# IP-BSM Beamtime Performance and Error Evaluations

# **14th ATF2 Project Meeting** June 26, 2012 KEK, Tsukuba



Jacqueline Yan, M. Oroku, Y. Yamaguchi,

T. Yamanaka, Y. Kamiya, T. Suehara,

S. Komamiya (The University of Tokyo)

T. Okugi, T. Terunuma, T. Tauchi, S. Araki, J. Urakawa (KEK)



# **Highlights of this talk**

## **Beam time Status**

## Feb

- ↔ 30 deg mode: fully commissioned , stably measured M ~ 0.55,  $\sigma_v$  ~ 150 nm
- 174 deg mode: M maybe detected

#### March - May

Resolve and stabilize laser system

### June

- consistently measured M ~ 0.9 at 4 deg / 6 deg modes
  - systematic error studies
- Contrast ~ 0.9
- Major errors: profile imbalance, fringe tilt, phase jitter (?)
- Systematic checkup of 30 deg, 174 deg modes
   lead to summer upgrade and goals for autumn



date	notes	beta	M and Beam size
2/17	1 <sup>st</sup> detection of 30 deg	10 x 10	σy ~ 200 nm
2/21	Syst error checkup at 4, 8 deg	10 x 3	Mmax ~ 0.8
2/23-24	30 deg good results in Feb	10 x 3	M ~ 0.55, σy ~ 150 nm
	174 deg (Maybe detected)	10 x 3	Maybe σy ~ 90 nm <i>Not certain!!</i>
March April May <i>after d</i>	<ul> <li>Laser buildup (seeder) fluctuations         <ul> <li>Mirror tuning</li> <li>exchange of seeder, flash-lamps Beamlok PZT mount</li> </ul> </li> <li>high laser intensity damaged optics         <ul> <li>Changed reducer lens</li> <li>40% (filtered) intensity operation</li> </ul> </li> <li>beam issues:         <ul> <li>instabilities in timing, current, orbit</li> <li>tune resonance in DR</li> <li>multiknob tuning hard to reduce σy (?)</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	10 x 3 10 x 5 10 x 1 • Varia proble progr • not beam	system checkups at 6 deg At 30 deg: M ~ 0.1- 0.2 (300 nm?) Dus beam and laser ems delayed ess much meaningful time data

### summary of IPBSM shifts during 2 weeks continuous run (6/4 – 6/15)

Week 1	contents
6/5 – 7 Startup tuning	DR $\rightarrow$ EXT $\rightarrow$ FF (C wire)
6/7	<u>6.3 deg:</u> _ Reducer scan M <sub>max</sub> ~ 0.9 !!
IPBSM	<ul> <li><u>30 deg:</u></li> <li>upper rotation stage broken !!</li> <li>reducer scan for lower path only at 30 deg</li> </ul>
6/8 :	<ul> <li>Fixed upper stage</li> <li>Reducer scan at 6.3 deg and 30 deg</li> <li>multiknob scan: but 30 deg M not very high</li> </ul>
6/9 - 10	<ul> <li>Issues :Linac , DR freq. change, water temp</li> <li>Large EXT orbit offset and y dispersion had to be fixed</li> <li>More multiknob tuning at 6 deg, 7.8 deg, 30 deg</li> <li>M got to 0.3 at 30 deg , σ ~ 230 nm but for some reason lost M</li> <li>Reducer scan and sig jitter studies at 30 deg</li> </ul>

Week 2	contents
6/11	tuning start over again from DR $\rightarrow$ EXT $\rightarrow$ FF
6/12 - 13	<ul> <li>Dispersion tuning (by Glen using C wire)</li> <li>Multiknob scan using IPBSM, M ~ 0.4 – 0.5, σ ~ 1 μm</li> <li>Disturbed by large εy, EXT orbit needed retuning</li> </ul>
6/13 -14	<ul> <li>6.3 deg: Reducer optimization using both laserwire and fringe scans</li> <li>4 deg (smallest possible): Mmax = 0.9 !! Take special fine data for error studies, multiknob scans</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>then switched to 30 deg : M ~ 0.35, σy ~ 250 nm</li> </ul>
6/14 -15	<ul> <li>30 deg : also tried to optimize reducer, but difficult to preserves consistency</li> <li>M &gt; 0.35 could not be recovered</li> <li>Vertical dispersion drifted</li> </ul>
6/15	<ul> <li>30 deg: reconfirm optimized reducer setting then tried out nonlinear knob tuning</li> <li>Disturbed by large signal jitters</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>174 deg mode:</li> <li>Laser divergence measurement → optimize reducer setup</li> <li>Focal point scan for evaluating Rayleigh length, M2 factor</li> </ul>

# Beam time in February , 2012

# **Commissioning of 30 deg mode**

Feb 17, 2012 (10 x  $\beta_x^*$ , 10 x  $\beta_v^*$  optics)



### largest M<sub>meas</sub> = 0.522 $\pm$ 0.042 $\leftrightarrow \sigma_{y,meas} \sim$ 165 nm

2/17: 30 deg	M	$\Delta M$	$\sigma_y^*$	$\Delta \sigma_y^*$	avg $E_{sig}/$ ICT [GeV / 10 <sup>9</sup> e]	]
18:07	0.426	0.039	194.98	6.21	2.359	]
18:09	0.390	0.043	206.63	6.48	2.403	]
18:12	0.433	0.036	192.55	5.73	2.269	]
18:14	0.439	0.034	190.82	5.49	2.290	
18:16	0.437	0.038	191.29	6.16	2.303	S/N:4-5
18:18	0.460	0.040	183.86	6.78	2.267	Signal jitter ~ 15%
18:20	0.444	0.035	189.20	5.77	2.450 st	able beam current
18:22	0.39	0.042	206.67	6.902	2.292	]
18:24	0.453	0.037	186.17	6.203	2.356	]
18:26	0.389	0.042	207.029	6.205	2.360	]

# M > 0.5 ( $\sigma y \sim 160$ nm) easily achieved in Feb

ex: 10 consecutive 30 deg mode fringe scan on 2/23/2012



# Switched to 174 deg mode: maybe first detection !!??

(10 x 3, S/N ~ 1)

Largest  $M_{meas} \sim 0.13$  (stat.)  $\sigma_v^* \sim 90 \text{ nm}$ 

8 hrs period: measured M > 0.1 many times However not satisfactory reproducibility Challenging conditions .....

 $\sigma_v^{*}$  is still large, beam changed over time





# Beam time in June , 2012

### Consistently measured M ~ 0.9 at 4 deg, 6 deg modes

**ex) 6 /14 swing shift:** many hours of stable beam and laser After multiknob scan at 4 deg mode:

- 4 times consistent results: (M1, M2, M3, M4) = (0.89, 0.9, 0.88, 0.89)
- → switched to 30 deg mode : → Mmax ~ 0.35,  $\sigma y \sim 220 250$  nm





#### Some other consistent fringe scans of 0.8 – 0.9 also at 6.3 deg mode



## What is the difference between Feb and June ??

#### Feb 2012:

• measured large  $M \sim 0.8$  at  $4 \, \cdot 8^\circ$ 

• 30° : easily measured

M∼0.5(σ∼160 nm)

#### March – May:

many issues with IPBSM laser system and beam tuning

#### June :

```
•Measured M \sim 0.9 at 4° , 6.3°
•M \sim 0.35 at 30° (\sigma \sim 250 nm)
```

### Our current optics need major upgrades !! → higher reliability ,consistency in path alignment and beam size measurements

(details coming up in next talk)

S/N
0.7 ~ 1 for 10x1
1 for 10x3
2.5 for 10x10

#### Any difference in signal jitters??

	2012		Sig jitter(%)		
June	30 deg	10x1	6/10: <b>9.3%</b> 6/14: <b>10.5%</b> (M ~ 0.9)		
	6.3 deg	10x1	6/13: <b>8.9%</b>		
Feb	30 deg	2/17: 10x10 2/23: 10x3	2/17: <b>14.6%</b> (1 <sup>st</sup> 30 deg detection ) 2/23: <b>10.4%</b>		
	174 deg	10x3	2/24: <b>6.1%</b>		
	8 deg	10x3	2/21: <b>10.5%</b> (M ~ 0.8)		

#### energy deposit in detector (each of 5 layers + total) Errors appear Gaussian distributed

#### 6/10 30 deg mode



# **Error studies**



ex1) 2/21 switched from 8 deg (M<sub>meas</sub> ~ 0.8) to 4 deg mode
 σy\* ~ 400 nm should give M ~ 0.94, but M<sub>meas</sub> only reached 0.75
 → overall reduction factor : C ~ 0.8 (∴ 0.75 / 0.94)
 could be worse ∴ 8 deg mode already limited by syst. errors

ex2) 6/14 M<sub>meas</sub> ~ 0.35 after switching to 30 deg mode
 σy\* ~ 220 nm should give M ~ 0.98 at 4 deg mode, but limited at M<sub>meas</sub> ~ 0.9
 → overall M reduction factor : C ~ 0.9 (∴ 0.9 / 0.98)

# Laser profile imbalance

### Solutions during previous run:

- **Repeated optimization of** lens / reducer setup but hard to preserve consistency
- replaced damaged optics

# **Major optics reform**

## this summer

(details in next talk)

- **Balance profile & path lengths**
- focal lens alignment
- Only use reducer for parallel propagation

Rotation Control | TD2 FineDelay LW28 LW30 | LW174 | Fringe28 Laser Wire 4.01 [degree] Save present position of mirror 1X and 2X, as references Upper path 0 Intensity Cut [e9] 2.000 - <1< 20.000 -3.259 layer 1-4 Signal Type Recalculation 149.73950 : 32.70990 10.40767 ± 0.0001 0.00240 ± 0.00018 Lower path Intensity Cut le91 2.000 ÷ <1< 20.000 ÷ 3.417 Signal Type layer 1-4 Recalculation

Graph

2000

1600

1400 1200

Graph

1800

1600 1400

1200

example: 6/15 10 avg laserwire scan signal amount different Upper: •σlaser = 19.2 μm • energy/ICT = 649.7• (peak x sigma = 12474) Lower: •σlaser = 39.6 μm • energy/ICT = 559.8• (peak x sigma = 22168) Ct.pro > 94 %- 99 %

assuming similar z-profile Cz,pro > 89%

due to .....

misalignment of focal point reducer setup  $\rightarrow$  affect divergence

Prism Po

9.06583 + 0.00016

0.00403 ± 0.00025

by factor of 2 !!



 $\tan \Delta \phi = \Delta x / 2f \sin \theta / 2$ 

δφ,

Orless

longirudinal

focal point

focal point

# **Relative position jitter** (phase jitter)

 $\Delta y \sim 0.3 \sigma y$  along beamline,

but beam position  $\Delta y^*$  at IP is unknown

→ need measurement by IPBPM

#### Small $\sigma y^*$ is esp. sensitive





## **Estimated systematic errors from June beam time :** (using data from 4 deg , M ~ 0.9)

Error types	Modulation reductio	Modulation reduction		
Laser profile imbalance	Ct,pro > 94% Cz,pro > 89%	Note:		
Fringe tilt	Ct,tilt > 98% Cz, tilt ~ 100%	these are " <b>worst limits</b> " of M reduction factors		
Phase jitter (←→relative pos. jitter)	Cphase > 95%			
Laser path alignment	z : > 99.5% t : ~ 100%			
polarization	Confirmed to be near	rly pure S polarized		
Total	Ctot > 77%			

### Estimated systematic errors from Feb beam time data

Current	M reduction	$\sigma_y^* \lesssim 300 \text{ nm}$	$\sigma_y^* \lesssim 300 \text{ nm}$	$\sigma_y^* \simeq 160 - 200 \text{ nm}$
status	factors	4 deg	8 deg	30 deg
polarization	$C_{pow-pol}$	$\sim 98\%$		
relative pos. jitter	$C_{rel-pos}$	> 95.3%	> 95.2%	> 92.9%>
laser path	z: $C_{z,pos}$	> 99.5%	/	1
alignment	t: $C_{t,pos}$	$\sim 100\%$		
laser profile	t: C <sub>t,profile</sub>	100 %	> 99.0%	> 99.9%
imbalance	z: C <sub>z,profile</sub>			
Fringe tilt	t: $C_{t,tilt}$	96.6%	96.8%	79.8%
	z: $C_{z,tilt}$	100 %		
Total	$\prod_{i} C_i$	> 89.7%	> 88.9%	> 72.1%

Major syst. errors appear to be

- relative position jitter (phase jitter)
- Fringe tilt:  $\rightarrow$  improve alignment, tune  $\sigma * x$  smaller, beam coupling / rotation

*σy*\* at 30 deg mode may have been much smaller than 200 nm (??!!)

Note) Not yet adequate data to evaluate all error types



# **Current status of laser system**

#### relative Stabilized by timing scans **TDC, TD2** modules timing • Stability ~ 1% Intensity optics damaged by high intensity laser in March • Safe at ~ 40% power for now Oscillation currently stable exchanged flash lamps and seeder cavity mirror tuning profile Triangular (non-Gaussian) profile at IP dark spots $\rightarrow$ Improved by rear mirror tuning Beamlok Major new laser table box upgrades in laser optics additional mirror for precise injection onto vertical table changed reducer and expander lens (AR coating , magnification)

# **Stat errors**

Laser timing	1 - 3 %
Laser intensity	1.5%
Beam intensity jitters	ICT monitor resolution: 2-5% (Measured energy is normalized by ICT)
Laser pointing stability	10 ~ 15%
Beam position jitters	unknown

# Summary

## **Beam time Status**

Feb

- Commissioned 30 deg mode : stably measured M ~ 0.55, σ<sub>y,meas</sub> ~ 160 nm
- ✤ 174 deg mode: M maybe detected

#### March - May

- System checkup
  - & treat many issues in laser optics and beam tuning

#### June

- M ~ 0.35 (σ<sub>y,meas</sub> ~ 220 nm) at 30 deg mode :
- 174 deg mode: focal point scan

## **Systematic Error studies**

- measured M ~ 0.9 consistently at 4 deg / 6 deg modes
   Upper limit : C ~ 0.8 0.9 (depend on condition)
- Major errors: profile imbalance, fringe tilt, phase jitter (?)

#### Stable system important for suppressing stat. errors



## Goals and Plans for summer upgrades and 2012 autumn run

- as an effective beam tuning device .....
   accurately reproduce beam sizes in between mode switching
- 174 deg mode Commissioning + consistent M-detection accurately measure σy\* < 100 nm</li>
- resolve and accurately evaluate systematic errors including bias factors intrinsic to 174 deg mode

•Need to upgrade to a more stable and reliable laser optical system

➔ more details on new IPBSM setup coming up

# BACKUP

## Systematic errors (morning session)

•relative position jitters as laser fringe phase is scanned against beam

 $\rightarrow$  smear M curve  $\rightarrow$  over-evaluate  $\sigma y*$ 

- accurately measure beam jitter to correct  $M_{\text{meas}}\left(\sigma_{\text{meas}}\right)$ 

## **Statistical errors :**

 Beam jitter along beam line → extra BG, lower S/N, fluctuating BG levels
 Beam jitter at IP : dominate signal jitter source → hinder M detection cause laser intensity "felt" by beam to fluctuate pulse-by-pulse *large phase jitters correlated with heavy signal jitters* Feedback correction to suppress beam jitter

#### What causes beam position jitter ??

•magnet vibrations, unstable extraction from DR, ect.....



#### Evaluate max. $\Delta \alpha$ from beam time data $\rightarrow$ translate to $\Delta y$

nhase i	fringe scans in 2011	2/21 (4 deg)	2/21 (8 deg)	$2/17 \ (30 \ \text{deg})$
priace j	$\Delta \alpha \text{ [mrad]}$	< 310	< 316	< 384
rel. pos	. jitter $\Delta y \; [ m nm]$	< 376	< 192	< 62.9
svst er	$C_{phase}$	> 95.3~%	>95.2~%	> 92.9~%



# → Beam and laser

$$\Delta y = \sqrt{\Delta y_e^2 + \bigtriangleup \alpha^2}$$

- if Δye ~ 0.3 σy is actually achieved we can estimate (worst limit for) lase- related phase error alone , due to .....
- $\checkmark$  vibration of optical components
- $\checkmark$  final lens focal point misalignment

 $\Delta L$  : incoherent laser path jitter per path :

 $\rightarrow$  optical path delay fluctuation : sqrt(2)\*  $\Delta L$ 

→ phase jitter  $\Delta \alpha = k_y^* \text{sqrt}(2)^* \Delta L$ 

fringe scans in 2011	2/21 (4 deg)	2/21 (8 deg)	2/17 (30 deg)
$\Delta y \text{ [nm]}$ (from M plot)	376	190	63
$\Delta y_e \text{ [nm]}$	< 135	< 65	< 45
"real" $\Delta \alpha$ [mrad]	289	294	289

about same for each mode

BPM Caliberation Stability :
 C-band BPMs : 1% level
 IPBPM, unknown

S-band BPMS : 5% level

(a few weeks time scale)

# **Expectations for BPMs**

#### For resolution of 174 deg mode:

 $37 \pm 1.4 \, (stat)^{+0}_{-2} \, (sys) \, \text{nm}$ 

 $\sigma_y^2 \to \sigma_y^2 + (\Delta y)^2$ 

(ex:)) if  $\Delta y = 4$  nm:  $\sigma y^* \rightarrow 37 \pm 2$  nm

#### For beam stabilization with feedback

 $\Delta y < = 0.3 \ x \ \sigma y$ 

•174 deg mode : 10 nm stability at IP  $\rightarrow$  IPBPM resolution few nm

( < 100 nm for other modes)

• much larger  $\sigma y^*$  upstream, 100 nm enough to show stable beam

Can also use other BPMs (Pre-IP, PIP) to reconstruct beam position, angle, resolution !!

<u>IP-BSM Goal</u>: fully commission 174 deg mode → stable measurement

*Now:* O(10) nm beam position stabilization

*Soon:* few nm resolution feedback correction for accurately measuring  $\sigma y^* < \sim 50$  nm

## "Full" data for IPBSM

combine former meas and raw data + extra slots for beam monitors

#### all **BPMs**

#### now

array(0-199) IPBSM:Interfere:Raw

array(200-1199) ATF2:monitors

array(1200-1239) IPBSM:Interfere:Meas

array(1240) timing gap between ATF2:monitors p

# need BPM data to be put into these PVs

Correlate beam pos. jitter with IPBSM signal fluctuations

#### IPBSM:Interfere:Raw (200 length float array) (read only) 🕹 🖉

Interference mode measurement raw data

```
array(0) Laser Crossing Angle [deg]
array(1) Laser Fringe Pitch [nm]
array(2) Laser Fringe Phase [rad]
array(3) Laser Fringe Phase Read [rad]
array(4-19) Detector ADC array(0-15)
array(20-35) Detector ADC Pedestal array(0-15)
array(36-40) Background Shower array(0-4)
array(41-45) Compton Signal Shower array(0-4)
array(46-69) Detector HV array(0-23)
array(70-101) Scan ADC array(0-31)
array(102-133) Scan ADC Pedestal array(0-31)
array(134) TD2 Laser Timing
array(135) TDC Full Scale Range
array(136-143) TDC array(0-7)
                                         before
array(144) ICT-DUMP Charge [10^9 e-]
array(145) BPM1 X Position
array(146) BPM1 Y Position
array(147) BPM2 X Position
array(148) BPM2 Y Position
array(149-164) Charge ADC array(0-15)
array(165-174) Image Sensor 1 FT array(0-9)
array(175-184) Image Sensor 2 FT array(0-9)
array(185-199) Spare
```

### **Estimating Statistical Errors (Feb, 2012):**

- Laser intensity < 1%
- Relative beam-laser timing < 1%
- Beam current < 3%

### Altogether less than 5 % to stat. errors

Example: 174 deg	Laser intensity	Beam current	timing (jitter [ps])
00:06	0.6%	2.6%	<b>0.8%</b> (426 ns)
3:12 (2/23	0.8%	4.8%	<b>0.6%</b> (386 ns)
7:23 10 x 3 optics)	0.7%	2.2%	<b>0.8%</b> (452 ns)

## **Comparing typical beam time conditions**

		S/N	BG [GeV]	Sig. jitter	iСТ [10^9 е-]
Spring, 2012	10x βy*: 3 x βy*: 1 x βy*	4 1 0.5	5 15 20	10 - 20%	4 - 6
Dec, 2011 Post-earthquake recommissioned 2- 8 deg mode	2.5 x βy*	1-2	50	15- <b>2</b> 5%	5 - 7
Dec , 2010 Unstable era, large sig. jitters	1x βy*	0.5	115	25 – 30 %	2 - 3
May 2010 8 deg : σy* ~ 300 nm	10x βy*:	5-10	20	10%	4 -5

BG: stable :10 – 15 % unstable : 20 – 30 % ICT: stable : 2 -3 % unstable: > 7 %

### << S/N ratio>>

•S/N (in front layers) about
{ 2.5 - 3 for 10 x beta\_y } vs {1-1.3 for 3 x beta\_y }
about 2 times difference
S/N ~ 0.5 for nominal beta\_y (???)



### **1x beta\_y** optics: (3/8) 30 deg



S/N ratio decreased to about 0.5 for nominal beta\_y

```
{ 2.5 - 3 for 10 x beta_y} ,
{1-1.3 for 3 x beta_y }
```

# **Requirements / goals for beam time conditions**

Parameters	Requirement / goals
Beam position	$\Delta y < 0.3 \times \sigma y$ along beamline $\rightarrow$ affect BG, S/N, sig. jitters few nm stabilization for 37 nm
BG energy	suppress fluctuation
S/N	> 1 (at least > 0.5 even under nominal $\beta$ )
Sig. jitter	< 20 % -for M detection aim for < 10% for measurement precision
Laser spot size At IP	10 – 15 μm high intensity at IP important for S/N, need compromise reducer setting with safety of optical components
Laser pointing stability	< 1 μm @ IP ( < 50 μm @ other upstream PSDs)
Beam current	~ 6 x 10^9 / bunch , fluc < few%

#### Estimating laser pointing stability at IP for 174 deg mode:

### **Δ** = 15.4 μm

assume most of signal jitter 21% is attributed to laser pointing jitter

laser wire scan signal: 
$$E_{sig} = E_{max} \exp\left(-\frac{(x - x_0)^2}{2S_{laser}^2}\right)$$

signal jitter:  $E_{sig} \rightarrow E_{sig}^* = E_{sig} + DE$ laser pos. jitter at IP: Dx

lwmon data taken at laser peak : 
$$\left|\frac{E_{sig}^*}{E_{sig}}\right| = \exp\left(-\frac{Dx^2}{2S_{laser}^2}\right)$$

for 174 deg: DE/E ~ 21%, 
$$S_{laser} \sim 25 \text{ mm}$$
  
  $\nabla Dx = S_{laser} \sqrt{2 \ln(1.21)} = 15.4 \text{ mm}$ 

phase drift
 → translate to relative position drift
 between beam and laser phase

laser drift  $\leftarrow \rightarrow 2^*$ ky \* (relative pos. drift)

beam position drift < few % of σy\*

 $\rightarrow$  neglegible for now (??)

$$\mathsf{E}_{sig} = \mathsf{E}_{av} \left\{ 1 + \mathsf{Mcos} \left( \alpha + \alpha_0 \right) \right\}$$

 Plot initial phase α<sub>0</sub> against time

typically drift
 30 - 90 mrad
 per 1 min. scan



### Less concerning syst. errors

#### Laser position offset from IP (beam center)

→ not a concern,
 mirror actuators finely adjust
 to 1/10 of σlaser
 long.: Cz- pos > 99.5 %
 transv: Ct-pos ~ 100%

## Polarization related errors Impacts contrast with intensity imbalance ^ half mirror possess 50% reflection rate only for pure S state adjust to S state by rotating λ /2 wave plate • > confirmed to be nearly pure S state • maybe remains Cpol ~ 98 %

**Systematic errors : Fringe Tilt** 

$$\mathrm{transv}: \qquad \delta \varphi_t = \arctan\left(\frac{\Delta y}{2f \cdot \sin\left(\theta/2\right)}\right) \qquad \mathrm{long.}: \qquad \delta \varphi_z = \arctan\left(\frac{\Delta z}{2f}\right)$$

**Table "tilt2"**: bias due to fringe tilt expected from alignment precisions of  $(\Delta y, \Delta z) = (3 \text{ mm}, 1 \text{ mm})$ 

expectation from alignment precision	$8 \deg (f = 250 \text{ mm})$	$30 \deg (f = 300 \text{ mm})$	$174 \deg (f = 250 \text{ mm})$
$\delta \varphi_t \ (\Delta y \simeq 3 \text{ mm}) \ [\text{mrad}]$	85	19	6.0
$C_{t,tilt}$	95.4%	96.8%	95.3%
$\delta \varphi_z \ (\Delta z \simeq 1 \text{ mm}) \ [\text{mrad}]$	29	6.4	2.0
$C_{z,tilt}$	100%	99.8%	99.8%

aim for alignment precision ( $\Delta y$ ,  $\Delta z$ ) ~ (1-3 mm, 1 mm)

•Longitudinal tilt not a major concern •large  $\sigma x^*$  (currently ~ 10 µm) impact tranv tilt

#### Evaluation from beam time data



evaluated using actual data.	$4 \deg (f = 250 \text{ mm})$	$8 \deg (f = 250 \text{ mm})$	$30 \deg (f = 300 \text{ mm})$
$\delta \varphi_t \ (\Delta y \simeq 3 \text{ mm}) \ [\text{mrad}]$	29	14	10
$C_{t,tilt}$	96.6%	96.8%	79.8%
$\delta \varphi_z \ (\Delta z \simeq 1 \text{ mm}) \text{ [mrad]}$	4	4	3.3
$C_{z,tilt}$	100%	100%	100%

date	notes	Beta	M/ Beam size
4/12	6 deg Seeder still very unstable	10 x 5	M ~ 0.4 1 micron
4/17	6 deg	10 x 5	M ~ 0.6 780 nm
4/19 -20	6 deg Exchanged seeder!! Tuned mirrors Now Fringe scans became more stable	10 x 1	M ~ 0.45 1 micron
	<ul> <li>30 deg</li> <li>issues with beam timing jumps</li> <li>Unstable beam current and orbit, tune resonance in DR</li> <li>multiknob tuning not able to reduce beam size</li> </ul>	10 x 1	~ 300 nm Difficult condition since M was small
4/26 -27	8 deg	10 x 1	M ~ 0.75 450 nm
	30 deg	10 x 1	M ~ 0.1 350 nm
	6 deg (system checkup)	10 x 1	M 0.5-0.7
	<ul> <li>Exchanged Beamlok PZT mount, flash lamps</li> <li>Laser system overall stable</li> </ul>		
5/16 - 18	7.3 deg Unstable beam current and orbit, tune resonance in DR	10 x 1	M : 0.25 – 0.45 Beam size > 850 nm

### Systematic Errors estimated from actual beam time data

Current	M reduction	$\sigma_y^* \lesssim 300 \text{ nm}$	$\sigma_y^* \lesssim 300 \text{ nm}$	$\sigma_y^* \simeq 160 - 200 \text{ nm}$
status	factors	4 deg	8 deg	30 deg
polarization	$C_{pow-pol}$	$\sim 98\%$		
relative pos. jitter	$C_{rel-pos}$	> 95.3%	> 95.2%	> 92.9%>
laser path	z: $C_{z,pos}$	> 99.5%		
alignment	t: $C_{t,pos}$	$\sim 100\%$		
laser profile	t: C <sub>t,profile</sub>	100 %	> 99.0%	> 99.9%
imbalance	z: C <sub>z,profile</sub>			
Fringe tilt	t: $C_{t,tilt}$	96.6%	96.8%	79.8%
	z: $C_{z,tilt}$	100 %		
Total	$\prod_{i} C_i$	> 89.7%	> 88.9%	> 72.1%

#### Fringe tilt and phase jitters happened to be large for 30 deg scans

(now practicing more precise path alignment)

Even so was able to detect M at 30 deg  $\rightarrow \sigma y^*$  was much smaller than 200 nm (??!!)

- total M reduction close to, but not agree with estimated upper limit C ~ 0.8
- Not adequate data to accurately evaluate all error types (ex: ) Cpol > 98%, phase drift (few% ?)

largest syst. errors appear to be

- relative position jitter (phase jitter)  $\rightarrow$  feedback correction of beam position
- Fringe tilt:  $\rightarrow$  improve alignment, tune  $\sigma * x$  smaller (also issues of rotated beam , coupling) effects

## Syst. Errors for 174 deg mode

# Small σy\* sensitive to relative position jitter

	expected	actual evaluation
174 deg mode	$\sigma_y^* \simeq 40 \text{ nm}$ , nominal beta optics	$\sigma_y^* \simeq 90 \text{ nm}$ , 10 x 3 beta optics
	$\sigma_x^* \simeq 2.2 \ \mu \mathrm{m}, \ \sigma_{laser} \simeq 15 \ \mu \mathrm{m}$	$\sigma_x^* \simeq 11 \ \mu m, \ \sigma_{laser} \simeq 15 \ \mu m$
polarization	99.8% (*) 📕	adjusted to S polarization
$C_{pow-pol}$		ellipticity not measured recently
$C_{rel-pos}$	> 98.0%	
laser position alignment	$(\simeq 100\%, > 99.5\%)$	
$(C_{t,pos}, C_{z,pos})$	fine alignment of O( $\sigma_{t,laser}$ /10)	using 10 nm res. mirror actuators
profile imbalance	(99,6%, 99.2%)	> 99.9%
$(C_{t,profile}, C_{z,profile})$	assuming 1:1.2 balance	
tilt : $(C_{t,tilt}, C_{z,tilt})$	$(> 99.9\%, \simeq 100\%)$ $\kappa$	nearly zero offset
$C_{sphere}$	$> 99.7\%^*$	
$C_{grow}$	99.7%	
$C_{coh}$	> 99.9%	
total $\prod_{i} C_i$	> 95.4	Fringe tilt should not
		be concern if
Some errors intrinsi	c to 174 deg mode	meet alignment precision
$\rightarrow$ Special bardware		
- > Special naruware	upyraues (coming up)	

# **Expected performance and resolution**







![](_page_49_Figure_0.jpeg)

修士学位論文 2012

50

## Vertical table

![](_page_50_Figure_1.jpeg)

	Wavelength	532 nm (SHG)
Nd :YAG	Pulse Energy	1.4 J
Q-Switch laser	Peak power	164 MW
PRO350	Pulse Width	8 ns (FWHM)
Spectra Physics	$\mathbf{f}_{rep}$	6.25 Hz
	Line Width	$< 0.003 \text{ cm}^{-1}$
	Timing Stability	<0.5 ns
	Energy Stability	$\pm$ 3%

![](_page_50_Picture_3.jpeg)

X and Y actuators

horizontal

![](_page_50_Figure_5.jpeg)

-- Piezo stage による位相制御

-- 回転ステージ、prism stage とmirror actuator で**各モードの光路を作る** 

![](_page_50_Figure_8.jpeg)