# Software compensation for the CALICE AHCAL

#### Marina Chadeeva ITEP, Moscow for the CALICE Collaboration





Marina Chadeeva, ITEP

## Data and pion event selection

 $\pi^{\scriptscriptstyle -}$  and  $\pi^{\scriptscriptstyle +}\,$  of 8 ÷ 80 GeV from test beam at CERN SPS in 2007



Marina Chadeeva, ITEP

## CALICE AHCAL Prototype

- Fine-granular iron-scintillator calorimeter
- 7608 tiles in ~1 cubic meter (depth  $\approx 4.5\lambda_{\tau}$ )
- Non-compensating ( $e/\pi > 1$ )

#### For software compensation study:

- pions that start showering in HCAL are selected (track in ECAL)
- for methods with hit spectrum analysis, events with shower start at the beginning of HCAL are selected
- electromagnetic calibration coefficients are used to calculate deposited energy



Marina Chadeeva, ITEP

### Software compensation approaches

Non-compensating calorimeter -> response depends on EM fraction in hadronic shower -> worse resolution for hadrons

#### High granularity allows two approaches: Local Global

**Basis:** higher energy density in EM component comparing to HAD **Implementation:** a weight applied to each cell depends on the energy density in the cell **Basis:** observables correlated with EM fraction value **Implementation:** a single weight for event energy is calculated from these observables

#### Both approaches successfully applied to the CALICE AHCAL:

- local method with individual cell weighting
- global method with hit spectrum shape analysis (in detail in this talk)
- global method with neural network

## Software compensation: Local Method



- HCAL hit energy spectrum is divided by groups of cells according their energy density.
- Weights are applied to cells according to their energy, lower weight for cells with higher energy content



Marina Chadeeva, ITEP

### Software compensation: Local Method

Weights are determined from data using minimization technique and applied to each individual cell.
Weight energy dependence is parametrized.

ΔE/E CALICE Preliminary 0.2 Energy resolution single weight energy dependent parametrization No prior knowledge of beam 0.15 energy necessary for application of the method 0.1 Improved linearity of response, within ~3% from 8 to 80 GeV 0.05 Fit:  $a \lambda E \oplus b \oplus c \text{ GeV/E}$ -a = 61.3±0.1% b = 2.54±0.10% c = 0.000±0.041 [GeV] - a = 49.2±0.4% b = 2.34±0.12% c = 0.504±0.042 [GeV] Resolution improved by ~18% 10 20 30 40 50 60 70 80 beam Energy [GeV]

#### Software compensation: Global Method

Hit energy spectrum shapes are different for high and low detected response -> spectrum shape is related to the amount of EM fraction



 $e^{lim}$  (e.g. 5.5 MIP - in the middle of the spectra crossing region) and  $C^{av}$  - probability to find a hit with less energy than average of the event spectrum

Marina Chadeeva, ITEP

## Deposited energy vs. observables





Marina Chadeeva, ITEP

## Relative resolution for pions

#### Before and after compensation



Comparison with MC (QGSP\_BERT). The coefficients extracted from data are applied to MC (em calibration and  $e/\pi$  ratio).



## Software compensation: Global Method with Neural Networks

Cluster finding in HCAL and TCMT to determine properties of the shower: total energy, volume, length, width, energy in TCMT, energy in last 5 HCAL layers

6 observables as input for NN NN trained with simulations

- No prior knowledge of beam energy needed for application
- For NN trained with FTF\_BIC resolution improved by ~25% (~15% at 10 GeV, ~20% at 15 GeV)



#### Summary

- High granularity of the CALICE AHCAL allows the application of different software compensation methods based on both hit spectrum and cluster structure analysis.
- One local and two global methods were successfully applied to improve pion energy resolution for the CALICE AHCAL in the energy range from 8 to 80 GeV. The observed relative improvements are comparable and vary from 10% to 25%.
- Further optimization of compensation methods and implementation of improved calibration constants for the HCAL are expected to make possible a considerable additional improvement of the energy resolution.



## Energy distributions for global compensation



Marina Chadeeva, ITEP

#### Global compensation: relative improvement



by 5% ÷ 20% for  $\pi^-$  (12 runs 10÷80 GeV) by 15% ÷ 20% for  $\pi^+$  (12 runs 30÷80 GeV)

Marina Chadeeva, ITEP

#### Global compensation: data and MC The same mip2gev coefficients from em calibration and $e/\pi$ ratio are applied to MC samples as to data.



Without compensation QGSP\_BERT is in better coincidence with data than FTFP\_BERT (FTF\_BIC behavior is similar to that of FTFP\_BERT). Both physics lists predict better resolution after compensation for higher energies. The correction procedure does not change the MC linearity behavior.

Marina Chadeeva, ITEP