LCFIVertex Status and Updates in Flavor Tagging Studies for Linear Colliders

Tomohiko Tanabe, Taikan Suehara, Satoru Yamashita ICEPP, The University of Tokyo (on behalf of the LCFIVertex group)

October 19, 2010

IWLC 2010, Geneva

outline

- introduction
 - flavor tagging @ LC
 - LCFIVertex status
 - vertex finding algorithms
 - detector simulation and data samples
- discrimination variables
 - track/vertex variables
 - event categories (discrete variables)
- summary

physics motivation



ideal flavor tagging

- reconstruct the entire decay chain (b -> c -> s) in a jet
 - P
 b
 c
 s
 - but vertices cannot be made from a single track
 - use track measurements (impact parameter)
 - presence of neutral particles
 - missing correction by using \boldsymbol{p}_{T}
 - lepton ID: energetic/isolated leptons is a sign of heavy quark decays
- variable combination
 - likelihood, neural net
 - event categorization (discrete variables)

LCFIVertex

LCFIVertex is a collection of algorithms for flavor tagging and parton charge identification.



flavor tag optimization strategy

- from basic checks to algorithm tuning
 - ✓ vertex hits
 - track reconstruction
 - track residual & track errors
 - track quality & track selection
 - vertex reconstruction
 - → combination of input variables
 - optimization for multi-jet environment

Presented at ILD workshop, July 2010	
Today's talk	
See talk by T. Suehara	

ILD Detector



vertex finding algorithms



- can find vertices for arbitrary topology with any number of tracks (must be separated from the primary vertex)
- it takes lots of CPU time due to having to evaluate the vertex function at many points in space
- <u>tear-down</u>
 - starting from a set of tracks, remove tracks which are inconsistent (large chi-squared contribution)
 - if the primary tracks are properly removed, vertices can be found with high efficiency
- <u>build-up</u>
 - using track pairs as seed, attach other tracks
 - good seeds lead to good vertices

combination of variables

- LCFIVertex currently uses:
 - # of reconstructed vertices as categories
 - #vtx = 0, #vtx = 1, #vtx >=2
 - neural nets to combine variables derived from tracks & vertices
 - 6 variables for each category above
- we revisit both:
 - track/vertex variables
 - the event categorization (discrete variables)
- in this talk, we present some of the variables/categories we are considering using

input variables for tracks



1 1.2 1.4 1.6





LCFIVertex uses:

- impact parameter
- impact parameter significance
- track momentum
- joint probability

0.2 0.4 0.6

%

joint probability



- joint probability = product of track probability to come from the primary
- parameterized using impact parameter significance

- we now remove the track with the highest impact parameter significance
- should be more stable (safeguard against spurious fake tracks)

input variables for events with vertices









LCFIVertex uses:

- decay length
- decay length significance
- vertex momentum
- vertex mass
- track multiplicity
- vertex probability
- joint probability

T. Tanabe

vertex mass (#vtx = 1 case)



vertex mass (#vtx >= 2 case)



secondary vertex separation (#vtx >= 2)



leptons

• the presence of leptons (energetic, isolated) is a sign of semileptonic decays of heavy quarks



good lepton tagging algorithm is urgently needed

leptons

• for events *without* reconstructed vertices:



more ideas: lepton track impact parameters with respect to the secondary vertices (significant deviation is a sign of an additional flavor-changing decay)

summary

- performance of flavor tagging is critical to the ILC physics program particularly for processes involving many heavy flavor jets
- the procedure for flavor tagging is being revisited
 many new variables & categories are being considered
- time scale ~ reconstruction software freeze for DBD studies
- flavor tagging optimization in *multi-jet environments* see talk by T. Suehara on Thursday

ILC-CLIC collaboration

- our ideas are being tested using the ILD detector @ ILC
- we would be happy to look at other detector configurations e.g. CLIC detectors
- if you're interested, please provide us with MC samples
 - special note for the CLIC configuration:
 - the longer b-flight and its resulting material interaction should be properly addressed in the samples
 - please document the changes in geometry (e.g. VXD, B-field, beam spot size)
 - samples:
 - Z->qq @ E_{cm} = 91.2 GeV for comparison
 - Z->qq (a) $E_{cm} = 1$ TeV and 3 TeV without ISR

back up

flavor tagging performance

