## **Report from Nextef**

IWLC10, Genève, Oct. 20 T. Higo (KEK)

### Contents

- Nextef
- TD18 results and related issues
- Near future experimental plans
- Nextef expansion status
- Other associated activities

#### Nextef has been keeping the same configuration since 2007





#### Nextef 2 klystron setup

#### KT1 1 klystron setup

2010/10/20

## Studies at Nextef & KT1



#### Structure test for CLIC study T18\_Disk\_#2 TD18\_Disk\_#2







#### T18\_Disk\_#2 Undamped disk-based



#### Strategy

Disk-based CLIC electric design KEK mecha design +fab SLAC assembly Test at SLAC and KEK

#### TD18\_Disk\_#2 Damped disk-based







#### Vacuum level and low power feature



Vacuum level was not so good, ~1x10^-6Pa at worst position. Now preparing an action to improve from the next structure.

#### Pressure increase at low power level

## Even after running at top power, the vacuum gets worse when it decreases power.



TD18\_Disk\_#2 pressure vs power  $\begin{bmatrix} u_{0} \\ 0 \\ 14 \\ 12 \\ 10 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 2 \\ 4 \\ ACC-IN (MW) \end{bmatrix}$ 

Even a few hour stop of RF pulses makes the vacuum level worse in the next startup process, because the recovery usually passes through this region.

## Comparison of dark current

T18\_Disk

TD18 Disk

TD18\_Quad



## Eacc for peak dark current of 10 μA90MV/m70MV/m40MV/m

## Evolution of dark current till early April<sup>®</sup> in TD18\_Disk



#### TD18 Dark Current Energy Spectrum

252ns RF, 50pps; 56MW==100MV/m



### **BDR** evaluations

- Summarize all the taken data
- Longer pulse characteristics
- Double pulse with equal height

- To taste with TD18\_#2 in this week
  - Switching among different pulse heights
  - Following pulses without stopping at breakdown

### BDR of TD18\_#2 at 252 ns

TD18\_Disk\_#2 BDR~ 1.3 x 10<sup>-5</sup> /pulse/m] during Run 51&52 (60MW, 252ns) as of the total RF-ON period of 2255 hours



Still decreasing in a logarithmic time scale BDR ~ t^-0.38

## TD18\_#2 all BDR vs Eacc



It seems difficult to get a smooth curve as function of Eacc by collecting all data points scattered in time and in operation parameter space.

Usually we get a smooth curve by measuring intentionally with focusing to take data of BDR vs Eacc!?

### Relevant data points of BDR vs Eacc

101017



#### Steep rise as Eacc, 10 times per 10 MV/m, less steep than T18

#### TD18\_#2 Evolution of breakdown rate



#### Keep decreasing, but slow or already saturated?

#### TD18\_#2 BDR versus width at 100MV/m around 2800hr and at 90MV/m around 3500hr



#### Similar dependence at 90 and 100 if take usual single pulse?

#### Run 51+52: 252nsec pulse BD start timing



Roughly judging that fc-mid, Tr-delay = ramping as time → triggrt source building up as time?

2010/10/20

Report from Nexter

1000

1000

## Run 82: 512ns BD start timing



These data does not show such high sensitivity as the previous page.

Distribution is not so heavily rising vs time comparing to the dependence of BDR versus pulse width such as width<sup>5~6</sup>.

## Where in the structure the breakdown happens?







51+52 BD position







More statistics for us to be confident on distribution. Simply add all cases?

## Double pulse operation



## Run 89: Double pulse at 90 MV/m



# BD trigger timings are equally distributed in the former and latter halves



#### Run 90: Close double pulse at 90 MV/m



# BD trigger timings are equally distributed in the former and latter halves













#### Run 90: 200+50+200 90MV/m double pulse



**#BD vs time** 

**BDR vs time** 

BD pulse shape confirmed by eye BDR[\*10<sup>-5</sup> BD/pulse/m] BDR\_Former pulse = 31BD/93hrs  $\rightarrow$  1.0 BDR\_latter pulse = 26BD/93hrs  $\rightarrow$  0.84 BDR\_total = 57BD  $\rightarrow$  1.84

Almost the same BDR for each pulse, former and latter

#### Structure fabrication related problem?

•We see from SEM view of TD18\_#3 got by CERN that there may be a gap between cells, especially at the most high magnetic field and periphery.

•It may simply be due to chamfer.

•I have still some concern on the flatness, important for robust diffusion bonding.

•Flatness of T18 and TD18 was not good, in many cells it was more than a micron level. We accepted the cells with such big deviation from flat if it becomes better than 0.5 micron when sandwiched by flat surfaces. But this may not be good enough.

•Happy to see the bonding quality check by cutting at CERN.

## Diffusion bonding area



## Flatness of cells for TD18 #2

#### TD18 #2 15b



TD18 #2 09b 2





Even ~3 micron flatness was accepted as long as the opposite side was reversely deformed. Mostly potato chip type but one or two cases the conical shape was accepted.

#### Nextef near future expansion



#### Fabrication of parts for PC and KT1-B



#### More than 80% fabricated.

A hole was made through a wall between KT1 and shield-B.

Installation of KT1-B in early next year.

Pulse compression setup will follow KT1-B setup.

#### Nextef neat future plan revised as of IWLC10



Higashi / Dolgashev activities Fundamental study with SLAC is kept going such as Mo/Cu or SUS/Cu and Moly



We are thinking to start the similar experimental study but more carefully at moderate gradient level as 80-100MV/m.

# Our programs as a conclusion from Nextef

- Nextef will run fully dedicated for the feasibility study of CLIC high gradient evaluation
- Nextef will boost peak power and high power stability by introducing pulse compression system
- We try to establish a test area in addition to Nextef for key studies