$u_e \bar{\nu_e} H, H \rightarrow \mu \mu @ 1 \text{ TeV}$

C. Calancha, KEK Joint ILD/SID Analysis Meeting

January 18, 2013

calancha@post.kek.jp

 $\nu_e \bar{\nu_e} H, H \rightarrow \mu \mu$

January 18, 2013

Currently addressing received comments over the note v1.0 (1).

More Important Issues(1)

- Include preselection cuts rejecting hadronic/semileptonic modes (2).
- Many pseudoexperiments fail fits.
 - Suggested try fits with resolution Det. fixed.
 - Suggested increase number of toys.

 Comments are referred to the note v1.0 in: http://www-jlc.kek.jp/jlc/en/node/157
(Full list of comments is available in same link.)
(2) Plots in these slides do not include this yet.

Toy MC

Before

- Ngen=100 Toys.
- Resolution Det. floating in the fits.
- Background Generation: from background template (CrystalBall) in the range (100,140).
- Signal samples: pick up events from data.
- Many fits fail.

Now

- Ngen=1000 Toys.
- Resolution Det. fixed in the fits (350 MeV/c², from fit to data).
- Background Generation: from background template (linear) in the range (120,130).
- Signal samples: because Ngen=1000 not enough statistics to pick up events from data.
 - Fit signal to extract template.
 - Generation of random sample with statistics 10 times data sample.
 - Randomly Pick up events from this new sample: events within (124,126)
- All fits end successfully.
- Size of the samples include Poisson fluctuation.

Toy MC



calancha@post.kek.jp

 $\nu_e \bar{\nu_e} H, H \rightarrow \mu \mu$

Average

- Cut based anal and BDT same average: \sim 29 %.
- MLP shows a little higher uncertainty: \sim 32 %.
- Total average over 3 approaches: 30.1 %(*).

(*) This result is using same preselection as showed before. It should be updated with the new preselection.