MDI and Integration Update

Karsten Büßer DESY

ILD Meeting 21. October 2010

Agenda

Main integration and MDI topics under discussion:

- Inner detector integration
- Realistic detector services and cabling for input in simulations
- Adaption of ILD assembly/integration to possible ILD mountain sites
- Common detector motion system for ILD and SiD



- Components to be supported :
 - Vertex : 300g supported on FTD3
 - FTD : 500g / disks
 - SIT : estimated at 5Kg supported on FTD3
 - Beam pipe : ab. 15Kg with wires
 - Cables : ab. 15Kg supported with FTD disks (ab. 1Kg/disks)
- Material : Carbone fiber / epoxy composite :
 - Young modulus : 50GPa
 - Density : 1750Kg/m3
 - First assumed to be isotropic
 - Realistic with the pure traction/compression loading (flexure of the tube)





• Semi tube with reinforcement rings







• Stress

ullet





- Rough estimation seems fine :
 - Max displacement of about 0,1mm
 - First resonant frequency at 90Hz
 - Thickness of tube could be 1mm of CFRP (P≈20Kg)
 - 0,33% X0
 - \rightarrow Could be implemented in the simulation
- But effort is needed to produce a more detailed design, needed for DBD 2012 :
 - Reduce X0?
 - Improve simulation with real composite properties
 - Who could perform this because I'm not expert on CFRP structure?
 - How to split the tube?
 - Connection pieces (more material)
 - Connection to TPC (more material)
 - Design of BP wire supporting system
 - Add holes for assembly (use of temporary support)

Services section vs way-out

Missing : TPC cooling Liquid supply line = 5 mm ID; 7 OD Vapor return = 8 mm ID; 10 OD



							_
	Cables			Ecal cooling			
					Water		
					Barrel		
			Ecal	Ecal	Water		
Way in	Hcal	ТРС	Barrel	Endcaps	Endcaps	Endcaps	Total cm ²
1	100	0					100
2	0	10	30	7		0	47
3	100	0					100
4	0	10	30	7		0	47
5	100	0					100
6	0	10	30	7	42	14	103
7	100	0					100
8	0	10	30	7	28	14	89
9	100	0					100
10	0	10	30	7	14	14	75
11	100	0					100
12	0	10	30	7	28	14	89
13	100	0					100
14	0	10	30	7		0	47
15	100	0					100
16	0	10	30	7		0	47

Worse case : path (6), 103 cm²



Gap : Barrel-endcaps

Ecal-Hcal





In the 16 ways in front of Hcal

•Support SS 1.5 cm thick •Polyethylene

•Cu

	Z-	Z+	Average
Cu (mm)	0,82	0,74	0,78
Cu X0	57,01%	51,41%	54,21%
PE	2,75	2,56	2,65
PE XO	5,85%	5,44%	5,65%

In MOKKA !



17





IN MOKKA!



Then....

- •Higher level of dissymetry in the gap Barrel-endcap in the simulation ?
- •DHcal model
- •Inner part ???

An example of Asian mountain site



- ILC site could be quite different from "plain field" assumptions
- No vertical access shafts (~100m) but horizontal access tunnels (~1km)
- CMS-type assembly of detector needs to be reviewed

A possible design of exp-hall





Space for assembly

- We need enough space to assemble the iron yoke and the solenoid in parallel
- Solenoid assembly procedure and installation method have to be studied
- Exp-hall should be equipped with two 200-ton cranes: usually one for each detector, and occasionally two cranes are used together to carry heavy (>200 ton) components



Needs to be studied carefully

Detector assembly

- Assembly hall locates at the entrance of access tunnel where wide flat surface and wide roads exist
- Detector would be assembled to relatively small pieces (<100~200 ton) at the assembly hall, carried to the cavern through the access tunnel, and integrated to the large detector inside the cavern (Similar to "modified CMS style assembly" which was proposed by GLD group in 2006)
- Barrel iron structure would be divided in φ (and R) direction, rather than Z direction
- Solenoid coil would be wound on surface for 5 modules, and these modules are connected into one solenoid in the cavern
- Detailed study on the assembly method is necessary 6

New modified CMS style



Timing issues need to be studied in more detail

MDI and Integration

Conclusions-I



- The push-pull operation to quickly exchange two detectors on IP is an important feature of any LC.
- To be efficient this operation has to be carried out in less than three or four days including precise realignment on beam.
- This is a very challenging and difficult task as this system cannot fail even if local conditions worsen with time.
- The risk of finishing after some years with a nonfunctioning system must be avoided at all cost.

ETH Institute for Particle Physics

Conclusions-II



- SiD and ILD have chosen two different solutions that today look incompatible.
- The only solution that seems to satisfy all needs is to have one platform for each detector.
- Clearly the choice of a platform must not jeopardize the QD0 stability in SiD, that support them from the endcaps.
- The CMS plug is a good example of a platform and its vibration performance could be used to benchmark the simulation programs developed at SLAC.

Alain Hervé, CLIC08 Workshop, 16 October 2008

ETH Institute for Particle Physics



ILD prefered solution



SiD prefered solution



Final precision: +-1 mm and +- 0.1 mrad





- Vibration limit: 50nm at beam line
- What would a platform change?

Vibration Issues (M. Oriunno)



• ILD situation

Vibration Issues (M. Oriunno)



- SiD situation
- · SiD claims that this should be better w.r.t vibration stability

MDI and Integration

Vibration Issues (A. Hervé)







ilc

Vibration Issues (A. Hervé)



Vibration Issues (M. Oriunno)

New Vibrations Measurements done at CERN last week, Analysis of the data in progress (CERN-EN Department)

- Absolute PSD spectra on various locations on the top of the platform, P1...P7, with the reference points PREF1, PREF2
- Relative PSD spectra, P12, P17 (Coherence) (can be calculated from the previous measurements)
- Transfer functions on various locations on the top of the platform P1-2-3-4-5 with respect to the reference points
- Transfer Functions P1...P7 with reference to the around vibration



- Measurements on CMS platform done
- Benchmarking the simulation codes

Introduction



- Are those calculations consistent with measurements?





The platform is assembled with square pipes reinforced by ribs.

15

Natural frequencies

*The weight of end-caps and barrel yoke are taken into account.

16

Vibrations(Amplitude)

Comparison of measurements and data is reasonably well

Detector Motion System Decision

A decision needs to be taken soon

- Any concept has some work to do if the decision would reject its currently preferred system:
 - ILD would have to be re-designed largely if there is no platform
 - SiD would need to adapt to a platform
- Current DBD timescale requires a decision before the mid of 2011
- We will try to come to a decision at the next ILC workshop in Oregon (03/2011)
- BTW: Who decides?
- My opinion: decision by CLIC to go for a platform based push-pull system has broken the symmetry between ILD and SiD
- Nevertheless: we want to have a fact- and not opinion-driven decision
- We still need to show that we can stabilise the QD0 magnets to the required precision on a platform
 - Vibration analysis and measurements are key ingredients