



## Crystals for the Homogeneous Hadron Calorimeter Detector Concept

#### **Ren-yuan Zhu**

#### **California Institute of Technology**

October 20, 2010

International Workshop on Linear Colliders 2010, CERN



## Homogeneous Hadron Calorimeter

ilC

A Fermilab team (A. Para et al.) proposed a total absorption homogeneous HCAL detector concept to achieve good jet mass resolution by measuring both Cherenkov and Scintillation light. It also eliminates the dead materials between classical ECAL and HCAL. This longitudinal segmented crystal HCAL is possible because of the latest development in large area compact readout devices.

Requirements for the materials to be used for HHCAL:

- Short nuclear interaction length: ~ 20 cm.
- Good UV transmittance: UV cut-off < 350 nm.</p>
- Some scintillation light, not necessary bright and fast.
- > Cost-effective material:  $< \frac{2}{cc}$  for 100 m<sup>3</sup>!
- Radiation hardness is not crucial at the ILC/CLIC.

A series of workshops on material development for HHCAL: 1<sup>st</sup> 2/19/2008 at SIC, Shanghai, 2<sup>nd</sup> 5/9/2010 at IHEP, Beijing, 3<sup>rd</sup> 10/30/2010 at Knoxville, will go with SCINT, CALOR & IEEE NSS.



## **The HHCAL Detector Concept**





#### R.-Y. Zhu, ILCWS-08, Chicago: a HHCAL cell with pointing geometry

October 20, 2010

Talk given in the International Workshop on Linear Collider by Ren-yuan Zhu, Caltech

10x10 cm <sup>2</sup>



## **Interest of the Community**



The 2<sup>nd</sup> workshops on material development for HHCAL was held on May 9 at Beijing, just one day before Calor2010 BGRI, Caltech, CERN, Fermilab, IHEP, Kharkov, LBL, Ningbo, SIC

Advantages / disadvantages HHCAL concept

R. Wigmans, *Comments on HHCAL*, in the 2<sup>nd</sup> workshop, Beijing

Advantages:

- No sampling fluctuations
- Some calibration problems characteristic for sampling calorimeters don't play a role

Disadvantages:

The issue of neutrons may be resolved by doping, e.g. Gd, or a long integration time at LC.

- No sensitivity to neutrons, and thus to invisible energy fluctuations
- Light attenuation
- Readout

- COST

October 20, 2010



# **Cost for Crystal Growth**



#### A. Gektin: for mass produced Si crystals raw materials share 70% of the cost



#### Crystal cost structure (Si)

- 68% raw material
- 10% crucible
- 8% system cost
- 4% labor cost
- 4% power
- 6% other





#### Industrial Halide Growth: Kharkov

#### A. Gektin: Talk at the 2<sup>nd</sup> Workshop for HHCAL



October 20, 2010



#### Multi-Crucible Bridgman Growth: SICCAS



#### Guohao Ren of SIC: Talk at the 2<sup>nd</sup> Workshop for HHCAL







#### **Candidate Crystals for HHCAL**



Parameters	Bi <sub>4</sub> Ge <sub>3</sub> O <sub>12</sub> (BGO)	Bi <sub>4</sub> Si <sub>3</sub> O <sub>12</sub> (BSO)	PbF <sub>2</sub> (PbF)	PbWO <sub>4</sub> (PWO)	PbClF
ρ (g/cm³)	7.13	6.8?	7.77	8.29	7.11
λ <sub>ι</sub> (cm)	22.8	23.1	21.0	20.7	24.3
n @ λ <sub>max</sub>	2.15	2.06	1.82	2.2	2.15
τ <sub>decay</sub> (ns)	300	100	?	10-30 /10-200	30
λ <sub>max</sub> (nm)	480	470	?	420/512	420
Cut-off λ (nm)	300	295	260	350	280
Light Output (%)	100	20	?	2	17
Melting point (°C)	1050	1030	842	1123	608
Raw Material Cost (%)	100	47	29	49	29

October 20, 2010



#### **BSO Development at SICCAS**



Hu Yuan of SIC: Talk at the 2<sup>nd</sup> Workshop for HHCAL



October 20, 2010



## **BSO Crystal**

BGO







# **PbCIF Crystal**



#### Guohao Ren of SIC: Talk at the 2<sup>nd</sup> Workshop for HHCAL



D= 7.11g/cm<sup>3</sup> Melting point =608°C Space group=P/4nmm

a=4.10Å;c= 7.22Å



Figure 2.1 Phase relations in PbCl2-PbF2 system



PbClF Crystal samples grown with Bridgman method



## **Undoped PbCIF Crystal**



#### Guohao Ren of SIC: Talk at the 2<sup>nd</sup> Workshop for HHCAL





Figure 5. Pulse height spectra of PbFCl and BGO crystals (T = 300 K).

#### 1 mm thick samples



## Crystal for Homogeneous HCAL

Crystals of high density, good UV transmittance and some scintillation light, not necessary bright and fast, are required. The volume needed is 70 to 100 m<sup>3</sup>: cost-effective material. Following 2/19/08 workshop at SICCAS, 5 x 5 x 5 cm samples evaluated.



October 20, 2010



#### **Cherenkov Needs UV Transparency**



Cherenkov figure of merit

Using UG11 optical filter Cherenkov light can be effectively selected with negligible contamination from scintillation



#### **Scintillation Selected with Filters**



UG11/GG400 optical filter effectively selects Cherenkov/scintillation light





#### **Cosmic Setup with Dual Readout**







## **No Discrimination in Front Edge**

Consistent timing and rise time for all Cherenkov and scintillation light pulses observed.



October 20, 2010

-5

-2.5

-6

Pulse Height ( V )

PbF<sub>2</sub>

Talk given in the International Workshop on Linear Collider by Ren-yuan Zhu, Caltech



#### **Ratio of Cherenkov/Scintillation**



1.6% for BGO and 22% for PWO with UG11/GG400 filter and R2059 PMT, which is configuration dependent.





#### Scintillation was Observed in PbF<sub>2</sub>:Gd



Fast Scintillation of 6.5 p.e./MeV with decay time of less than 10 ns

#### D. Shen *at al., Jour. Inor. Mater* **Vol. 101** 11 (1995). C. Woody *et al., IEEE Trans. Nucl. Sci.* **43** (1996) 1303.



# Luminescence Observed in PbF<sub>2</sub>

Consistent Photo- and X-luminescence observed in doped PbF<sub>2</sub> samples grown by Prof. Dingzhong Shen of SIC/Scintibow.





#### **Rare Earth Doped PbF<sub>2</sub>**



#### Multi-ms decay time observed, which is too slow to be useful.





# Summary



- The HHCAL is an interesting detector concept providing a unprecedented combination of e/y and jet mass resolutions. The crucial issue is to develop high quality materials of low cost: < \$2/cc.</li>
- Among all crystals, PbF<sub>2</sub>, PbCIF and BSO seem the best candidates to meet the cost goal.
- While consistent photo and x- luminescence was found in Er, Eu, Gd, Ho, Pr, Sm and Tb doped PbF<sub>2</sub> samples, their decay time is at ms scale as expected from the f-f transition of the rare earth elements.

The scope of this R&D is now expanded to a broad range other of materials, including BSO, glasses and ceramics etc. See presentations at the 2<sup>nd</sup> HHCAL Workshop:

http://indico.ihep.ac.cn/sessionDisplay.py?sessionId=2&slotId=0&confId=1470#2010-05-09

October 20, 2010



## **3<sup>rd</sup> Workshop for the HHCAL**



October 30, 2010, at Knoxville just one day before NSS2010

- 1. A. Para, Prospects for High Resolution Hadron Calorimetry
- 2. G. Mavromanolakis, Studies on Dual Readout Calorimetry with Meta-Crystals
- 3. D. Groom, <u>Degradation of resolution in a homogeneous dual readout hadronic</u> <u>calorimeter</u>
- 4. S. Derenzo, <u>High-Throughput Synthesis and Measurement of Candidate Detector</u> <u>Materials for Homogeneous Hadronic Calorimeters</u>
- 5. M. Poulain, <u>Fluoride Glasses: State of Art and Prospects</u>
- 6. I. Dafinei, <u>High Density Fluoride Glasses</u>, <u>Possible Candidates for Homogeneous</u> <u>Hadron Calorimetry</u>
- 7. P. Hobson, Prospects for Dense Glass Scintillators for Homogeneous Calorimeters
- 8. G. Dosovitski, <u>Potential of Crystalline, Glass and Ceramic Scintillation Materials for</u> <u>Future Hadron Calorimetry</u>
- 9. Tianchi Zhao, Study on Dense Scintillating Glasses

10. Jin-tai Zhao, <u>BSO-Based Crystal and Glass Scintillators for Homogeneous Hadronic</u> <u>Calorimeter</u>

- 11. Guohao Ren, Development of RE-Doped Cubic PbF2 and PbClF Crystals for HHCAL
- 12, N. Cherepy, Transparent Ceramic Scintillators for Hadron Calorimetry
- 13. J. Dong, Experimental Study of Large Area GEM

14. H. Frisch, <u>The Development of Large-Area Flat-Panel Photodetectors with Correlated</u> <u>Space and Time Resolution</u>





# Spares

October 20, 2010

Talk given in the International Workshop on Linear Collider by Ren-yuan Zhu, Caltech

24



#### Why Crystal Calorimeter?



- Photons and electrons are fundamental particles.
  Precision e/γ measurements enhance physics discovery potential.
- Performance of crystal calorimeter in  $e/\gamma$  measurements is well understood:
  - The best possible energy resolution;
  - Good position resolution;
  - Good e/  $\gamma$  identification and reconstruction efficiency.
- Crystals may also provide a foundation for a homogeneous hadron calorimeter with dual readout of Cherenkov and scintillation light to achieve good resolution for hadrons and jets.



## **Crystal Calorimeters in HEP**



Date	75-85	80-00	80-00	80-00	90-10	94-10	94-10	95-20
Experiment	C. Ball	L3	CLEO II	C. Barrel	KTeV	BaBar	BELLE	CMS
Accelerator	SPEAR	LEP	CESR	LEAR	FNAL	SLAC	KEK	CERN
Crystal Type	Nal(TI)	BGO	CsI(TI)	CsI(TI)	CsI	CsI(TI)	CsI(Tl)	PbWO <sub>4</sub>
B-Field (T)	-	0.5	1.5	1.5	-	1.5	1.0	4.0
r <sub>inner</sub> (m)	0.254	0.55	1.0	0.27	-	1.0	1.25	1.29
Number of Crystals	672	11,400	7,800	1,400	3,300	6,580	8,800	76,000
Crystal Depth $(X_0)$	16	22	16	16	27	16 to 17.5	16.2	25
Crystal Volume (m <sup>3</sup> )	1	1.5	7	1	2	5.9	9.5	11
Light Output (p.e./MeV)	350	1,400	5,000	2,000	40	5,000	5,000	2
Photosensor	PMT	Si PD	Si PD	$WS^a$ +Si PD	PMT	Si PD	Si PD	$APD^a$
Gain of Photosensor	Large	1	1	1	4,000	1	1	50
$\sigma_N$ /Channel (MeV)	0.05	0.8	0.5	0.2	small	0.15	0.2	40
Dynamic Range	104	10 <sup>5</sup>	104	104	104	104	104	10 <sup>5</sup>

Future crystal calorimeters in HEP: PWO for PANDA at GSI LYSO for a KLOE and SuperB? Crystals for the HHCAL detector concept?



## **Crystals for HEP Calorimeters**



Crystal	Nal(TI)	CsI(TI)	Csl	BaF <sub>2</sub>	BGO	LYSO(Ce)	PWO	PbF <sub>2</sub>
Density (g/cm <sup>3</sup> )	3.67	4.51	4.51	4.89	7.13	7.40	8.3	7.77
Melting Point (°C)	651	621	621	1280	1050	2050	1123	824
Radiation Length (cm)	2.59	1.86	1.86	2.03	1.12	1.14	0.89	0.93
Molière Radius (cm)	4.13	3.57	3.57	3.10	2.23	2.07	2.00	2.21
Interaction Length (cm)	42.9	39.3	39.3	30.7	22.8	20.9	20.7	21.0
Refractive Index <sup>a</sup>	1.85	1.79	1.95	1.50	2.15	1.82	2.20	1.82
Hygroscopicity	Yes	Slight	Slight	No	No	No	No	No
Luminescence <sup>b</sup> (nm) (at peak)	410	550	420 310	300 220	480	402	425 420	?
Decay Time <sup>b</sup> (ns)	245	1220	30 6	650 0.9	300	40	30 10	?
Light Yield <sup>b,c</sup> (%)	100	165	3.6 1.1	36 4.1	21	85	0.3 0.1	?
d(LY)/dT <sup>⊾</sup> (%/ ºC)	-0.2	0.4	-1.4	-1.9 0.1	-0.9	-0.2	-2.5	?
Experiment	Crystal Ball	BaBar BELLE BES III	KTeV	(L*) (GEM) TAPS	L3 BELLE	SuperB	CMS ALICE PANDA	HHCAL?
a. at peak of emission; b. up/low row: slow/fast component; c. QE of readout device taken out.								

October 20, 2010



#### Scintillation of PbF<sub>2</sub> at Room T



- **1. Deformation and thermal treatment application to heavy scintillators production,** S.N. Baliakin et. Al., proceedings of SCINT1992, Chamonix, France, Sept. 22-26 (1992) 587.
- 2. A search for scintillation in doped and Othrorhombic lead fluoride, D.F. Anderson, J.A. Kierstead, P. Lecoq, S. Stoll, C.L. Woody, NIM A342, (1994) 473.
- **3. Observation of fast scintillation light in a PbF<sub>2</sub>:Gd crystal**, C. Woody, S. Stoll, J. Kierstead, IEEE TNS, **43** (1996) 1303.





#### Luminescence of PbF<sub>2</sub> at Low Temperature



- **A.** Luminescence Kinetics of PbF<sub>2</sub> Single Crystals , M. Nikl, K. Polak, Phys. Status Solidi A117 (1990) K89.
- B. Luminescence of orthorhombic PbF<sub>2</sub>, D. L. Alov, S. I. Rybchenko, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 7 (1995) 1475.
- **C.** Photoluminescence of orthorhombic and cubic PbF<sub>2</sub> single crystal, M. Itoh, H. Nakagawa, M. Kitaura, M. Fujita, D. Alov, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter **11** (1999) 3003.





## **PbF<sub>2</sub> Samples Tested**



A total of 116 samples with various rare earth doping were grown by vertical Bridgman method at SIC and Scintibow.

 $\succ$  SIC samples: grown in **platinum** crucible, 1.5 X<sub>0</sub> (14 mm) cube.

> Scintibow samples: grown in graphite crucible,  $\Phi$  22 x 15 mm.





## **Photo- and X-luminescence**



- Photo luminescence was measured by using Hitachi F-4500 fluorescence spectrophotometer.
- An AMTPEK portable X-ray tube was used for the Xluminescence measurement.





October 20, 2010



#### **Comparison with the Ref. 2**



#### No fast luminescence of d-f transition was observed

474

D.F. Anderson et al. / Nucl. Instr. and Meth. in Phys. Res. A 342 (1994) 473-476

Table 1

Properties of doped, cubic PbF<sub>2</sub> crystals

Producer	Dopant <sup>a</sup>	Band-edge	Luminescence
Optovac, Inc.	none	260 nm	no
Optovac, Inc.	Ba	330 nm	weak 358 nm
Optovac, Inc.	Tb	260 nm	slow 384, 414, 434,
			487, 542 nm
Optovac, Inc.	Bi	260 nm	no
Optovac, Inc.	Co	350 nm	no
Optovac, Inc.	Ag	260 nm	no
Optovac, Inc.	Cu	305 nm	no
Optovac, Inc.	Cr	260 nm	no
Optovac, Inc.	Dy	260 nm	slow 448 nm, 512 nm,
Optovac, Inc.	Sm		slow 564, 594, 600 nm
Optovac, Inc.	Yb		weak 405 nm
Optovac, Inc.	Eu		slow 467, 510, 589,
			619 nm
Optovac, Inc.	Nd 0.5%		no
Optovac, Inc.	Ho 0.5%		no
Optovac, Inc.	Er0.5%		no
Optovac, Inc.	Tm0.5%		no
S.I.C.	none	260 nm	no
S.I.C.	Ce 100 ppm	315 nm	no
S.I.C.	Ce	325 nm	no
S.I.C.	Ba 10%	325 nm	no
	Ce 0.1%		
S.I.C.	Ba 20%	315 nm	no
Ce	0.1%		
S.I.C.	Ce	325 nm	no
S.I.C.	Ce	325 nm	no

<sup>a</sup> All dopants without concentrations are 1%.

Dopant	Caltech	Ref-2
Sm	f-f	f-f
Eu	f-f	f-f
Tb	f-f	f-f
Tm	no	no
Ce	no	no
Nd	no	no
Но	f-f	no
Er	f-f	no
Dy	no	f-f
Yb	no	f-f?
Pr	f-f	N/A
Gd	f-f?	N/A



#### **Anode Current Measurement**



#### Distance between source and sample: 2 cm





## Anode Current: PWO & Un-doped PbF<sub>2</sub>

#### PWO: L.O. = 20 p.e./MeV, anode current = 240 nA





#### **Anode Current: All Samples**







## **Summary of Anode Current**



ID	Anode current (nA)	Size (mm)	Doping
Scintibow-1	51	18 x12 x10	Eu
Scintibow-18	52	Ф22Х15	Eu/Gd
Scintibow-27	53	Ф20Х15	Eu/Tb
Scintibow-B19	56	Ф20Х15	Eu/Tb/Na
Scintibow-B21	83	Ф22Х15	Eu/Bi/Na
Scintibow-B23	73	Ф20Х15	Eu/Bi/Na
Undoped	42	14 x 14 x14	