

International Workshop on Linear Colliders

Geneva, October 18-22 2010







Jürgen Kroseberg

(University of Bonn)



on behalf of CMS and ATLAS

Standard-Model Higgs Search Status universitätbonn







universitätbonn Standard-Model Higgs Search Status







universitätbonn Standard-Model Higgs Search Status

























Previous Studies

- assuming large data samples
 @14 TeV (or 10 TeV)
- purely based on simulated samples
- potentially important aspects neglected, e.g. pile-up events
- used LO cross sections







Previous Studies

- assuming large data samples
 @14 TeV (or 10 TeV)
- purely based on simulated samples
- potentially important aspects
 neglected, e.g. pile-up events
- used LO cross sections

Now

- expect 1 fb⁻¹ per experiment
 @ 7 TeV by end of 2011
- actual collision data!
- study running conditions and background processes with data
- improved simulation
- beyond LO cross sections







Previous Studies

- assuming large data samples
 @14 TeV (or 10 TeV)
- purely based on simulated samples
- potentially important aspects
 neglected, e.g. pile-up events
- used LO cross sections

Now

- expect 1 fb⁻¹ per experiment
 @ 7 TeV by end of 2011
- actual collision data!
- study running conditions and background processes with data
- improved simulation
- beyond LO cross sections

⇒ Need to revisit LHC Higgs Sensitivity

- not a small program; first steps have been taken for selected channels
- scale previous results according to 14(10)/7 TeV cross section ratios;
 PDF reweighting for ATLAS WW and full 7 TeV simulation for ATLAS ZZ
- estimate effect on selected systematic uncertainties
- use NLO production cross sections; CMS uses NNLO for gg→H



Harlander, Kilgore, Phys.Rev.Lett. 88 (2002) 201801; Ravindram, Smith, van Neerven, Nucl.Phys.B665 (2003) 325; Catani, de Florian, Grazzini, Nason, JEHP 07 (2003) 028; Anastasiou, Melnikov, Nucl.Phys.B646 (2002) 220



universitätenne Cross Sections at Different CM Energies





Harlander, Kilgore, Phys.Rev.Lett. 88 (2002) 201801; Ravindram, Smith, van Neerven, Nucl.Phys.B665 (2003) 325; Catani, de Florian, Grazzini, Nason, JEHP 07 (2003) 028; Anastasiou, Melnikov, Nucl.Phys.B646 (2002) 220







e.g.: 130 GeV SM H →ZZ(*)→4I



Effect of Pile-Up Events universität**bonn**



 \mathbf{B}

С

-3.0%



IWLC 2010







- ${} \blacksquare H \to WW \to 2I2v$
- Θ H \rightarrow YY
- $\bigcirc H \to ZZ^{(*)} \to 4I$







$H \rightarrow WW \rightarrow 2I2v$: Exclusion universitätbonn











energy resolution crucial

9

 background estimated from control regions (mass sidebands and or shower shape)











based on NNLO

LHC Higgs Prospects J. Kroseberg







based on NNLO

LHC Higgs Prospects J. Kroseberg

universitätion $H \rightarrow yy$: Expected Signal / Exclusion













universitätbonn Combination (WW, 38, ZZ only!)











- ♀ pp → bbΦ → bbττ
- ♀ H⁺ → cs, τv



• [+ $\mu\mu$ analysis ; not covered in this talk]





Search for light (<m_{top}) charged Higgs in di-lepton top decays:



- 2 leptons + MET + 2 b-jets
- In the second reconstruct H+→τν

IWLC 2010

- extract signal from lepton helicity angle
- expect future improvements from use of additional quantities









Higgs Backgrounds from early 7 TeV Data

select 1 "good" + 1 "fake" lepton + MET (27 nb⁻¹)
 ⇒ W + jets background to SM H → WW search



Select hadronically decaying τ + e or μ + MET (310 nb⁻¹) ⇒ backgrounds to (MS)SM H → ττ searches

universitätbonn $H \rightarrow WW$: Lepton Fake Rates





- measure fraction of jets faking leptons (needed for W+jets background estimate)
- use dijet sample (after W/Z veto); two different lepton selections ("loose", "tight")
- will replace simulation-based modelling in future analysis

IWLC 2010

universitätbonn $H \rightarrow WW$: Jet Veto and Pileup

select dijet events

IWLC 2010



check for presence of third jet bin compare pile-up with no 9 t: ∧ 0.995 pile-up case (using number of reconstructed vertices) probability 0.99 0.985 can largely compensate using 9 track information ("jet vertex fraction" JVF) surviva 0.98 JVF[jet2, PV1] = 0jet1 no cut on JVF JVF[jet2, PV2] = 1 jet2 after cut JVF>0.7 0.975 Jet-veto JVF[jet1, PV1] = 1 - f 0.97 **ATLAS** Preliminary JVF[jet1, PV2] = f 5 6 З Z PV1 PV2 Number of reconstructed vertices







- CMS and ATLAS will competitively enter the SM and BSM Higgs searches in 2011
- Estimated SM Higgs mass exclusion with 1 fb⁻¹ using H \rightarrow WW \rightarrow 2l2v, H \rightarrow ZZ \rightarrow 4l, and H \rightarrow $\gamma\gamma$:
- Expected to improve (add channels, combine ATLAS&CMS)
- First successful steps in validating data-driven background estimation with 7 TeV collision data

















IWLC 2010





