SLS Emittance Monitor

Natalia Milas, Masamitsu Aiba, Åke Andersson, Michael Böge, Jonas Breunlin, Martin Rohrer, Angela Saa-Hernandez, Volker Schlott and Andreas Streun

October 25th 2012

LCWS 2012 – CLIC/ILC working group on Damping Rings

Contents

- **Motivation** – EU-Project TIARA WP-6 SVET
- **Swiss Light Source** – 1 pmrad Vertical Emittance
- **Measurement Principle** – π-Polarization Method
- **New Monitor Design** – Advantages and New Features
- **Critial Issues and Components**
- **Status & Outlook**

TIARA
ACCELERATING KNOWLEDGE AND INNOVATION

Test Infrastructure and Accelerator Research Area
www.eu-tiara.eu

Work Package 6 "SVET"

Partially funded by the European Commission under the FP7-INFRASTRUCTURES-2010-1/ INFRA-2010-2.2.11 project TIARA (CNI-PP). Grant agreement no 261905.

SVET: SLS Vertical Emittance Tuning

→ investigate ultra-low vertical emittance tuning and control in the regime of strong IBS

→ relevance for damping rings of future linear colliders & for next generation light sources

→ upgrade Swiss Light Source to enable R&D on ultra-low emittances

SVET Partners

PSI	→	SLS coupling suppression and control
CERN	→	CLIC damping ring design
INFN/LNF	→	Super-B factory design
Max-IV-Lab	→	MAX-IV emittance measurement and coupling control

SVET Activities

1. verification of low vertical emittance

beam size measurement: σ_y
magnet optics control: β_y

emittance $\varepsilon_y = \sigma_y^2 / \beta_y$

➡ design of a high resolution beam size monitor at SLS (PSI and Max-Lab)

2. minimization of vertical emittance (M. Aiba's talk)

storage ring alignment and optics correction

tuning methods and automation

➡ skew quadrupole and orbit settings (PSI and INFN / LNF)

3. intra beam scattering simulations and measurements

emittance and energy spread increase at high currents

➡ low energy (1.6 GeV) operation of SLS (PSI, CERN and INFN / LNF)

Swiss Light Source – Some Key Parameters

- **Beam Energy** 2.4 GeV
- **Circumference** 288 m
- **Emittances**
  - horizontal 5.5 nm rad
  - vertical 1 … 7 pm rad
- **Coupling:** 0.03 % … 0.1 %
- **Energy Spread** 0.09 %
- **Beam Current** 400 mA (top-up operation)
- **Life Time** ~ 8 – 10 h
- **Stability** < 1 μm (photon beam at front end)

SR User Facility with 19 Beam Lines (Status 2012)



SLS Vertical Emittance Optimization – Results



Iterative Minimization Procedure

→ BPM roll error corrections
→ beam-based girder alignment

→ **dispersion & coupling corrections**
→ **beam size monitor tuning**
→ **random walk optimization**



Illustration of SLS Beam Size (short ID straight – 2σ)



M. Aiba, et al., *Ultra Low Vertical Emittance at SLS Through Systematic and Random Optimization,* NIM-A 694 (2012) 133-139

SLS Vertical Emittance Optimization – Results

- **vertical beam size: 3.6 μm ± 0.6 μm**
- **vertical emittance: 0.9 pm ± 0.4 pm**
- **error estimate from beam size and β-function at monitor**
- **dispersion not subtracted**

Beam Size Display from SLS π-Polarization Monitor



Horizontal and Vertical Emittances of Storage Rings



Figure taken from:

R. Bartolini, Low Emittance *Ring Design,* ICFA Beam Dynamics Newsletter, No. 57, Chapter 3.1, 2012 – and updated.

Principle of the SLS Beam Size Monitor – The π-Polarization Method

Å. Andersson, et al., *Determination of Small Vertical Electron Beam Profile and Emittance at the Swiss Light Source,* NIM-A 592 (2008) 437-446



- imaging of vertically polarized SR in the visible / UV
- phase shift of π between two radiation lobes
  → destructive interference in the mid plane
  → $I_{y=0} = 0$ in FBSF
- finite vertical beam size → $I_{y=0} > 0$ in FBSF
- SR wavelength of "old" SLS monitor: 364 nm
- look-up table for beam size determination from SRW





O. Chubar & P. Elleaume, *Accurate and Efficient Computation of Synchrotron Radiation in the Near Field Region,* EPAC 1998

Measurement

- Wavelength 364 nm
- Get beam height from peak-to-valley intensity ratio
- Lookup-table of SRW* simulations:







* Synchrotron Radiation Workshop

O. Chubar & P. Elleaume, *Accurate and efficient computation of synchrotron radiation in the near field region*, EPAC 1998.

Design Considerations of the "Old" and "New" SLS Beam Size Monitors

- operating wavelength: 403 / 364 / 325 nm
- opening angle: 7 $mrad_H$ x 9 $mrad_V$
- finger absorber to block main SR intensity
- imaging by fused silica lens
- magnifications: 0.854 / 0.841 / 0.820
- surface quality of optics: < 30 nm (λ/20 @ 633 nm)



- operating wavelength: variable (266 nm)
- opening angle: 7 $mrad_H$ x 9 $mrad_V$
- finger absorber to block main SR intensity
- π-polarization or interferometric method selectable
- imaging by toroidal mirror
- magnification: 1.453
- surface quality of optics: < 20 nm (λ/30 @ 633 nm)



The "New" SLS Beam Size Monitor – Beam Line X08DA



Main Features of the "New" SLS Beam Size Monitor

- X08-DA allows for longer beam line → optics table fully accessible outside of accelerator bunker
- higher magnification ratio (M = -1.45) → increase of measurement precision
- toroidal mirror as focusing element → free selection of SR wavelength without shift of image plane → shorter wavelength increases resolution
- π-polarization & interferometric method → matched operating ranges (nominal and high resolution) → cross-checking of results
- alignment & calibration set-up → online inspection of monitor at 266 nm and 532 nm

The "New" SLS Beam Size Monitor – Critical Elements and Issues I

Focusing Element – Toroidal Mirror

- S_1 = 5.146 m
- S_2 = 7.459 m

} → M = - 1.453

- R_X = 6.592 m
- R_Z = 5.627 m
- Θ = 22.5°

} → $F_X = F_Z$ = 3.045 m



- Material: SiC (silicon carbide) or Si, Al-coated (UV enhanced)
- Surface Quality:
  - slope error 0.2 arcsec
  - roughness 21 nm pv (λ/30) , < 1 nm rms
  - waviness horizontal, vertical, radial

Modelling of Toroidal Mirror Surface Quality





horizontal stripes → asymmetry

vertical & radial stripes → acceptable



The "New" SLS Beam Size Monitor – Critical Elements and Issues II

Toroidal Mirror – Offsets & Misalignments



- horizontal offset D_X
- vertical offset D_Y

} → not critical within ± 50 μm

- rotation R (not a degree of freedom) < 1 mrad

Influence of Tilts (T_X, T_Y) and Axis Rotation



horizontal tilt T_X

symmetric broadening and
and washing out of peak-to-valley pattern

rotation around mirror axis R and vertical tilt T_Y

asymmetric washing out of
peak-to-valley pattern for R & T_Y



The "New" SLS Beam Size Monitor – Calibration & Alignment

Schematic of Calibration & Alignment Set-Up

mode images from: Meyrath et al., Opt. Express, Vol. 13, Issue 8, pp. 2843-2851 (2005)



- CryLas FQSS 266-Q Laser…: λ_1 = 266 nm, λ_2 = 532 nm, vertically polarized (100:1), TEM_{00}
- pinholes as virtual source…: diameters of 100 μm, 50 μm, 25 μm, 15 μm, 10 μm, 5 μm, 1 μm
- "mode transformation"
  "polarization rotation"…: λ/2 waveplates at 0° (upper half) and 90° (lower half)
- remote controlled mirrors…: for beam transfer into π-polarization beam size monitor

The "New" SLS Beam Size Monitor – Expected Performance

π-Polarization Branch – Emittance Resolution



Interferometer Branch – Emittance Resolution



π-Polarization Branch – Resolution vs Wavelength



Interferometer Branch – Resolution vs Obstacle Size



The "New" SLS Beam Size Monitor – Expected Performance

Comparison: π-Polarization Branch – Interferometer Branch



- improved resolution → ~ 4 μm (old monitor) to < 2 μm (new monitor)
- two measurement methods → π-polarization for nominal SLSoperation
  → interferometer for low emittance studies
- calibration & alignment path → online check of monitor performance

Summary and Outlook

- methods for emittance tuning established at SLS (within TIARA-SVET collaboration)
  - → lowest vertical emittance of 0.9 ± 0.4 pmrad
- design of "new" high resolution beam size monitor at SLS
  - → application of π-polarization and interferometeric methods
  - → overlapping sensitivity ranges for nominal SLS operation and low emittance studies
  - → mirror optics (toroidal focusing mirror) provides free selection of SR wavelength
  - → sensitivity study using SRW provides specifications for optical elements
  - → calibration and alignment branch allows for online monitor performance check
  - → expected measurements resolution for vertical beam height < 2 μm
- next steps…:
  - → installation of "new" monitor in SLS X08DA beam line in January 2013
  - → further emittance minimization until mid of 2013 (SLS quantum emittance limit at 0.2 pmrad)
  - → automated coupling feedback using "new" beam size monitor in 2013

BACKUP SLIDES

Pre-Requisites and Tools for SLS Vertical Emittance Tuning

1. high beam stability as a pre-requisite

top-up operation → high thermal (long term) stability

precise BPMs: ~ 100 nm rms (< 100 Hz)
fast orbit feedback
} orbit control & short term stability

2. procedures & equipment for vertical emittance tuning



re-alignment (beam-assisted girder alignment) of storage ring

→ remote positioning of 48 girders in 5 DoF (eccentric cam shafts drives)

skew quadrupoles for coupling control (36 in case of SLS)

→ sextupoles with additional coils





high resolution beam size monitor

→ π polarization method

Procedure for SLS Vertical Emittance Tuning

1. measurement and correction of BPM roll error

   → avoid "fake" vertical dispersion readings (from 48 dispersive BPMs with $\eta_{hor} \neq 0$)

2. realignment of magnet girder to remove main sources of vertical dispersion

   → reduction of rms vertical correction kick from ~ 130 μrad to ~ 50 μrad

3. meas. & correction of vertical dispersion and betatron coupling

   → model-based skew quadrupole corrections (12 dispersive and 24 non-dispersive skew quads)

4. meas. & correction of vertical dispersion, betatron coupling and linear optics

   → model-based skew quadrupole corrections and orbit bumbs

5. "random walk" optimization of vertical beam size

   → skew quadrupole corrections using beam size measurements from profile monitor

   works in the background (small steps), overcomes measurement limitations and model deficiencies