

SB2009/ Low energy running for ILC

International Workshop on Linear Colliders 2010

Andrei Seryi John Adams Institute

19 October 2010

Outline

- SB 2009 parameter development (end 2009 early 2010)
 - Optimization of cost / performance
 - Central region integration
 - Lower current with tighter & travelling focussing
 - Same Luminosity at nominal energy
 - Challenge at lower E due to reduced collision rate
- LCWS10 discussion of the parameter set and tentative evaluation of double rep rate at low E
 - A solution to restore Luminosity at low E was found
- Mid 2010 development of the new parameters and finalization of a tentative set
- BAW-2 January 2011

SLIDES FROM LCWS2010 Beam Parameters

	RDR			SB2009 w/o TF			SB2009 w TF				
CM Energy (GeV)	250	350	500	250.a	250.b	350	500	250.a	250.b	350	500
Ne- (*10 ¹⁰)	2.05	2.05	2.05	2	2	2	2.05	2	2	2	2.05
Ne+ (*10 ¹⁰)	2.05	2.05	2.05	1	2	2	2.05	1	2	2	2.05
nb	2625	2625	2625	1312	1312	1312	1312	1312	1312	1312	1312
Tsep (nsecs)	370	370	370	740	740	740	740	740	740	740	740
F (Hz)	5	5	5	5	2.5	5	5	5	2.5	5	5
γex (*10 ⁻⁶)	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10
γey (*10 ⁻⁶)	4	4	4	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5
βx	22	22	20	21	21	15	11	21	21	15	11
βy	0.5	0.5	0.4	0.48	0.48	0.48	0.48	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2
σ z (mm)	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3
σx eff (*10 ⁻⁹ m)	948	802	639	927	927	662	474	927	927	662	474
σy eff (*10 ⁻⁹ m)	10	8.1	5.7	9.5	9.5	7.4	5.8	6.4	6.4	5.0	3.8
L (10 ³⁴ cm ⁻² s ⁻¹)	0.75	1.2	2.0	0.2	0.22	0.7	1.5	0.25	0.27	1.0	2.0

Rate at IP = 2.5Hz,

Rate in the linac = 5Hz (every other pulse is at 150GeV/beam, for e+ production)

Low luminosity at this energy reduces the physics reach

ΪĹ



A. Seryi, 19 Oct 2010, IWLC2010

Work on mitigations of L(E) with SB2009 during ILC2010

 Discussion of double rep rate was initiated ~month before the ILC2010

this allowed achieving significant progress at LCWS10

- Doubling the rep rate (below ~125GeV/beam)
 - BDS WG discussed implications with other Working Groups:
 - DR => OK (new conceptual DR design was presented)
 - Sources => OK
 - Linac, HLRF, Cryogenics => OK
- FD optimized for ~250GeV CM
 - Shorter FD reduce beam size in FD and increase collimation depth, reducing collimation related beam degradation
 - Will consider exchanging FD for low E operation or a more universal FD that can be retuned

SLIDES FROM LCWS2010

SLIDES FROM LCWS2010 Lumi(E) dependence in SB2009

- Factor determine shape of L(E) in SB2009
 - Lower rep (/2) rate below ~125GeV/beam
 - Collimation effects: increased beam degradation at lower E due to collimation wakes and due to limit (in X) on collimation depth
- Understanding the above limitations, one can suggest mitigation solutions:
 - 1) Consider doubling the rep rate at lower energy
 - 2) Consider Final Doublet optimized for 250GeV CM



8 damping times are needed for the vertical emittance

 $5 \text{ Hz} \Rightarrow \tau_x = 26 \text{ ms}$

10 Hz **⇒** τ_x = 13 ms

SLIDES FROM LCWS2010

A. Seryi, 19 Oct 2010, IWLC2010

DR Parameters for 10 Hz Operation

		S. Guiducci (LNF) et a					
RDR	TILC08	SB2009	High Rep				
6695	6476	3238	3238				
25.7	21	24	13				
0.51	0.48	0.53	0.57				
2	2	2	2				
8.7	10.3	4.4	8.4				
1.3×10^{-3}	1.3×10^{-3}	1.2×10^{-3}	1.5×10 ⁻³				
9	6	6	6				
24	21	7.5	13.4				
0.40	0.43	0.43	0.43				
3.5	4.4	1.9	3.6				
18	16	8	16				
1.67	1.6	1.6	2.4				
0.4	0.4	0.4	0.28				
2.45	2.45	2.45	1.72				
200	216	78	75				
80	88	32	44				
	RDR 6695 25.7 0.51 2 8.7 1.3×10 ⁻³ 9 24 0.40 3.5 18 1.67 0.4 2.45 200 80	RDRTILC08 6695 6476 25.7 21 0.51 0.48 2 2 8.7 10.3 1.3×10^{-3} 1.3×10^{-3} 9 6 24 21 0.40 0.43 3.5 4.4 18 16 1.67 1.6 0.4 0.4 2.45 2.45 200 216 80 88	RDRTILC08SB200966956476323825.721240.510.480.532228.710.34.41.3×10 ⁻³ 1.3×10 ⁻³ 1.2×10 ⁻³ 96624217.50.400.430.433.54.41.9181681.671.61.60.40.40.42.452.452.4520021678808832				

Energy = 5 GeV

DR (3.2km) at 10Hz is feasible

A. Seryi, 19 Oct 2010, IWLC2010

Global Design Effort

SLIDES FROM LCWS2010

Double rep rate: Sources

- Electron Source:
 - doubling rep rate is not critical [Axel Brachmann, Tsunehiko Omori et al]
- Positron Source:
 - For SB2009 250b case there should be no issues
 - For 250a, which is not a preferred solution, the most important consequence of the increased rep rate will be the increased average power on the positron target
 - Even for this case there is a hope that it can be managed, but need more detailed studies [Jim Clarke, Wei Gai, et al]

A. Seryi, 19 Oct 2010, IWLC2010

ÌÌĻ

Global Design Effort

SLIDES FROM LCWS2010



- At lower gradient, considering the cryo load (which should not be exceeded) and the efficiency of rf power sources (their efficiency decreases with power) concluded, that at 125 GeV/beam one can work at 10Hz rep rate in the linac
- At 150GeV/beam one can work at 8Hz in the linac
 - And this is possible only because the e+ source is at the end of the linac!

Chris Adolphsen, et al

=> SB2009 OK for linac rep rate 10 Hz for 125 GeV/beam & 8 Hz for 150 GeV/beam

Global Design Effort SLIDES FROM LCWS2010

FD for low E

FD optimized for lower energy will allow increasing the collimation depth by ~10% in Y and by ~30% in X (Very tentative!)

 One option would be to have a separate FD optimized for lower E, and then exchange it before going to nominal E

 Other option to be studied is to build a universal FD, that can be reconfigured for lower E configuration (may require splitting QD0 coil and placing sextupoles in the middle)





A. Seryi, 19 Oct 2010, IWLC2010

SLIDES FROM LCWS2010 Beam Parameters & mitigation

	RDR			SB2009 w/o TF				SB2009 w TF			
CM Energy (GeV)	250	350	500	250.a	250.b	350	500	250.a	250.b	350	500
Ne- (*10 ¹⁰)	2.05	2.05	2.05	2	2	2	2.05	2	2	2	2.05
Ne+ (*10 ¹⁰)	2.05	2.05	2.05	1	2	2	2.05	1	2	2	2.05
nb	2625	2625	2625	1312	1312	1312	1312	1312	1312	1312	1312
Tsep (nsecs)	370	370	370	740	740	740	740	740	740	740	740
F (Hz)	5	5	5	5	2.5	5	5	5	2.5	5	5
γ ex (*10 -6)	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10
γey (*10 ⁻⁶)	4	4	4	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5
βx	22	22	20	21	21	15	11	21	21	15	11
βy	0.5	0.5	0.4	0.48	0.48	0.48	0.48	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2
σz (mm)	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3
σx eff (*10 ⁻⁹ m)	948	802	639	927	927	662	474	927	927	662	474
σy eff (*10 ⁻⁹ m)	10	8.1	5.7	9.5	9.5	7.4	5.8	6.4	6.4	5.0	3.8
L (10 ³⁴ cm ⁻² s ⁻¹)	0.75	1.2	2.0	0.2	0.22	0.7	1.5	0.25	0.27	1.0	2.0

• Tentative! At 250 GeV CM the mitigations may give

- * 2 L due to double rep rate
- * about 1.4 L due to FD optimized for low E



A. Seryi, 19 Oct 2010, IWLC2010

Post LCWS10 developments

- Working group to evaluate various aspects of new parameter sets – led by PMs
- All (almost) questions resolved on the feasibility level
 - A new issue related to DR duty factor has been identified under investigation
- Detailed design studies should follow

New parameters based on the following assumptions

- Starting point: parameters developed by the Physics Questions Committee (B. Foster, A. Seryi, J. Clarke, M. Harrison, D. Schulte, T. Tauchi) in December 2009.
- Take into account progress on 10Hz rep rate for low E achieved after LCWS10
 - There are issues with DR duty cycle that are being studied, however assume that they will be solved
- Assume that we will develop and use new universal FD that gives additional luminosity improvement (only) for 200 and 250 GeV energies
- Consider the following energies: 200, 250, 350, 500 GeV CM
- Assume single stage bunch compressor (min sigma_z=230um will use 300um and consider 230 as an overhead or safety margin)
- Assume 10Hz and 1300 bunches
- Consider separately the cases with and without Travelling Focus
- Energy and rep rate:

•	E=	200	250	350	500	GeV CM
•	IP rep rate	5	5	5	5	Hz
•	Linac rate	10	10	5	5	Hz
		(double pu	Ilsing)			

:lr

İİL

BAW-2 Themes

								upgrade
Centre-of-mass energy	E_{cm}	GeV	200	230	250	350	500	1000
Luminosity	L	$\times 10^{34} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-2}$	0.5	0.5	0.7	0.8	1.5	2.8
Luminosity (Travelling Focus)	L _{TF}	$\times 10^{34} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-2}$	0.5		0.8	1.0	2.0	
Number of bunches	n_b		1312	1312	1312	1312	1312	2625
Collision rate	f_{rep}	Hz	5	5	5	5	5	4
Electron linac rate	f_{linac}	Hz	10	10	10	5	5	4
Positron bunch population	N_+	$\times 10^{10}$	2	2	2	2	2	2

Formally agreed parameter sets across energy range ILC-EDMS document ID 925325

http://ilc-edmsdirect.desy.de/ilc-edmsdirect/document.jsp?edmsid=*925325

ilc

Conclusion

- The RDR (2007) focused on nominal energy
- Parameter set that maintains the physics reach and optimizes the cost/performance has been developed
- Future studies
 - Design of the universal final doublet
 - Optimization of collimation depth
 - Study of FF tuning with needed beta*
 - Detailed beam-beam studies
 - Damping ring design