both groups.
 - It is important that the contacts maintain the connection also to the optimization group, not just to the subdetector groups

“Evaluation” procedure

Goal: define the ILD baseline for the DBD in 2012 with technologies which are considered “ready”

Per subdetector more than one technology might be baseline
In addition there might be “alternatives” which are less well developed and which are not part of the baseline.

We will need an agreed mechanism to pronounce a technology “ready”

R&D collaborations need to agree to the conditions
How do we review this? Internally? With external reviewers?

---- one of the important points we ought to discuss on Saturday ---