R. Pittau (U. of Granada) Geneva, October 20th, 2010

### Why 1(2)-loop calculations? (I skip this!)

R. Pittau (U. of Granada) Geneva, October 20th, 2010 Alternative 1-loop Calculations

- Why 1(2)-loop calculations? (I skip this!)
- 2 New techniques (OPP)

- Why 1(2)-loop calculations? (I skip this!)
- 2 New techniques (OPP)
- **3** OPP vs Generalized Unitarity

- Why 1(2)-loop calculations? (I skip this!)
- 2 New techniques (OPP)
- OPP vs Generalized Unitarity
- Results (I skip this!)

- Why 1(2)-loop calculations? (I skip this!)
- 2 New techniques (OPP)
- OPP vs Generalized Unitarity
- Results (I skip this!)
- Open problems and outlooks

A typical 2 
$$\rightarrow m$$
 process at 1-loop  

$$\sigma^{NLO} = \int_m d\sigma^B + \int_m \left( d\sigma^V + \int_1 d\sigma^A \right) + \int_{m+1} \left( d\sigma^R - d\sigma^A \right)$$

- 2  $d\sigma^V$  is the Virtual correction (loop diagrams)
- 3  $d\sigma^R$  is the Real correction
- dσ<sup>A</sup> and ∫<sub>1</sub> dσ<sup>A</sup> are unintegrated and integrated counterterms (allowing to compute the Real emission of massless particles in 4 dimensions)

# The Virtual corrections $d\sigma^V$

The decomposition of any 1-loop amplitude

$$\begin{array}{lll} A & = & \displaystyle{\sum_{i_0 < i_1 < i_2 < i_3}^{m-1} d(i_0 i_1 i_2 i_3) \int d^n \bar{q} \frac{1}{\bar{D}_{i_0} \bar{D}_{i_1} \bar{D}_{i_2} \bar{D}_{i_3}}} \\ & & + \displaystyle{\sum_{i_0 < i_1 < i_2}^{m-1} c(i_0 i_1 i_2) \int d^n \bar{q} \frac{1}{\bar{D}_{i_0} \bar{D}_{i_1} \bar{D}_{i_2}}} \\ & & + \displaystyle{\sum_{i_0 < i_1}^{m-1} b(i_0 i_1) \int d^n \bar{q} \frac{1}{\bar{D}_{i_0} \bar{D}_{i_1}}} \\ & & + \displaystyle{\sum_{i_0}^{m-1} a(i_0) \int d^n \bar{q} \frac{1}{\bar{D}_{i_0}} + R} \end{array}$$

The problem is getting the set S =

$$= \begin{cases} \frac{d(i_0i_1i_2i_3)}{b(i_0i_1)}, & \frac{c(i_0i_1i_2)}{a(i_0)}, \\ \frac{d(i_0i_1)}{b(i_0i_1)}, & \frac{c(i_0i_1i_2)}{a(i_0)}, \\ \frac{d(i_0i_1i_2i_3)}{a(i_0)}, & \frac{c(i_0i_1i_2)}{a(i_0)}, \\ \frac{d(i_0i_1i_2)}{a(i_0)}, & \frac{c(i_0i_1i_2)}{a(i_0)}, \\ \frac{d(i_0i_1i_2)}{a($$

### The OPP Method (Ossola, Papadopoulos, Pittau, 2007)

Working at the *integrand* level

$$A = \int d^n \bar{q} \left[ \mathcal{A}(q) + \tilde{A}(q, \tilde{q}, \epsilon) \right]$$

$$\left(\begin{array}{c} \bar{q} = q + \tilde{q} \\ n = 4 + \epsilon \end{array}\right)$$

• For example, in the case of  $2 \to 6$ 



The function to be sampled *numerically* to extract the coefficients

$$N_{i}^{(6)}(q) = \sum_{i_{0} < i_{1} < i_{2} < i_{3}}^{5} \left[ d(i_{0}i_{1}i_{2}i_{3}) + \tilde{d}(q;i_{0}i_{1}i_{2}i_{3}) \right] D_{i_{4}}D_{i_{5}}$$

$$+ \sum_{i_{0} < i_{1} < i_{2}}^{5} \left[ c(i_{0}i_{1}i_{2}) + \tilde{c}(q;i_{0}i_{1}i_{2}) \right] D_{i_{3}}D_{i_{4}}D_{i_{5}}$$

$$+ \sum_{i_{0} < i_{1}}^{5} \left[ b(i_{0}i_{1}) + \tilde{b}(q;i_{0}i_{1}) \right] D_{i_{2}}D_{i_{3}}D_{i_{4}}D_{i_{5}}$$

$$+ \sum_{i_{0}}^{5} \left[ a(i_{0}) + \tilde{a}(q;i_{0}) \right] D_{i_{1}}D_{i_{2}}D_{i_{3}}D_{i_{4}}D_{i_{5}}$$

$$+ \tilde{P}(q)D_{i_{0}}D_{i_{1}}D_{i_{2}}D_{i_{3}}D_{i_{4}}D_{i_{5}}$$

### Solving the OPP Equation 1

• The functional form of the *spurious* terms should be known Ossola, Papadopoulos, R. P., Nucl.Phys.B763:147-169,2007 del Aguila, R. P., JHEP 0407:017,2004

Example  $(p_0 = 0)$   $\tilde{d}(q; 0123) = \tilde{d}(0123) \epsilon(qp_1p_2p_3)$  $\int d^n \bar{q} \frac{\tilde{d}(q; 0123)}{\bar{D}_0 \bar{D}_1 \bar{D}_2 \bar{D}_3} = \tilde{d}(0123) \int d^n \bar{q} \frac{\epsilon(qp_1p_2p_3)}{\bar{D}_0 \bar{D}_1 \bar{D}_2 \bar{D}_3} = 0$ 

• The coefficients  $\{d_i, c_i, b_i, a_i\}$  and  $\{\tilde{d}_i, \tilde{c}_i, \tilde{b}_i, \tilde{a}_i\}$  are extracted by solving linear systems of equations

### Solving the OPP Equation 2

The use of special values of q helps (Unitarity)

$$D_0(q^{\pm}) = D_1(q^{\pm}) = D_2(q^{\pm}) = D_3(q^{\pm}) = 0$$

$$N^{(m-1)}(q^{\pm}) = \left[ d(0123) + \tilde{d}(q^{\pm}; 0123) \right] \prod_{i \neq 0, 1, 2, 3}^{m-1} D_i(q^{\pm})$$

$$d(0123) = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \frac{N^{(m-1)}(q^+)}{\prod_{i \neq 0, 1, 2, 3}^{m-1} D_i(q^+)} + \frac{N^{(m-1)}(q^-)}{\prod_{i \neq 0, 1, 2, 3}^{m-1} D_i(q^-)} \right]$$

# What about $R (= R_1 + R_2)$ ?

### The OPP Solution:

The origin of $R_1$
$\frac{1}{\bar{D}_i} = \frac{1}{D_i} \left( 1 - \frac{\tilde{q}^2}{\bar{D}_i} \right) \implies \text{predicted within OPP} \\ if the denominator structure is known$
The origin of $R_2$

\* QCD: Draggiotis, Garzelli, Papadopoulos, R. P., JHEP 0904:072,2009 EW: Garzelli, Malamos, R. P., JHEP 1001:040,2010 EW in the  $R_{\xi}$  and Unitary gauges: Garzelli, Malamos, R. P.,arXiv:1009.4302 [hep-ph]

## Recursion Relations at 1-loop (cutting 1 arbitrary leg)

• OPP + 1 hard-cut allow to use *the same tree-level Recursion Relations* for *m* + 2 tree-like structures



• The color can be treated *as at the tree level* 



### $\Rightarrow$ Tree level codes can be *transformed* into 1-loop ones $\Rightarrow$

### An Example in QCD: The Helac-NLO System

- CutTools  $\{d_i, c_i, b_i, a_i\}$  and  $R_1$
- HELAC-1LOOP N(q) and  $R_2$
- OneLOop scalar 1-loop integrals
- HELAC-DIPOLES

Real correction and CS dipoles



(figure by G. Bevilacqua)

- Ossola, Papadopoulos, R. P., JHEP 0803 (2008) 042
- van Hameren, Papadopoulos, R. P., JHEP 0909 (2009) 106
- van Hameren, e-Print: arXiv:1007.4716 [hep-ph]
- Czakon, Papadopoulos, Worek, JHEP 0908 (2009) 085

#### \* The HELAC-NLO group

#### \*

G. Bevilacqua M. Czakon A. van Hameren J. Malamos R. P.

C.G. Papadopoulos M. Worek

M. Garzelli C.G. Papadopoulos

### Contributors

#### Caffarella Draggiotis Kanaki Ossola

# Unitarity: Cutting (Gluing)

 Double cuts ⇔ gluing 2 tree-level, gauge invariant, amplitudes (Bern, Dixon, Dunbar, Kosower 1994)

![](_page_16_Figure_3.jpeg)

- ② Different double cuts are applied to disentangle 1-loop scalar functions by looking at the analytic structure of the result
- **3** R is reconstructed by looking at collinear and infrared limits

# Generalized Unitarity: more Cutting (more Gluing)

 Quadruple cuts ⇔ gluing 4 tree-level, gauge invariant, amplitudes (Britto, Cachazo, Feng, hep-th/0412103)

![](_page_17_Figure_3.jpeg)

- **2** q integration frozen  $\Rightarrow$  coefficient  $d_i$  of the box extracted
- 3 bubbles are connected together, the box contributions subtracted and the coefficients c<sub>i</sub> of the triangles extracted
- 4 . . .

# Generalized Unitarity (Relevant References)

- Bern, Dixon, Dunbar, Kosower, hep-ph/9403226 and hep-ph/9409265;
- Forde,0704.1835 [hep-ph];
- Ellis, Giele, Kunszt, 0708.2398 [hep-ph];
- Ellis, Giele, Kunszt, Melnikov, 0806.3467 [hep-ph];
- Berger et al. (BlackHat), 0803.4180 [hep-ph].

### Between OPP and GU:

• Mastrolia, Ossola, Reiter, Tramontano (Samurai) arXiv:1006.0710 [hep-ph].

### Virtues and drawbacks of OPP and GU

### **0 GU**:

- Deals with Gauge invariant Objects;
- No general solution for Wave Function Renormalization Corrections (this is not a problem in QCD!);
- At present, computing R is time consuming;

### **OPP**:

- It is s more Feynman Diagram oriented;
- No gauge invariant building blocks;
- Wave Function Renormalization can be put by hand;
- An algorithmic and fast, although not completely general, calculation of R is possible.

### Notice that:

At present <u>NO</u> complete 1-loop calculation in the full EW Standard Model has been carried out.

### It will be needed for ILC Physics.

### Two main open problems:

### Rational terms:

**No** universal and gauge invariant **nor** completely 4-dimensional procedure exists so far!

- In **GU**: On-shell Recursion Relations and numerical calculation of residues of spurious poles or computation of amplitudes in 5 and 6 dimensions.
- In **OPP**: Computation of extra Tree level like Feynman rules for the theory at hand  $(R_2)$ , need to know the denominator structure  $(R_1)$ .  $R_1$  and  $R_2$  are not separately gauge-invariant.
- External Self-energy corrections:

**No** gauge invariant procedure exists to compute them numerically!

I shall illustrate the situation with the help of the process  $e^+e^- \to \mu^+\mu^-$  in QED.

# 1-loop diagrams giving 3 or 4 point functions in $e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$

![](_page_21_Figure_2.jpeg)

### The coefficient of a scalar box

![](_page_22_Figure_2.jpeg)

It is obtained by multiplying 4 gauge invariant on shell amplitudes

# The coefficient of a scalar triangle

![](_page_23_Figure_2.jpeg)

- To one of the 3 on shell amplitudes contribute now different denominator structures (diagrams).
- When using the OPP way to compute *R* one should disentangle them, breaking, in general, gauge invariance.
- One can keep gauge invariance, at the price of using 5 and 6-dimensional tree level amplitudes. *No tree level generator can be adapted any more to compute 1-loop processes.*

### The coefficient of a scalar bubble

![](_page_24_Figure_2.jpeg)

- The coefficient of the 2-point function contributing to diagram A can still be computed by multiplying together two on shell amplitudes.
- The coefficient of the 2-point function contributing to diagram *B* cannot be computed that way, because the on-shell conditions make the internal propagator become singular.
- On the other hand, this diagram should be included to preserve gauge invariance of the tree level amplitudes.
   Problem still unsolved. In OPP it would be put by hand.

# Conclusions

- New techniques and ideas allowed an impressive progress in the field of 1-loop calculations:
  - I (briefly) discussed and compared the **OPP** method and the **GU** techniques;
  - They have been successfully applied in QCD to compute at NLO  $pp \rightarrow ttbb$ ,  $pp \rightarrow ttjj$ ,  $pp \rightarrow W + 3 jets$ ,  $pp \rightarrow W + 4 jets$ ,  $\cdots$ ;
  - Computing *R* in both **OPP** and **GU** still unsatisfactory;
  - Wave function renormalization problem unsolved in **GU**. In **OPP** put by hand (but gauge dependent!).
- No complete EW calculation is available so far with these new techniques.
- **③** They will be needed for ILC Physics.
- The final goal should be delivering public 1-loop codes.