Towards a realistic MC

Vladislav Balagura LLR, Ecole polytechnique, IN2P3/CNRS

ILD ECAL meeting, Tokyo, 16-17 Nov 2013

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ - 三 - のへぐ

Requirements

JER due to miscalibration,	use only "blind" automatic procedures
instabilities,	$\sim \sqrt{0.1 * \delta_{ extsf{HCAL}} + 0.25 * \delta_{ extsf{ECAL}}} < 2\%$
	$\delta_{FCAL} < 8\%$
	estimation: with prototype, eg. apply calibration
	from one data sample to another
SKIROC/SPIROC cross talks,	·
betw. cells (Si+Sc) and GR (Si)	
Non-uniformity (2D?) of	from measurement
Sc response and its spread	
dead zone at edge	measure from prototype
- 3 -	1 71

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ ● □ ● ● ● ●

Requirements

SiPM calibration

0. Per cell: how to calibrate ("reasonable" errors, reproducing protoype performace, its syst.) Errors are not random, but correlated (eg. through common calibration setup).
1. Poisson stat. at low signals
2. Accuracy of MIP calibration per cell (LED includes interpixel crosstalk!), extrapolation errors
3. Saturation curve syst. (per cell), Poisson stat. of non-fired SIPM pixels, two firings of the same SIPM pixel, nonuniform WLS fiber image: ring, center is less illuminated
4. Temperature dependence syst.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQ@

Dead cells Noise triggers ECAL perfrom. safety margin (look at expected vs real performance from real exp.)